of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, 21 CFR part 178 is amended as follows:

PART 178—INDIRECT FOOD ADDITIVES, ADJUVANTS, PRODUCTION AIDS, AND SANITIZERS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 178 continues to read as follows:

For use only:

1. At levels not to exceed 1 percent by weight of polypropylene polymers and copolymers complying with §177.1520(c) of this chapter, items 1.1a, 1.1b, 1.2, 1.3, 3.1a, 3.1b, 3.1c, 3.2a, 3.2b, 3.4, or 3.5. The finished articles are to contact food only under conditions of use E through G, as described in Table 2 of §176.170(c) of this chapter.

2. At levels not to exceed 1 percent by weight of high density polyethylene polymers and copolymers complying with §177.1520(c) of this chapter, items 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.1a, 3.1b, 3.1c, 3.2a, 3.2b, 3.6 (density not less than 0.94 grams per cubic centimeter), or 5. The finished articles are to contact food only under conditions of use E through G, as described in Table 2 of §176.170(c) of this chapter.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 178
Food additives, Food packaging.

Dated: December 17, 1999.

L. Robert Lake,
Director, Office of Policy, Planning and Strategic Initiatives, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

BILLING CODE 4160±01±F

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement
30 CFR Part 913
[SPATS No. IL–097–FOR, Part II]
Illinois Regulatory Program
AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.
ACTION: Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is approving part of an amendment to the Illinois regulatory program (Illinois program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Illinois proposed revisions to its program concerning adjustment of performance bond amounts, administrative review, subsidence control, water replacement, release of performance bonds, siltation structures, impoundments, hydrologic balance, disposal of noncoal mine wastes, revegetation, backfilling and grading, prime farmland, and State inspections. This final rule document addresses Illinois’ revisions concerning adjustments to performance bond amounts and administrative review. The primary focus of these revisions is to provide permittees an opportunity for a formal hearing on adjustments made to performance bonds. Illinois intends to revise its program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations, to provide additional safeguards, and to improve operational efficiency.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 27, 1999.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Background on the Illinois Program
II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment
III. Director’s Findings
IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments
V. Director’s Decision
VI. Procedural Determinations

I. Background on the Illinois Program

On June 1, 1982, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Illinois program. You can find background information on the Illinois program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval in the June 1, 1982, Federal Register (47 FR 23883). You can find later actions concerning the Illinois program at 30 CFR 913.15, 913.16, and 913.17.

II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated August 2, 1999 (Administrative Record No. IL–5044), the Illinois Department of Natural Resources (Department) sent us an amendment to the Illinois program under SMCRA. The Department proposed to amend Title 62 of the Illinois Administrative Code (IAC) in response to our letters dated May 20, 1996, June 17, 1997, and January 15, 1999 (Administrative Record Nos. IL–1900, IL–2000, and IL–5036, respectively), that we sent to Illinois under 30 CFR 732.17(c). The amendment also includes changes made at the Department’s own initiative.

We announced receipt of the amendment in the August 17, 1999, Federal Register (64 FR 44674). In the same document, we opened the public comment period and provided an opportunity for a public hearing or meeting on the adequacy of the amendment. The public comment
period closed on September 16, 1999. Because no one requested a public hearing or meeting, we did not hold one.

During our review of the amendment, we identified concerns relating to siltation structures, impoundments, performance bonds, and State inspections. We also identified some nonsubstantive editorial errors. We notified Illinois of these concerns and editorial problems by letter dated September 21, 1999 (Administrative Record No. IL±5048). We also separated the amendment into three parts in order to expedite the State program amendment process. Part I concerns revisions to Illinois’ regulations relating to subsidence control and water replacement. Because we did not identify any concerns relating to Illinois’ revisions for subsidence control and water replacement, we approved them in a final rule on December 6, 1999 (64 FR 68024). Part II concerns revisions to Illinois’ regulations relating to adjustment of performance bond amounts and administrative review. On December 2, 1999, the Department requested that we proceed with our decision on its revisions for adjustment of performance bond amounts and administrative review (Administrative Record No. IL±5049). Therefore, this final rule Federal Register document addresses the IL±097±FOR, Part II revisions. Part III concerns revisions to Illinois’ regulations relating to performance bonds, siltation structures, impoundments, hydrologic balance, disposal of noncoal mine wastes, revegetation, backfilling and grading, prime farmland, and State inspections. These revisions will be addressed in a future final rule.

III. Director’s Findings

Following, under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.15 and 732.17, are our findings on Illinois’ revisions pertaining to adjustment of performance bond amounts and administrative review.

Administrative Review of Bond Adjustment Determinations

Illinois revised its regulations for performance bond adjustment and administrative review as a result of Court Case No. 99±MR±214, Sangamon County, Illinois. The court found that the Department’s rules lacked a mechanism for administrative hearing in the case of bond adjustments. The court ruled that this was in violation of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act and prohibited the Department from increasing performance bonds under its current regulations.

1. 62 IAC 1800.15 Adjustment of Performance Bond Amounts

In response to the court’s decision, Illinois revised subsection (b)(2) to provide the permittee an opportunity for a formal hearing, in accordance with 62 IAC 1847.3, on proposed adjustments to the performance bond amount.

Currently, Illinois provides an opportunity for an informal conference.

The counterpart Federal regulation at 30 CFR 800.15(b)(2) also provides the permittee an opportunity for an informal conference on proposed adjustments to the performance bond amount. However, Illinois’ allowance for a formal administrative hearing will provide an increased level of due process procedures for the permittees. Therefore, we find that Illinois’ regulation at 62 IAC 1800.15(b)(2) is no less effective than the Federal regulation at 30 CFR 800.15(b)(2).

2. 62 IAC 1847.3 Administrative Review and Judicial Review: Permit Hearings

Illinois revised subsection (a) to provide that the hearing procedures outlined in 62 IAC 1847.3 also apply to review of performance bond adjustment determinations under 62 IAC 1800.15.

Illinois’ currently approved regulations at 62 IAC Part 1847 consolidate the procedures for all of the formal hearings provided for in the Illinois program. The proposed revision clarifies that administrative review of performance bond adjustment determinations is covered under the hearing procedures at 62 IAC 1847.3. We previously approved the hearing procedures at 62 IAC 1847.3 for review of several types of administrative decisions and determinations, including permit decisions and valid existing right determinations. The Federal regulations specify general adjudicatory provisions that States must include in their administrative review hearing procedures, but allow the States discretion in how to implement these provisions. We find that Illinois’ regulations at 62 IAC 1847.3 are consistent with the Federal regulations at 43 CFR part 4 for purposes of administrative hearings on performance bond adjustment determinations.

IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

Public Comments

We requested public comments on the proposed amendment, but did not receive any.
program amendment process and to encourage Illinois to bring its program into conformity with the Federal standards. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

VI. Executive Order Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this rule from review under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously published by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

OMB has determined and certifies under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 913

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.


Charles E. Sandberg,
Acting Regional Director Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR Part 913 is amended as set forth below:

PART 913—Illinois

1. The authority citation for Part 913 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.

2. Section 913.15 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 913.15 Approval of Illinois regulatory program amendments.

| * | * | * | * | * | * |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original amendment submission date</th>
<th>Date of final publication</th>
<th>Citation/description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2, 1999</td>
<td>December 27, 1999</td>
<td>62 IAC 1800.15(b)(2); 1847.3(a).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert A. Penn, Director, Big Stone Gap Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1941 Neeley Road, Suite 201, Compartment 116, Big Stone Gap, Virginia 24219, Telephone: (540) 523–4303.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Virginia Program.
II. Submission of the Amendment.
III. Director’s Findings.
IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments.
V. Director’s Decision.
VI. Procedural Determinations.

I. Background on the Virginia Program

On December 15, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Virginia program. You can find background information on the Virginia program, including the Secretary’s