

together to stabilize, protect, restore, and enhance the Bay-Delta system. The State and Federal agencies with management and regulatory responsibilities in the Bay-Delta system are working together as CALFED to provide policy direction and oversight for the process.

One area of Bay-Delta management includes the establishment of a joint State-Federal process to develop long-term solutions to problems in the Bay-Delta system related to fish and wildlife, water supply reliability, natural disasters, and water quality. The intent is to develop a comprehensive and balanced plan which addresses all of the resource problems. This effort, the CALFED Bay-Delta Program (Program), is being carried out under the policy direction of CALFED. The Program is exploring and developing a long-term solution for a cooperative planning process that will determine the most appropriate strategy and actions necessary to improve water quality, restore health to the Bay-Delta ecosystem, provide for a variety of beneficial uses, and minimize Bay-Delta system vulnerability. A group of citizen advisors representing California's agricultural, environmental, urban, business, fishing, and other interests who have a stake in finding long-term solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The BDAC provides advice to CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: November 17, 1999.

**Lester A. Snow,**

*Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 99-30446 Filed 11-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 17, 1999.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public

information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation for BLS, ETA, PWBA, and OASAM contact Karin Kurz ((202) 219-5096 ext. 159 or by E-mail to Kurz-Karin@dol.gov). To obtain documentation for ESA MSHA, OHSA, and VETS contact Darrin King ((202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-Mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov). Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Agency:** Employment and Training Administration.

**Title:** ETA Summaries Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund Activities.

**OMB Number:** 1205-0154.

**Frequency:** Monthly.

**Affected Public:** State, Local, or Tribal govt.

**Number of Respondents:** 53.

**Estimated Time Per respondent:** 30 to 60 minutes.

**Total Burden Hours:** 2226.

**Total Annualized capital/startup costs:** \$0.

**Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):** \$0

**Description:** Collection of State financial activity operating the Unemployment Insurance program.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 99-30466 Filed 11-22-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,471 and NAFTA-3084]

#### Fort James Corporation Packaging Division Portland, OR; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of August 13, 1999, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determinations for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) for the workers and former workers of the subject firm.

Workers of the subject firm are engaged in employment related to the production of paper food packaging, primarily frozen food packaging.

On July 30, 1999, the workers were denied TAA because the "contributed importantly" test of Section 222(3) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. A survey of the subject firm's major customers revealed that none of the customers increased import purchases of food packaging while reducing purchases from the subject firm.

On that same date, the same worker group was denied eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA based on the finding that criteria (3) and (4) of the worker group eligibility requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended were not met. There was no shift in production from the worker's firm to Mexico or Canada. Other investigation findings showed that there were no company or customer imports of food packaging from Mexico or Canada.

The Department has obtained new information from one of the subject firm's major declining customers showing increased import purchases of frozen food packaging from Canada while reducing purchases from Fort James.

### Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that workers of Fort James