

(in this case the home market), but not in the other, we make an allowance for indirect selling expenses in the other market up to the amount of the commissions granted. In this case, because commissions were paid in the home market, but not in the United States, and thus were deducted from the home market price, we made an adjustment for U.S. indirect selling expenses incurred in Mexico which were associated with sales of the subject merchandise. We made such an adjustment by adding the U.S. indirect selling expenses, up to the amount of the home market commissions, to home market price rather than subtracting them from the CEP.

*Currency Conversion*

We made currency conversions into U.S. dollars, in accordance with section 773(A) of the Act, based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the U.S. sales as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank.

*Verification*

In accordance with section 782(i) of the Act, we intend to verify all information relied upon in making our final determination.

*Suspension of Liquidation*

In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we are directing Customs to suspend liquidation of all entries of large diameter seamless pipe products from Mexico, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We are also instructing Customs to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds the CEP, as indicated in the chart below. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are provided below.

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
TAMSA .....	4.60
All others .....	4.60

*ITC Notification*

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. If our final antidumping determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine whether the imports covered by this determination are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the United States industry. The deadline for that ITC determination

would be the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the date of our final determination.

*Public Comment*

Case briefs for this investigation must be submitted no later than March 16, 2000. Rebuttal briefs must be filed within five days after the deadline for submission of case briefs. A list of authorities used, a table of contents, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Section 774 of the Act provides that the Department will hold a hearing to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs, provided that such a hearing is requested by any interested party. If a request for a hearing is made in an investigation, the hearing will tentatively be held two days after the deadline for submission of the rebuttal briefs, at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. Parties should confirm by telephone the time, date, and place of the hearing 48 hours before the scheduled time.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Requests should specify the number of participants and provide a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.

If this investigation proceeds normally, we will make our final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 28, 2000.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-2580 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-475-818, A-489-805]

**Certain Pasta From Italy and Turkey: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 4, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jarrod Goldfeder at (202) 482-2305, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

**Time Limits**

*Statutory Time Limits*

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the preliminary results.

*Background*

On August 30, 1999, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain pasta from Italy and Turkey, covering the period July 1, 1998 to June 30, 1999 (64 FR 47167). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 3, 2000.

*Extension of Preliminary Results of Reviews*

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the original time limits. Therefore, we are extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until no later than June 30, 2000. See Decision Memorandum from John Brinkmann to Holly A. Kuga, dated January 31, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records

Unit, B-099 of the main Commerce Building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the notice of preliminary results of these reviews.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: January 31, 2000.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-2586 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-807]

#### Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film From Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Result of Expedited Sunset Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from Korea.

**SUMMARY:** On July 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate ("PET") film from Korea pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of a domestic interested party, and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthit or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th St. & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 4, 2000.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Statute and Regulations

This review was conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth

in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*") and 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

##### Scope

The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order includes all gauges of raw pre-treated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or co-extruded. The films excluded from this antidumping duty order are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by the application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex has also been ruled as not within the scope of the order. PET film is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") item number 3920.62.00.00. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

##### History of the Order

On June 5, 1991, the Department published the antidumping duty order and amended final determination of sales at less than fair value ("LTFV") on PET film from Korea. See *Antidumping Duty Order and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea* as amended (56 FR 25669, June 5, 1991). On September 26, 1997 (62 FR 50557) the Department published *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea; Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation*. In the notice of final court decision and amended final determination of the antidumping duty LTFV investigation, based on our determination on remand, SKC Limited and SKC America, Inc. (collectively "SKC") was assigned a margin of 13.92 percent ad valorem, Cheil Synthetics Incorporated ("Cheil"),

a margin of 36.33 percent ad valorem, and the "all others" margin was 21.5 percent.

The Department has completed six administrative reviews of PET film since the issuance of the antidumping duty order.<sup>1</sup> On September 26, 1997, the Department issued the *Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administration Review*, 63 FR 3703 (January 26, 1998), in which the Department determined that Saehan Industries, Inc. ("Saehan") was the successor firm to Cheil. The Department has not found duty absorption with respect to this order.

The order remains in effect for all producers and exporters of PET film from Korea, except for Cheil and Kolon, for which the Department revoked the antidumping duty order.<sup>2</sup>

##### Background

On July 1, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea (64 FR 35588) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. On July 15, 1999, the Department received a Notice

<sup>1</sup> See 1.a. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 60 FR 42835 (August 17, 1995), as amended *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 53997 (February 12, 1996).

2.b. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Notice of Revocation in Part*, 61 FR 35177 (July 5, 1996).

3.c. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Revocation in Part*, 61 FR 58374 (November 14, 1996), as amended 62 FR 1735 (January 13, 1997).

4.d. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 38064 (July 16, 1997), as amended 62 FR 45222 (August 26, 1997).

5.e. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 37334 (July 10, 1998), and *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 52241 (September 30, 1998).

6.f. *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not To Revoke in Part*; 64 FR 62648 (November 17, 1999).

<sup>2</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Notice of Revocation in Part*, 61 FR 35177 (July 5, 1996), and *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Revocation in Part*, 61 FR 58374 (November 14, 1996).