

significant federalism implications under that Order.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) governs the issuance of Federal regulations that require unfunded mandates. An unfunded mandate is a regulation that requires a State, local, or tribal government or the private sector to incur direct costs without the Federal Government's having first provided the funds to pay those unfunded mandate costs. The Coast Guard does not anticipate that any future rulemaking will result in an unfunded mandate.

Taking of Private Property

The Coast Guard anticipates that any potential rulemaking will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

The Coast Guard anticipates that any potential rulemaking will meet applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

The Coast Guard anticipates that any potential rulemaking will not be economically significant and will not present an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children under E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks.

Environment

The Coast Guard anticipates that any potential rulemaking will require an Environmental Assessment due to the advertised size of the event and its proximity to sensitive environmental areas. Further, any potential rulemaking will be designed to minimize the likelihood of maritime accidents and attendant environmental consequences and to enhance the safety of event participants, spectators and other maritime traffic. The Coast Guard invites comments addressing possible effects that any such rulemaking may have on the human environment, or addressing possible inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative determination relating to the environment. The Coast Guard will reach a final determination once it has received a detailed parade of sail plan

and environmental analysis from the sponsor organization.

Dated: December 8, 1999.

L.J. Bowling,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Miami Zone.

[FR Doc. 99–32784 Filed 12–16–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA074–4094b; FRL–6501–3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Oxygenated Gasoline Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The revision makes the oxygenated gasoline program a contingency measure for the five-county Philadelphia area, which means that the oxygenated gasoline program would only be required to be implemented in the five-county Philadelphia area if there is a violation of the carbon monoxide (CO) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The revision also makes technical amendments to the oxygenated gasoline regulation. In the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by January 18, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone and Mobile Sources Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Kelly L. Bunker, (215) 814–2177, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at bunker.kelly@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: November 18, 1999.

A.R. Morris,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 99–32374 Filed 12–16–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 172–0205; FRL–6511–5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is withdrawing its proposed approval of a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) and proposing to disapprove the revision. This revision concerns the federal recognition of variances from certain rule requirements. Based on comments received on its proposal to approve this revision, EPA now believes the revision does not meet applicable Clean Air Act requirements and is therefore proposing to disapprove the revision.