SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This interim rule revises DFARS 209.470, 243.105, and 252.209–7005 to implement Section 549 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Pub. L. 106–65). Section 549 amends 10 U.S.C. 983 to prohibit DoD from providing funds by contract or grant to an institution of higher education (including any subelement of that institution) if the Secretary of Defense determines that the institution (or any subelement of the institution) has a policy or practice that prohibits, or in effect prevents, Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) units or military recruiting on campus.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD does not expect this rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule applies only to institutions of higher education that prohibit Senior ROTC units or military recruiting on campus. Therefore, DoD has not performed an initial regulatory flexibility analysis. DoD invites comments from small businesses and other interested parties. DoD also will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subparts in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 99–D303.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

D. Determination to Issue an Interim Rule

A determination has been made under the authority of the Secretary of Defense that urgent and compelling reasons exist to publish this interim rule prior to affording the public an opportunity to comment. This interim rule implements Section 549 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000. Section 549 amends statutory provisions pertaining to the denial of Federal contracts and grants to institutions of higher education that prohibit Senior ROTC units or military recruiting on campus. Section 549 became effective on October 5, 1999. DoD will consider comments received in response to this interim rule in the formation of the final rule.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,
Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 209, 243, and 252 continues to read as follows:


PART 209—CONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS

209.470 Reserve Officer Training Corps and military recruiting on campus.

209.470–1 Definition.

Institution of higher education, as used in this section, means an institution that meets the requirements of 20 U.S.C. 1001 and includes all subelements of such an institution.

209.470–2 Policy.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection, 10 U.S.C. 983 prohibits DoD from providing funds by contract or grant to an institution of higher education if the Secretary of Defense determines that the institution has a policy or practice that prohibits or in effect prevents—

(1) The Secretary of a military department from maintaining, establishing, or operating a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) at that institution;

(2) A student at that institution from enrolling in a unit of the senior ROTC at another institution of higher education;

(3) The Secretary of a military department or the Secretary of Transportation from gaining entry to campuses, or access to students on campuses, for purposes of military recruiting; or

(4) Military recruiters from accessing certain information pertaining to students enrolled at that institution.

(b) The prohibition in paragraph (a) of this subsection does not apply to an institution of higher education if the Secretary of Defense determines that—

(1) The institution has ceased the policy or practice described in paragraph (a) of this subsection; or
(2) The institution has a long-standing policy of pacifism based on historical religious affiliation.

209.470–3 Procedures.

If the Secretary of Defense determines that an institution of higher education is ineligible to receive DoD funds because of a policy or practice described in 209.470–2(a)—

(a) The Secretary of Defense will list the institution on the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs published by General Services Administration (also see FAR 9.404 and 32 CFR part 216); and

(b) DoD components—

(1) Must not solicit offers from, award contracts to, or consent to subcontracts with the institution;  
(2) Must make no further payments under existing contracts with the institution; and  
(3) Must terminate existing contracts with the institution.

209.470–4 Contract clause.

Use the clause at 252.209–7005, Reserve Officer Training Corps and Military Recruiting on Campus, in all solicitations and contracts with institutions of higher education.

PART 243—CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

3. Section 243.105 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(ii) and removing paragraph (a)(iii). The revised text reads as follows:

243.105 Availability of funds.

(a) * * *

(ii) In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 983, do not provide funds by contract or contract modification, or make contract payments, to an institution of higher education that has a policy or practice of hindering Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps units or military recruiting on campus as described at 209.470.

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

4. Section 252.209–7005 is revised to read as follows:

252.209–7005 Reserve Officer Training Corps and Military Recruiting on Campus.

As prescribed in 209.470–4, use the following clause:

Reserve Officer Training Corps and Military Recruiting on Campus [Jan 2000]

(a) Definition. “Institution of higher education,” as used in this clause, means an institution that meets the requirements of 20 U.S.C. 1001 and includes all subelements of such an institution.

(b) Limitation on contract award. Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this clause, an institution of higher education is ineligible for contract award if the Secretary of Defense determines that the institution has a policy or practice (regardless of when implemented) that prohibits or in effect prevents—

(1) The Secretary of a military department from maintaining, establishing, or operating a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) (in accordance with 10 U.S.C. 654 and other applicable Federal laws) at that institution;

(2) A student at that institution from enrolling in a unit of the Senior ROTC at another institution of higher education;

(3) The Secretary of a military department or the Secretary of Transportation from gaining entry to campuses, or access to students (who are 17 years of age or older) on campuses, for purposes of military recruiting; or

Military recruiters from accessing, for purposes of military recruiting, the following information pertaining to students (who are 17 years of age or older) enrolled at that institution:

(i) Name.

(ii) Address.

(iii) Telephone number.

(iv) Date and place of birth.

(v) Educational level.

(vi) Academic major.

(vii) Degrees received.

(viii) Most recent educational institution enrollment.

(c) Exception. The limitation in paragraph (b) of this clause does not apply to an institution of higher education if the Secretary of Defense determines that—

(1) The institution has ceased the policy or practice described in paragraph (b) of this clause; or

(2) The institution has a long-standing policy of pacifism based on historical religious affiliation.

(d) Agreement. The Contractor represents that it does not now have, and agrees that during performance of this contract it will not adopt, any policy or practice described in paragraph (b) of this clause, unless the Secretary of Defense has granted an exception in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this clause.

(e) Notwithstanding any other clause of this contract, if the Secretary of Defense determines that the Contractor misrepresented its policies and practices at the time of contract award or has violated the agreement in paragraph (d) of this clause—

(1) The Contractor will be ineligible for further payments under this and other contracts with the Department of Defense; and

(2) The Government will terminate this contract for default for the Contractor’s material failure to comply with the terms and conditions of award.

(End of clause)
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AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Acting Director of Defense Procurement has issued an interim rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement Section 216 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000. Section 216 amends statutory provisions pertaining to cost-sharing requirements for contracts under the Manufacturing Technology Program.

DATES: Effective date: January 13, 2000.

Comment date: Comments on the interim rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before March 13, 2000, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Susan Schneider, PDUSD(AT&L)DP(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telefax (703) 602–0350. E-mail comments submitted via the internet should be addressed to: dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 99–D302 in all correspondence related to this rule. E-mail comments should cite DFARS Case 99–D302 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Schneider, (703) 602–0326.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

This interim rule revises DFARS 235.006–70 to implement Section 216 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Pub. L. 106–65). Section 216 amends 10 U.S.C. 2525 to eliminate the mandatory cost-sharing requirements for contracts under the Manufacturing Technology Program, and to provide that cost sharing be included as a factor in competitive procedures for evaluating proposals under manufacturing technology projects.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.