

These considerations confirm and strengthen the Commission's 1990 findings and lead the Commission to conclude that no significant and unexpected events have occurred—no major shifts in national policy, no major unexpected institutional developments, no unexpected technical information—that would cast doubt on the Commission's Waste Confidence findings or warrant a detailed reevaluation at this time. As a result, a formal review of these activities now would not call into serious question the Commission's Waste Confidence findings, as updated in 1990. The Commission, therefore, is not undertaking any modification to the findings codified in 10 CFR 51.23. However, when the nearer term activities on repository development and licensing are concluded, there may be implications for the Waste Confidence findings. If warranted, the Commission will consider undertaking a comprehensive review at that time.

III. The Next Review

The appropriate trigger for the next review could be a combination of events or it could be a single event. For example, any significant delays in DOE's repository development schedule or a decision by the Secretary of Energy to not recommend Yucca Mountain as a candidate site might necessitate a reevaluation of the Commission's Waste Confidence Decision. Thus, the Commission would consider undertaking a comprehensive reevaluation of the Waste Confidence findings when the impending repository development and regulatory activities run their course or if significant and pertinent unexpected events occur, raising substantial doubt about the continuing validity of the Waste Confidence findings.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of November, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 99-31506 Filed 12-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 99-ACE-39]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Emmetsburg, IA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Emmetsburg, IA.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 64 FR 48088 is effective on 0901 UTC, December 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE-520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329-2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 1999 (64 FR 48088). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a non-controversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such comment period, the regulation would become effective on December 30, 1999. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on November 18, 1999.

Richard L. Day,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 99-31520 Filed 12-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 99-ACE-42]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Malden, MO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Malden, MO.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 64 FR 49374 is effective on 0901 UTC, December 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE-520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329-2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the **Federal Register** on September 13, 1999 (64 FR 49374). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a non-controversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on December 30, 1999. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on November 18, 1999.

Richard L. Day,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 99-31522 Filed 12-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 99-ACE-43]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Sikeston, MO

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule, confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Sikeston, MO.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 64 FR 49373 is effective on 0901 UTC, December 30, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Tariff Division,