

Tax Administration when that office is vacant.

Dated: November 4, 1999.

**Lawrence H. Summers,**

*Secretary of the Treasury.*

[FR Doc. 99-29658 Filed 11-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Information Security Oversight Office

#### 32 CFR Part 2001

[Directive No. 1; Appendix A]

[RIN 3095-AA92]

#### Information Security Oversight Office; Classified National Security Information; Correction

**AGENCY:** Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO), National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Information Security Oversight Office, NARA, published in the **Federal Register** of September 13, 1999, a final rule establishing a uniform referral standard that Federal agencies must use for multi-agency declassification issues. Inadvertently, we published incorrect text for the definition of the term "Exempted." This document provides the correct text.

**DATES:** Effective on October 13, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Garfinkel, Director, ISOO. Telephone: 202-219-5250.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** ISOO published a final rule document in the **Federal Register** of September 13, 1999, (64 FR 49388) adding a new § 2001.55 to Subpart E. The definition of "Exempted" incorrectly repeated the definition for another term. This correction provides the correct definition for "Exempted."

In the document FR 99-23800 published on September 13, 1999, (99 FR 49388) make the following correction. On page 49389, in the second column, in § 2001.55, paragraph (d), remove the definition of "Exempted" and add in its place:

#### § 2001.55 Document referral.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

"Exempted means nomenclature and marking indicating information has been determined to fall within an enumerated exemption from automatic declassification under E.O. 12958."

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: November 9, 1999.

**John W. Carlin,**

*Archivist of the United States.*

[FR Doc. 99-29863 Filed 11-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[CGD01-99-076]

RIN 2115-AE47

#### Drawbridge Operation Regulations: Hackensack River, Passaic River, NJ

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is changing the drawbridge operation regulations governing the Harold J. Dillard (Court Street) Bridge, at mile 16.2, at Hackensack, across the Hackensack River, the Avondale Bridge, at mile 10.7, at Lyndhurst, across the Passaic River, and the Douglas O. Mead (Union Avenue) Bridge, at mile 13.2, at Rutherford, across the Passaic River, in New Jersey. The bridge owner asked the Coast Guard to change the regulations for these bridges to require a four-hour advance notice for openings at all times because there have been few requests to open these bridges since 1994. This final rule is expected to relieve the bridge owner of the burden of crewing the bridges at all times and still meet the needs of navigation.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective December 16, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District Office, 408 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (617) 223-8364.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John W. McDonald, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, (617) 223-8364.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Regulatory History

On August 13, 1999, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking entitled Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Hackensack River and Passaic River, New Jersey, in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 44148). The Coast Guard received no comments in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking. No public hearing was requested and none was held.

#### Background

The Harold J. Dillard (Court Street) Bridge, mile 16.2, across the Hackensack River in Hackensack, New Jersey, has a vertical clearance of 3 feet at mean high water and 8 feet at mean low water, the Douglas O. Mead (Union Avenue) Bridge, mile 13.2, across the Passaic River, in Rutherford, New Jersey, has a vertical clearance of 13 feet at mean high water and 18 feet at mean low water, the Avondale Bridge, mile 10.7, across the Passaic River in Lyndhurst, New Jersey, has a vertical clearance of 7 feet at mean high water and 12 feet at mean low water.

The existing operating regulations for the Harold J. Dillard (Court Street) Bridge listed at § 117.723(g) require the bridge to open on signal from 7 a.m. to 11 p.m. From 11 p.m. to 7 a.m., and at all times on weekends and Federal holidays, the draw shall open on signal if at least eight hours notice is given. The existing operating regulations for the Douglas O. Mead (Union Avenue) Bridge listed at § 117.739(o) require the bridge to open on signal; except that, from 4 p.m. to 8 a.m., the draw shall open if at least eight hours notice is given. The existing operating regulations for the Avondale Bridge listed at 117.739(l) require the bridge to open on signal; except that, notice must be given before 2:30 a.m. for openings between 3 a.m. and 8:30 a.m. and before 2:30 p.m. for openings between 4:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.

The bridge owner, the County of Bergen, has asked the Coast Guard to change the regulations for these bridges to require a four-hour advance notice for openings at all times.

The bridge log data indicates that the Harold J. Dillard (Court Street) Bridge and Douglas O. Mead (Union Avenue) Bridge have not received a request to open since 1994. The Avondale Bridge had 8 openings in 1996, 4 openings in 1997, 2 openings in 1998, and no openings thus far in 1999.

#### Discussion of Comments and Changes

The Coast Guard received no comments in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking and no changes have been made to this final rule.

#### Regulatory Evaluation

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of