

were initiated, the cause of the deviation, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the deviation was corrected; (3) air temperature above the molten glass in an uncontrolled cold top electric furnace, including any period when the temperature exceeds 120 °C (250 °F) at a location 46 to 61 centimeters (18 to 24 inches) above the molten glass surface, the date and time of the exceedance, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the exceedance, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the exceedance was corrected; (4) uncontrolled glass-melting furnace (that is not a cold top electric furnace) parameter value(s) used to monitor furnace performance, including any period when the value(s) exceeds the established limit(s), the date and time of the exceedance, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the exceedance, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the exceedance was corrected; (5) the LOI and product density for each bonded product manufactured on a RS or FA manufacturing line, the free formaldehyde content of each resin shipment received and used in binder formulation, and the binder formulation of each batch; (6) Process parameter level(s) for RS and FA manufacturing lines that use process modifications to comply with the emission standards, including any period when the parameter level(s) deviates from the established limit(s), the date and time of the deviation, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the deviation, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the deviation was corrected; (7) scrubber pressure drop, scrubbing liquid flow rate, and any chemical additive (including chemical feed rate to the scrubber), including any period when a parameter level(s) deviates from the established limit(s), the date and time of the deviation, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the deviation, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the deviation was corrected; (8) incinerator operating temperature and results of periodic inspection of incinerator components, including any period when the temperature falls below the established average or the inspection identifies problems with the incinerator, the date and time of the problem, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the problem, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the problem was corrected; and (9) glass pull rate, including any

period when the pull rate exceeds the average pull rate established during the performance test by more than 20 percent, the date and time of the exceedance, when corrective actions were initiated, the cause of the exceedance, an explanation of the corrective actions taken, and when the cause of the exceedance was corrected.

The NESHAP general provisions (40 CFR part 63, subpart A) require that records be maintained for at least 5 years from the date of each record. The owner or operator must retain the records onsite for at least 2 years but may retain the records offsite the remaining 3 years.

Burden Statement: There are 21 sources subject to this standard. The total average annual hours are estimated to be 17,800. The total average annual cost is estimated to be \$571,000. The following is a breakdown of burden used in the ICR. EPA estimates a two hour burden for notification of applicability and notification of the date of the performance test, and a four hour burden for the notification of compliance status. EPA estimates an eight hour burden for reporting of both excess emissions and for startups, shutdowns and malfunctions. EPA also estimates a 16 hour burden for reporting of monitoring exceedance. EPA also estimates a 40 hour burden for each of the following plans: an Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Plan; a Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan; and a Quality Improvement Plan. For each new source, EPA estimates a 980 hour burden for the initial performance test. Finally, EPA estimates a 9 hour burden for maintaining all records of information required by this subpart.

The total nationwide capital cost associated with monitoring for 21 plants over the three year ICR clearance period is estimated at \$857,000. These costs include \$163,000 capital costs for a bag leak detection system for 18 baghouses (\$9,100 per baghouse leak detection system × 18 baghouses) at 11 facilities with \$500/yr/baghouse in operation and maintenance costs; \$18,000 capital cost for temperature monitors on 12 cold top electric furnaces at 6 facilities (\$1,500 per temperature monitoring and recording device × 12 furnaces); and a one-time cost of \$675,000 to establish a correlation between formaldehyde emissions and process parameters used to monitor compliance on affected RS and FA manufacturing lines (\$15,000 per line × 45 RS and FA manufacturing lines). No additional cost is assumed by EPA for a thermocouple with a strip chart recorder for incinerators, as the thermocouple is customarily included

in the cost of the thermal incinerator. Other equipment used to monitor control devices and processes are already in-place; thus, there would be no additional monitoring costs. The total annualized capital cost is \$123,000, or an average of \$41,000/yr over the three year startup period. Total annual operation and maintenance costs associated with the monitoring equipment is \$9,000 (\$500 per baghouse leak detection system × 18 baghouses), or an average of \$3,000/yr over the three year startup period.

Dated: January 7, 2000.

Bruce R. Weddle,

Acting Director, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 00-1210 Filed 1-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(ER-FRL-6250-2)

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed January 10, 2000 Through January 14, 2000 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 000004, Draft Supplement, FHWA, AR, TX, US 71 Highway Improvement Project, Updated Information, between Texarkana, (US71) Arkansas and DeQueen, Texarkana Northern Loop Funding, Right-of-Way Approval and COE Section 404 Permit, Little River, Miller and Sevier Counties, AR and Bowie County, TX, Due: February 28, 2000, Contact: Elizabeth Romero (501) 324-5309.

EIS No. 000005, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Yosemite National Park and the EL Portal Administrative Site, Tuolumne, Merced, Mono, Mariposa and Madera Counties, CA, Due: March 14, 2000, Contact: Dave Mihalic (209) 372-0261.

EIS No. 000006, Regulatory Draft EIS, FRA, Proposed Rule for the Use of Locomotive Horns at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings in the United States, Due: May 26, 2000, Contact: David Valenstein (202) 493-6383.

EIS No. 000007, Draft Supplement, IBR, NM, CO, Animas-La Plata Project (ALP Project), Municipal and Industrial Water Supply, Reservoir Construction in Ridges Basin, Implementation and Water Acquisition Funding, Additional Information concerning Project Alternatives Developed in 1996 through 1997, CO NM, Due: March 17, 2000, Contact: Mr. Pat Schumacher (970) 388-6500.

EIS No. 000008, Draft EIS, DOE, ID, Idaho High-Level Waste and Facilities Disposition, Construction and Operation, Bannock,

Bingham, Bonneville, Butte, Clark, Jefferson and Madison Counties, ID, Due: March 20, 2000, Contact: Thomas L., Nihmann (208) 526-0535.P='02'≤

EIS No. 000009, Final EIS, BIA, CA, Programmatic-Cabazon Resource Recovery Park Section 6 General Plan, Implementation, Approval of Master Lease and NPDES Permit, Mecca, CA, Due: February 14, 2000, Contact: William Allan (916) 978-6043.P='02'≤

EIS No. 000010, Draft EIS, FHW, WV, WV-65 Transportation Improvement Project, from Appalachian Corridor G near Belo to US 52 at Naugatuck, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Mingo County, WV, Due: March 13, 2000, Contact: Thomas J. Smith (304) 347-5928.P='02'≤

Amended Notices

Tunas, Swordfish and Sharks, Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan, Updated Information, Reduction of Bycatch and Incidental Catch in the Atlantic Pelagic Longline Fishery, Due: March 01, 2000, Contact: Rebecca J. Lent (301) 713-2347.P='02'≤

FR notice published on 12/30/1999: CEQ Comment Date extended from 2/14/2000 to 03/01/2000.P='02'≤

EIS No. 990500, Draft Supplement, UAF, FL, Homestead Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse Updated and Additional Information on Disposal of Portions of the Former Homestead (AFB), Implementation, Dade County, FL, Due: March 07, 2000, Contact: Frank Duncan (703) 696-5243. Published-FR-01-07-00 Correction to Comment date from 02-21-2000 to 03-07-2000.

Dated: January 18, 2000.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-1519 Filed 1-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6250-3]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 03, 2000 Through January 07, 2000 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65336-ID Rating EC1, Brown Creek Timber Sale Project,

Implementation, Payette National Forest, New Meadow Ranger District, Adam County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding cutting timber in the Patrick Butte Roadless Area and recommended the alternative which avoids entry into the roadless area.

ERP No. D-COE-K36051-AZ Rating EC2, Rio de Flag Flood Control Study, Improvement Flood Protection, City of Flagstaff, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to wetlands and requested additional information regarding the extent of wetlands affected by the proposed project and measures to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands.

ERP No. D-IBR-K64018-CA Rating LO, Lower Mokelumne River Restoration Program, Implementation, Resource Management Plan, San Joaquin County, CA.

Summary: EPA has no objections. The project provides benefits to fisheries and the Lower Mokelumne River ecosystem.

ERP No. D-NAS-A11075-00 Rating LO, Mars Surveyor 2001 Mission, Implementation, Orbit Spacecraft Launched from Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA; Delta II 7925 Launch Vehicle in March/April 2001 and a Lander/Rover Spacecraft Launched from Cape Canaveral Air Station, FL; CA and FL.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65320-00 Targhee National Forest Open Road and Open Motorized Trail Analysis, To Implement a new Travel Plan, several counties, ID and Lincoln and Teton Counties, WY.

Summary: The final EIS addressed most of EPA's comments on the draft EIS. However, our comment on the regulations found at 36 CFR 295 was not addressed and EPA remains concerned about potential environmental impacts from roads and opened motorized trails.

ERP No. F-NPS-H65006-NB Homestead National Monument of America, General Management Plan, Implementation, Gage County, NB.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed General Management Plan.

ERP No. FA-FHW-H40108-IA. Central Business District Loop Arterial Construction, Harding Road and I-235 to US 65 at Scott Avenue, Funding and 404 Permit, Polk County, IA

Summary: EPA concluded that the project modifications posed little impact to the environment. Additional noise testing may be warranted as the project progresses.

Regulations

ERP No. R-FAA-A59013-00 FAA Order 1050.1E, Policies and Procedures, Includes Additions or changes to the current version of FAA Order 1050.1D.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern that certain sections of the Order were either not in agreement or are misinterpretations of the CEQ regulations. EPA requested that those sections be rewritten.

Dated: January 18, 2000.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 00-1520 Filed 1-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-00640; FRL-6489-1]

Inaugural Meeting of the Tribal Pesticide Program Council (TPPC); Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Tribal Pesticide Program Council (TPPC) will hold a 2-day meeting, beginning on January 25, 2000 and ending on January 26, 2000. This notice announces the location and times for the meeting and sets forth the tentative agenda topics.

DATES: The meeting will be held on January 25, 2000 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., and January 26, 2000 from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. The Tribal Caucus will hold sessions that are closed to EPA and the General Public on January 25, 2000 from 2 p.m. to 4:30 and January 26, 2000 from 3 p.m. to 3:30 p.m..

Requests to participate in the meeting may be received until January 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel - Crystal City, the Capitol Hill Room, 1300 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Requests to participate may be submitted by mail, electronically, or in person. Please follow the detailed instructions for each method as provided in Unit III. of the "SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION." To ensure proper receipt by EPA, your request must identify docket control number OPP-00640 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillian Wilmore, TPPC Facilitator, P.O. Box 470829; Brookline Village, MA. 02447-0829; telephone number (617) 232-5742; Fax Number (617) 277-1656;