

Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2000-02-04 Airbus Industrie: Amendment 39-11522. Docket 2000-NM-09-AD.

Applicability: Airbus Model A300 series airplanes, having manufacturer's serial number 159, 168, 188, 202, 205, 213, 299, or 302; and all Model A300-600 and A310 series airplanes; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent a sudden change in pitch due to an out-of-trim condition combined with an autopilot disconnect, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Pitch Trim System Test

(a) Within 20 days after the effective date of this AD: Perform a pitch trim system test to detect any continuity defect in the autotrim function, in accordance with All Operators Telex (AOT) A300-22A0115 (for Model A300 series airplanes), A300-600-22A6042 (for Model A300-600 series airplanes), or A310-22A2053 (for Model A310 series airplanes), all dated December 23, 1999, as applicable.

(1) If no continuity defect is found, no further action is required by this paragraph.

Corrective Actions

(2) If any continuity defect is found, prior to further flight, accomplish the actions required by paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(2)(ii) of this AD, in accordance with the applicable AOT.

(i) Repair any discrepant wiring found in the pitch trim system.

(ii) Repeat the initial pitch trim system test required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

Note 2: All Operators Telexes (AOT) A300-22A0115, A300-600-22A6042, and A310-22A2053, all dated December 23, 1999, reference Aircraft Schematic Manual (ASM) 22-27-00 as an additional source of service information to accomplish the repair.

Reporting Requirement

(b) Within 10 days after accomplishing the pitch trim system test required by this AD, or within 10 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later: Submit a report of the inspection results (both positive and negative findings) to Airbus Customer Services, Engineering and Technical Support, Attention Mr. Vincent Frayssinet, AI/SE-E43; phone number 33 (0)5.62.11.04.96; Sita Code TLSBQ7X.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Airbus All Operators Telex A300-22A0115, dated December 23, 1999; Airbus All Operators Telex A300-600-22A6042, dated December 23, 1999; or Airbus All Operators Telex A310-22A2053, dated December 23, 1999; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive T2000-007-301(B), dated January 4, 2000.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on February 9, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 18, 2000.

Donald L. Riggins,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-1595 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4913-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-351-AD; Amendment 39-11521; AD 2000-02-03]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737-300, -400 and -500 series airplanes, that requires replacement, with new parts, of the existing actuators or the rod ends on the existing actuators at wing leading edge slat positions 1, 2, 5, and 6. This amendment is prompted by reports indicating that the rod ends on several leading edge slat actuators have fractured. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent fatigue cracking of the rod ends of the leading edge slat actuators, which could result in uncommanded deployment of the wing leading edge slat and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Effective February 29, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 29, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert C. Jones, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1118; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 737-300, -400 and -500 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on August 19, 1999 (64 FR 45211). That action proposed to require replacement, with new parts, of the existing actuators or the rod ends on the existing actuators at wing leading edge slat positions 1, 2, 5, and 6.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Support for the Proposal

One commenter supports the proposed rule.

Request To Reference New Revision of Alert Service Bulletin

One commenter requests that the FAA revise the proposed rule to reference Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, Revision 1, dated December 9, 1999, as an appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the actions specified by the proposal. The proposed AD referenced the original issue of the alert service bulletin, dated November 19, 1998. The commenter states that referencing the revised alert service bulletin will minimize the amount of rework and parts necessary for airplanes that have received a certain other modification.

The FAA concurs with the commenter's request. The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, Revision 1. The instructions contained in Revision 1 of the alert service bulletin are substantially similar to those in the original issue of the alert service bulletin, but Revision 1 adds references to new part numbers and kits that will provide new alternatives for compliance with this AD. In addition, as the commenter states, Revision 1 of the alert service bulletin provides alternative procedures for accomplishing the replacement on airplanes that have received a certain other modification. Therefore, paragraph (a) of this final rule has been revised to state that

replacement of existing actuators or rod ends with new parts may be accomplished in accordance with either the original issue or Revision 1 of the alert service bulletin.

Explanation of Additional Change

The **SUMMARY** section of the preamble of the proposed rule incorrectly states that the proposed AD would be applicable to *all* Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. However, the applicability statement of the proposal correctly states that the proposed AD would be applicable to Boeing Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes having line numbers 1001 through 3063 inclusive. Accordingly, the **SUMMARY** section of this final rule has been corrected to state that this AD applies to *certain* Boeing Model 737-300, -400 and -500 series airplanes.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes previously described. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 1,897 Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 720 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD.

Replacement of the leading edge slat actuator with an actuator that has a new rod end is one option for compliance with this AD. Replacement of the actuators on slat positions 1, 2, 5, and 6 will take approximately 3 hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$32,252 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the installation of actuators with new rod ends, as provided as one option by this AD, on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$32,432 per airplane.

In lieu of installation of an actuator with a new rod end, this AD provides an option for replacement of the rod ends on the existing actuators. This action will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost between approximately \$5,928 and \$21,544 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement of the rod ends, as

provided as one option by this AD, on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$6,168 and \$21,784 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2000-02-03 Boeing: Amendment 39-11521. Docket 98-NM-351-AD.

Applicability: Model 737-300, -400, and -500 series airplanes; line numbers 1001

through 3063 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking of the rod ends on the leading edge slat actuators, which could result in uncommanded deployment of the wing leading edge slat and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Replacement

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace the leading edge slat actuator with an actuator that has a new rod end, or replace the rod end on the existing slat actuator with a new rod end, at slat positions 1, 2, 5, and 6; in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, dated November 19, 1998, or Revision 1, dated December 9, 1999.

Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install any part having a part number identified in the "Existing Part Number" column of Section 2.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, dated November 19, 1998, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, dated November 19, 1998; or

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-27A1211, Revision 1, dated December 9, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on February 29, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 18, 2000.

Donald L. Riggan,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-1596 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Parts 162, 171 and 191

[T.D. 00-5]

RIN 1515-AC21

Penalties for False Drawback Claims

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document adopts as a final rule, with some changes, proposed amendments to the Customs Regulations that implement section 622 of the Customs Modernization provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act concerning penalties for false drawback claims. The document sets forth: procedures that apply when false drawback claims are filed and penalties are thereby incurred; mitigation guidelines that Customs would follow in arriving at a just and reasonable assessment and disposition of liabilities when false drawback claims are filed and penalties are incurred; and more specific grounds and procedures for removing a participant from the drawback compliance program.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 24, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wende Schuster, Penalties Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, 202-927-1537.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 8, 1993, the President signed into law the North American

Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Public Law 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057). Title VI of that Act contained provisions pertaining to Customs Modernization and thus is commonly referred to as the Customs Modernization Act or "Mod Act." Paragraph (a) of section 622 of the Mod Act amended the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, by adding section 593A, which prohibits the filing of false (fraudulent or negligent) drawback claims and prescribes the actions that Customs may take, including the assessment of monetary penalties, if such claims are filed. New section 593A was codified as section 1593a of Title 19 of the United States Code (19 U.S.C. 1593a, hereinafter "the statute").

As in the case of penalties under section 592 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1592), specific procedures and other requirements are set forth in the statute for prepenalty notices and penalty claims, the former not being required by the statute if the penalty is \$1,000 or less, and provision is made for limited penalty assessment if there is a prior disclosure. The statute further provides for the applicability of section 618 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1618), which authorizes the administrative remission or mitigation of penalties. Written decisions, setting forth a final determination and findings of fact and conclusions of law upon which that determination was based, are also mandated by the statute.

The statute provides for the assessment of monetary penalties in amounts not to exceed a specific percentage of the actual or potential loss of revenue, with the applicable percentage depending on the level of culpability, whether there have been prior violations involving the same issue, and whether the violator is a participant in the Customs drawback compliance program. (The statute provides for the establishment of a drawback compliance program; regulatory provisions relating to the operation of that program were adopted as part of the amendments to the Customs Regulations regarding drawback published in the **Federal Register** as T.D. 98-16 on March 5, 1998, 63 FR 10970.) The statute also provides for the issuance of a notice of a violation (warning letter) in lieu of a monetary penalty in the case of a drawback compliance program participant who commits a first (that is, nonrepetitive) negligent violation.

On September 29, 1998, Customs published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 51868) setting forth proposed