

2. Two (2) Requests from Federal Credit Unions to Convert to Community Charters.

3. Texas Member Business Loan Rule.

4. Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Part 721, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Federal Credit Union Insurance and Group Purchasing Activities.

5. Proposed Rule: Request for Comments, Part 745, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Share Insurance and Appendix.

6. Interim Final Rule: Request for Comments, Part 707, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Truth in Savings.

7. Final Rule: Amendment to Part 711, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Management Interlocks Regulation.

8. Final Rule: Amendments to Part 712, NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Credit Union Service Organizations (CUSO).

9. NCUA's 2000/2001 Operating Budget.

RECESS: 11:15 a.m.

TIME AND DATE: 11:30 a.m., Thursday, November 18, 1999.

PLACE Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3428.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Administrative Action under Section 109 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).

2. Administrative Action under Section 125 of the Federal Credit Union Act and Request for National Field of Membership. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).

3. Field of Membership Appeal. Closed pursuant to exemption (8).

4. One (1) Personnel Matter. Closed pursuant to exemptions (2) and (6).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518-6304.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 99-29964 Filed 11-12-99; 10:06 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Commonwealth Edison Co.; Notice of Partial Denial of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for Hearing

[Docket No. 50-373]

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has partially denied a request by

Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee), for an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-11 issued to ComEd for operation of LaSalle County Station, Unit 1, located in LaSalle County, Illinois. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of this amendment was published in the **Federal Register** on August 11, 1999 (64 FR 43768).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise Technical Specification (TS) Section 2.1 to reflect a change to the Minimum Critical Power Ratio and to add an NRC-approved Siemens Power Corporation methodology to the list of topical reports used to determine the core operating limits.

The NRC staff has concluded that the portion of the licensee's request to add a methodology to the list of topical reports in Section 6.6 of the TS can not be granted. The basis for the partial denial is detailed in the Safety Evaluation related to Amendment No. 137 dated November 9, 1999.

By December 16, 1999, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the partial denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to Ms. Pamela Stroebel, Commonwealth Edison Company, PO Box 767, Chicago, Illinois 60690-0767.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 7, 1999, as supplemented on October 14, 1999, and (2) Amendment No. 137 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-11, and (3) the Commissions related Safety Evaluation.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, or are accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (<http://www.nrc.gov>).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of November, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Anthony J. Mendiola,

Acting Director, Project Directorate III, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-29842 Filed 11-15-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-8778]

Notice of Consideration of Amendment Request for Molycorp, Washington, Pennsylvania and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of a license amendment to Source Material License No. SMB-1393 issued to Molycorp, Inc. (the licensee), to authorize decommissioning of its former processing facility in Washington, Pennsylvania.

The licensee initially submitted a Site Decommissioning Plan (SDP) for the Washington, PA, facility on August 14, 1995. Decommissioning criteria in effect at the time the SDP was submitted were contained in NRC's "Action Plan to Ensure Timely Clean up of Site Decommissioning Management Plan Sites" (Action Plan) (57 FR 13389 dated April 16, 1992). The SDP proposed a modification to the Action Plan criteria for application at the Washington facility. Contaminated portions of the facility would be remediated to this modified criteria and be disposed of in a disposal cell on another portion of Molycorp's property. NRC subsequently published its license termination rule (Radiological Criteria for License Termination (LTR), 10 CFR part 20 subpart E) in 1997. The LTR allows a "grandfathering" period (10 CFR 20.1401(b)(3)) for licensees proposing Action Plan criteria in SDPs submitted prior to August 20, 1998. In a letter dated February 16, 1999, NRC staff informed Molycorp that because the criteria proposed in the 1995 SDP were not consistent with the Action Plan, the conditions that would permit remediation of certain areas of the site on a grandfathered basis had not been met. On June 1, 1999, the licensee proposed that the SDP would be resubmitted in two parts, with Part 1 pertaining to remediation in accordance with Action Plan criteria and Part 2 detailing the disposition of materials that exceed levels in the Action Plan. A meeting was held between NRC staff and Molycorp on June 15, 1999, to discuss this matter. At this meeting, NRC stated that if a revised SDP,