

final EIS provide an expanded evaluation of environmental effects, clearly identify mitigation measures (including monitoring efforts), and commit to implement appropriate mitigation measures.

ERP No. D-COE-C32035-00 Rating EO2, New York and New Jersey Harbor Navigation Study, Identify, Screen and Select Navigation Channel Improvements, NY and NJ.

**Summary:** EPA raised objections based on the significant adverse impacts that could result from the expansion of port facilities and related infrastructure, that would be associated with increasing cargo movement in the Port. EPA also requested additional information, including information on cumulative impacts, the no action alternative, and air quality impact analyses. EPA also requested a formal commitment by the involved agencies to move forward with a comprehensive port improvement plan EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-C40151-NY Rating EC2, County Road (Mill Hill Road and Glen Road) Improvements, From Howard Drive to State Route 9N including a New Bridge over the East Branch of the Ausable River, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Essex County, NY.

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetlands, water quality, and cumulative impacts associated with the project. EPA requested that these issues and other issues be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-G40153-NM Rating LO, New Mexico Forest Highway 45 (Forest Road 537) known locally as the Sacramento River Road, Improvements from Sunspot to Timberon, Otero County, NM.

**Summary:** EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative as proposed in the DEIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-K40239-CA Rating EC2, Interstate 215 (I-215) Transportation Improvements, From the short segments of CA-60 and CA-91 in the Cities of Riverside and Moreno Valley, Funding, Riverside County, CA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns that, both in the short-term and over the long-term, air quality could be impacted by implementing either of the build alternatives. In addition, in the long-term, the project's stated purpose of congestion relief may not be realized.

ERP No. D-NPS-L65331-WA Rating LO, Whitman National Historic Site, General Management Plan, Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Walla Walla County, WA.

**Summary:** EPA expressed lack of objections and suspects the proposed action will improve existing conditions.

ERP No. DS-UAF-A11074-00 Rating LO, Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program, Updated Information, To Allow the Addition of up to Five Strap-on Solid Rocket Motors (SRM) to the Atlas V and Delta IV Lift Vehicle, Launch Locations are Cape Canaveral Air Station, Brevard County, FL and Vandenberg Air Force Base (AFB), Santa Barbara County, CA.

**Summary:** EPA has no objection to the proposed action, some technical/clarification items were provided.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-DOE-A09828-00 Surplus Plutonium Disposition (DOE/EIS-0283) for Siting, Construction and Operation of three facilities for Plutonium Disposition, Possible Sites Hanford, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Pantex Plant and Savannah River, CA, ID, NM, SC, TX and WA.

**Summary:** EPA has no objection to the action as proposed.

ERP No. FR-BOP-E81033-MS Yazoo City, Mississippi Federal Correctional Complex, Construction and Operation, Possibly Consisting of a High Security U. S. Penitentiary, Medium Security Federal Correctional Institution and Minimum Security Federal Prison, Site Selection and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Yazoo City, Yazoo County, MS.

**Summary:** EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential wetland impacts and requested additional clarification and mitigation information.

Dated: December 27, 1999.

**William D. Dickerson,**

*Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.*

[FR Doc. 99-33997 Filed 12-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6517-7]

#### Gulf of Mexico Program Policy Review Board Meeting.

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Federal Advisory Act, Public Law 92-463, EPA gives notice of a meeting of the Gulf of Mexico Program (GMP) Policy Review Board (PRB).

**DATES:** The PRB meeting will be held on Wednesday, January 19, 2000 from 1

p.m. to 5 p.m. and on Thursday, January 20, 2000 from 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the DoubleTree Hotel, 101 South Adams Street, Tallahassee, Florida (850) 224-5000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gloria D. Car, Designated Federal Officer, Gulf of Mexico Program Office, Building 1103, Room 202, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529-6000 at (228) 688-2421.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Proposed agenda items will include: Overview of the GMP's Objectives, Sub-objectives, Annual Performance Goals & Work Plan for FY 2000 and 2001, Overview of Successes and Issues in 1999, GMP Funding & Support—FY 2000 and Beyond, and Discussion of Gulf Regional Awards and Gulf-wide Art Contest.

The meeting is open to the public.

Dated: December 14, 1999.

**James D. Giattina,**

*Director, Gulf of Mexico Program Office.*

[FR Doc. 99-33954 Filed 12-29-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6518-4]

#### Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; The Pyridium Mercury Disposal Superfund Sites #1 & #2, Village of Harriman, Orange County, New York

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Pyridium Mercury Disposal Superfund Sites #1 & #2 located in the Village of Harriman, Orange County, New York with the following settling party: the Estate of William S. Lasdon. The settlement requires the settling party to pay \$1,280,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund in partial reimbursement of EPA's past response costs incurred with respect to the Sites. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607 (a) for past response costs. For thirty (30) days