

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment Standards Agency (ESA).

Title: Equal Opportunity Survey.

OMB Number: 1215-ONEW.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; and State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 60,000.

Estimated Time Per respondent: 12 hours.

Total Burden Hours: 720,000.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$180,000.

Description: Government contractors provide information on their personnel activities and the results of their affirmative efforts to employ and promote minorities and women. This information is used to select specifically identified contractors for compliance evaluations and technical assistance.

Ira L. Mills,

Department Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-2654 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-45-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,000 and NAFTA-3402]

Barry Callebaut, USA, Incorporated Van Leer Division Jersey City, New Jersey; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of January 13, 2000, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and North American Free Trade Agreement, Transitional Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notices were signed on November 15, 1999. The notice for TA-W-37,000 was published in the *Federal Register* on December 28, 1999 (64 FR 72691). The notice for NAFTA-3402 will soon be published in the *Federal Register*.

The petitioners present information regarding company imports of chocolate products and related ingredients and a shift in production of certain articles from Jersey City, New Jersey to Canada.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC this 24th day of January 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00-2497 Filed 2-3-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of January, 2000.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-37,011; Cooper Energy Service, Grove City, PA

TA-W-37,065; Svedala Grinding Hodge Foundry, Greenville, PA

TA-W-37,099; Schuylkill Haven Bleach & Dye Works, Inc., Schuylkill Haven PA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-37,074; American

Pharmaceutical Co., Fairfield, NJ
TA-W-37, 103 & A; Alaska Anvil, Inc., Consulting Engineers, Anchorage, AK and Kenai Office, Kenai, AK

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-36,909; Topcraft Precision Molders, Inc., Warminster, PA

TA-W-36,684; Pacific Scientific, HTL Kin/Tech Facility, Yorba Linda, CA
TA-W-37,035; Court Metal Finishing, Inc., Flint, MI

TA-W-37,091; Morgan Adhesives Co. d/b/a Mactac, Stow, OH

TA-W-36,873; Hunting Oilfield Service, Landell Div., Spring, TX

TA-W-36,776; Westwood LLC, Southridge, MA

TA-W-36,978; Curtis Wright Flight Systems, Inc., Fairfield, NJ

TA-W-37,145; HCC, Inc., Earlville, IL

TA-W-37,188; Jet Sew Technologies, Barneveld, NY

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-37,192; West Coast Forest Products, Arlington, WA

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-36,915; Voith Sulzer Paper Technology, Monroe, OH:

September 27, 1998.

TA-W-37,073; Fedders North America, Inc., Effingham, IL: November 1, 1998.

TA-W-37,020; Motorola Corp., Motorola Cable Products Div., Motorola ING, Mansfield, MA: October 18, 1998.

TA-W-36,999; Drew Shoe Corp., Lancaster, OH: October 14, 1998.

TA-W-36,934; ColumbiaKnit, Portland, OR: September 23, 1998.

TA-W-37,084; The Stanley Works,

Tools Div., Stanley Tools Plant,

New Britain, CT: October 26, 1998.

TA-W-37,037; Falk Corp., Milwaukee, WI: November 8, 1998.