

**PART 806b—AIR FORCE PRIVACY ACT PROGRAM**

1. The authority citation for 32 CFR Part 806b continues to read as follows:  
**Authority:** Pub. L. 93-579, 88 Stat 1896 (5 U.S.C. 552a).

2. Appendix C to Part 806b is amended by adding paragraph (b)(21) as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

b. Specific exemptions.\* \* \*  
 (21) *System identifier and name:*  
 F036 AF DP G, Military Equal Opportunity and Treatment.

(i) *Exemption:* Investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2). However, if an individual is denied any right, privilege, or benefit for which he would otherwise be entitled by Federal law or for which he would otherwise be eligible, as a result of the maintenance of the information, the individual will be provided access to the information except to the extent that disclosure would reveal the identity of a confidential source. Portions of this system of records may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(d), (e)(4)(H), and (f).

(iii) *Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2)

(iv) *Reasons:* (1) From subsection (d) because access to the records contained in this system would inform the subject of an investigation of the existence of that investigation, provide the subject of the investigation with information that might enable him to avoid detection, and would present a serious impediment to law enforcement. In addition, granting individuals access to information collected while an Equal Opportunity and Treatment clarification/investigation is in progress conflicts with the just, thorough, and timely completion of the complaint, and could possibly enable individuals to interfere, obstruct, or mislead those clarifying/investigating the complaint.

(2) From subsection (e)(4)(H) because this system of records is exempt from individual access pursuant to subsection (k) of the Privacy Act of 1974.

(3) From subsection (f) because this system of records has been exempted from the access provisions of subsection (d).

(4) Consistent with the legislative purpose of the Privacy Act of 1974, the Department of the Air Force will grant access to nonexempt material in the records being maintained. Disclosure will be governed by the Department of the Air Force's Privacy Instruction, but will be limited to the extent that the identity of confidential sources will not be compromised; subjects of an

investigation of an actual or potential violation will not be alerted to the investigation; the physical safety of witnesses, informants and law enforcement personnel will not be endangered, the privacy of third parties will not be violated; and that the disclosure would not otherwise impede effective law enforcement. Whenever possible, information of the above nature will be deleted from the requested documents and the balance made available. The controlling principle behind this limited access is to allow disclosures except those indicated above. The decisions to release information from this system will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Dated: December 16, 1999.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 99-33244 Filed 12-22-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-10-F**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Parts 52 and 70**

**[Region VII Tracking No. MO 083-1083a; FRL-6510-9]**

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve certain portions of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the state of Missouri and as revisions to the part 70 (operating permits) program. These revisions established emission and service fees for 1997 and 1998 and clarify language regarding reporting requirements, emission calculations and verification.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on February 22, 2000 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by January 24, 2000. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to: Kim Johnson, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of the state submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours: Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; and the Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Air Docket (6102), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kim Johnson, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551-7975.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background***What is a SIP?*

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter (PM), and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to EPA for approval and incorporation into the Federally enforceable SIP.

The CAA requires each state to have a Federally approved SIP which protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

*What is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?*

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to EPA for inclusion into the SIP. EPA must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be

addressed prior to any final Federal action by EPA.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, part 52 entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR but are "incorporated by reference," which means that EPA has approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

#### *What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to me?*

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, EPA is authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violators as described in the CAA.

#### *What is the Part 70 (Operating Permits) Program?*

The CAA Amendments of 1990 require all states to develop operating permits programs that meet certain Federal criteria. In implementing this program, the states are to require certain sources of air pollution to obtain permits that contain all applicable requirements under the CAA. One purpose of the part 70 (operating permits) program is to improve enforcement by issuing each source a single permit that consolidates all of the applicable CAA requirements into a Federally enforceable document. By consolidating all of the applicable requirements for a facility into one document, the source, the public, and the permitting authorities can more easily determine what CAA requirements apply and how compliance with those requirements is determined.

Sources required to obtain an operating permit under this program include: "major" sources of air pollution and certain other sources specified in the CAA or in EPA's implementing regulations. For example, all sources regulated under the acid rain program, regardless of size, must obtain permits. Examples of major sources include those that emit 100 tons per year or more of volatile organic compounds, carbon monoxide, lead, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, or PM<sub>10</sub>; those that emit 10 tons per year of any single hazardous air pollutant

(HAP) (specifically listed under the CAA); or those that emit 25 tons per year or more of a combination of HAPs.

Revisions to the state operating permits program are also subject to public notice, comment, and EPA approval.

#### *What are the Changes that EPA is Approving?*

The revisions include two separate amendments to the Missouri "Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information" rule which were adopted by the Missouri Air Conservation Commissions approximately one year apart.

The first revision, with a state effective date of December 30, 1997, requires companies to report capture efficiency and control efficiency on control devices and to calculate emissions using MDNR's acceptable estimation methods as guidance. This revision also requires Emission Inventory Questionnaires to be submitted on state forms, clarifies language regarding reporting frequency and emission fees, and revises the installation classification to match the permitting classification.

The second revision, with a state effective date of December 30, 1998, is an annual update to establish emission and service fees for 1997 and 1998 and clarifies the language regarding fee obligations for charcoal kilns to reflect state statutory requirements.

#### *What Action is EPA Taking?*

EPA is taking final action to approve, as an amendment to the SIP and the part 70 program, the revisions to Missouri rule 10 CSR 10-6.110, "Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information." Section (5), relating solely to the assessment of fees for sources subject to the operating permit program, is part of the part 70, Title V program and will not be approved into the SIP. The remainder of the revisions to Rule 10-6.110, which clarifies reporting requirements, methodology for emission calculations, and verification of emissions, is approved into the SIP.

#### **Conclusion**

EPA is taking final action to approve, as an amendment to the SIP and the part 70 program, the revisions to Missouri rule 10 CSR 10-6.110, "Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information," effective December 30, 1998. Section (5) is part of the Title V program and will not be approved into the SIP.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency

views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective February 22, 2000 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by January 24, 2000.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on February 22, 2000 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

#### **Administrative Requirements**

##### *A. Executive Order 12866*

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

##### *B. Executive Order 13132*

Federalism (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) revokes and replaces Executive Order 12612 (Federalism) and Executive Order 12875 (Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by state and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." Under Executive Order 13132, EPA may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications, that imposes substantial direct compliance costs, and that is not required by statute, unless the Federal Government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by state and local governments, or EPA consults with state and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

EPA also may not issue a regulation that has federalism implications and that preempts state law unless the Agency consults with state and local officials early in the process of developing the proposed regulation.

This final rule will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132. Thus, the requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order do not apply to this rule.

#### C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866, and it does not establish a further health or risk-based standard because it approves state rules which implement a previously promulgated health or safety-based standard.

#### D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal Government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the OMB, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature

of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

#### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

The RFA generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements, unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and permit program approvals under the CAA do not create any new requirements, but simply approve requirements that the state is already imposing. Therefore, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-state relationship under the CAA, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The CAA forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

#### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203

requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves preexisting requirements under state law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

#### G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the United States Senate, the United States House of Representatives, and the United States Comptroller General prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 22, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects

##### 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Operating permits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: November 29, 1999.

Dennis Grams, Regional Administrator, Region VII.

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS**

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart AA—Missouri**

2. In § 52.1320 the entry in paragraph (c), table titled EPA-Approved Missouri Regulations, Missouri Citation 10–6.110 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 52.1320 Identification of Plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) EPA-approved regulations.

Missouri citation	Title	State effective date	EPA Approval date	Explanations
<b>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
<b>Chapter 6—Air Quality Standards, Definitions, Sampling and Reference Methods, and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the State of Missouri</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
10–6.110 .....	Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information.	12/30/98 .....	12/23/99 .....	Section (5), Emission Fees, is part of the Title V program and has not been approved as part of the SIP.
*	*	*	*	*

**PART 70—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 70 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 741 *et seq.*

2. Appendix A to part 70 is amended by adding paragraph (e) to the entry for Missouri to read as follows:

**Appendix A to Part 70—Approval Status of State and Local Operating Permit Programs**

\* \* \* \* \*

*Missouri*

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) The Missouri Department of Natural Resources submitted on July 8, 1999, revisions to Missouri rules 10 CSR 10–6.110, “Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees, and Process Information,” effective on December 30, 1998.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 99–32758 Filed 12–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 300**

[I.D. 120999F]

**Notification of U.S. Fish Quota Allocations in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization Regulatory Area**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notification of U.S. fish quota allocations.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS announces that fish quota allocations are available for harvest by U.S. fishermen in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO) Regulatory Area. **DATES:** Fish quotas are effective January 1, 2000, through December 31, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** For more information regarding the High Seas Fishing Compliance Act (HSFCA) Permit and NAFO requirements, please contact the Office of the Regional Administrator, NMFS Northeast Regional Office at One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930 (phone: 978–281–9226, fax: 978–281–9371).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick E. Moran, 301–713–2276.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NAFO has established and maintains conservation measures in its Regulatory Area that include one effort limitation fishery as well as fisheries with total allowable catches (TACs) and member nation allocations. The principal species managed are cod, flounders, redfish, American plaice, halibut, capelin, shrimp, and squid. At the 1999 NAFO Annual Meeting, the United States received fish quota allocations for three NAFO stocks to be fished during 2000. In addition, the United States received an effort allocation for shrimp in NAFO Division 3M, which will be addressed in a separate notice. The species, fish quota allocation (in metric tons), and location of these U.S. fishing opportunities are as follows:

- (1) Redfish 69 mt NAFO Division 3M
- (2) Shrimp 67 mt NAFO Division 3L
- (3) Squid 453 mt NAFO Subareas 3 & 4

All U.S. fish quota allocations in NAFO are available, on a first-come-first-served basis, to be taken by U.S. vessels in possession of a valid High Seas Fishing Compliance Act (HSFCA) permit and NAFO reporting forms, both of which are available from the NMFS Northeast Regional Office. Note that