

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-178 (Review) and 731-TA-636-638 (Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, France, India, and Spain

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, India, and Spain.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod from Brazil, France, India, and Spain would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B); a schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date.

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at <http://www.usitc.gov/rules.htm>.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Noreen (202-205-3167), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 1, 1999, the Commission

determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group responses to its notice of institution (64 FR 35697, July 1, 1999) were adequate with respect to all the reviews, and that the respondent interested party group responses were adequate with respect to France, but inadequate with respect to Brazil, India, and Spain. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting full reviews with respect to Brazil, India, and Spain.¹

A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: October 8, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-26908 Filed 10-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 97-22]

James C. LaJevic, D.M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On June 5, 1997, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to James C. LaJevic, D.M.D. (Respondent) of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, BL4788064, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(1), and deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f). The Order to Show Cause alleged that Respondent materially falsified two applications for registration with DEA.

Respondent requested a hearing on the issues raised by the Order to Show Cause, and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. During prehearing

procedures, the issue was framed to include not only the material falsification of applications as a basis for the revocation of Respondent's DEA registration, but also whether Respondent's continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(4). Following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania on March 10, 1998, and in Arlington, Virginia on August 18, 1998. At the hearing, both parties called witnesses to testify and the Government introduced documentary evidence. After the hearing, both parties submitted proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument.

On May 6, 1999, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision, recommending that Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration be revoked, and any pending applications be denied. On June 18, 1999, Respondent filed exceptions to Judge Bittner's opinion and recommended decision, and on July 9, 1999, the Government filed its response to Respondent's exceptions. Thereafter, on July 15, 1999, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Deputy Administrator.

The Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety, and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67 hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Ruling, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge. His adoption is in no manner diminished by any recitation of facts, issues and conclusions herein, or of any failure to mention a matter of fact or law.

The Deputy Administrator finds that Respondent has practiced dentistry in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania since 1976. While Respondent now lives in Boulder City, Nevada, he still practices dentistry in Pittsburgh approximately seven to ten days per month.

On September 10, 1990, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of State, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, State Board of Dentistry (Dental Board) issued an Order suspending Respondent's state dental license for a period of three months commencing on October 12, 1990. The Dental Board's action was based on Respondent's 1988 conviction in the United States District

¹ Commissioner Crawford dissenting.