

3. Within ten days of the date of this Order, the licensee shall complete a leak test pursuant to Byproduct Material License No. 45-23000-02, Condition 14.A., B., C., and D. to confirm the absence of leakage and to establish the levels of residual radioactive contamination. The licensee shall, within five days of the date the leak test results are known, submit the results of the leak test in writing to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, at the address given in Paragraph 2 above. If the test reveals the presence of 0.005 microcuries or greater of removable contamination, the licensee shall immediately contact Mr. Douglas M. Collins, NRC Region II, at the telephone number given in Paragraph 2 above.

4. Within 30 days of the date of this Order, the licensee shall cause all licensed material in its possession to be transferred to an authorized recipient in accordance with 10 CFR 30.41 and shall submit a completed Form NRC-314 to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, at the address given in paragraph 2. above.

*B. It is further ordered:*

1. Upon a written finding by the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, that no licensed material remains in the licensee's possession and that other applicable provisions of 10 CFR 30.36 have been fulfilled, Byproduct Material License No. 45-23000-02 is revoked.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above provisions upon demonstration of good cause by the licensee.

**V**

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, the licensee must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and shall include a statement of good cause for the extension. The answer may consent to the Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and set forth the matters of fact and law on which the licensee or other person adversely affected relies and reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued. Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted

to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555; to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement at the same address; and to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region II, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Suite 23T85, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3415; and to the licensee if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than the licensee. If a person other than the licensee requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by the licensee or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), the licensee, may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this order.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 4th day of October 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl J. Paperiello,**

*Deputy Executive Director for Materials, Research and State Programs.*

[FR Doc. 99-26703 Filed 10-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Workshop Concerning the Revision of the Baseline Safety Inspection Program for Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facilities**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** NRC will host a public workshop in Rockville, Maryland to provide the public, those regulated by the NRC, and other stakeholders, with information about and an opportunity to provide views on how NRC plans to revise its safety inspection program for nuclear fuel cycle facilities. This workshop follows the recent initial public stakeholder meeting held at NRC Headquarters on September 16, 1999. Presentations given at each meeting together with a transcript of the meeting will be placed on the NRC Internet web page (<http://www.nrc.gov>). Similar to the revisions of the inspection and oversight program for commercial nuclear power plants, NRC initiated an effort to improve its programs for nuclear fuel cycle facilities. This is described in SECY-99-188 titled, Evaluation and Proposed Revision of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facility Safety Inspection Program. SECY-99-188 is available in the Public Document Room and on the NRC Web Page at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html>.

**Purpose:** To explain the planned revision of the fuel cycle safety inspection program and obtain stakeholder's views. The baseline safety inspection program applies to nuclear fuel cycle facilities regulated under 10 CFR Parts 40, 70 and 76. The facilities currently include gaseous diffusion plants, highly enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities, low-enriched uranium fuel fabrication facilities, and a uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>) production facility. These facilities possess large quantities of materials that are potentially hazardous (*i.e.*, radioactive, toxic, and/or flammable) to the workers, public, or environment. In revising the inspection program, the goals are to have an inspection program that: (1) Provides earlier and more objective indications of acceptable and changing safety performance, (2) increases stakeholder confidence in the NRC, and (3) increases regulatory effectiveness and efficiency. In this regard, the NRC desires the revised inspection program to be more risk-informed and performance-based and more focused on significant risks. Where practicable, the

program will use more objective safety performance indicators (PIs) with accompanying performance thresholds.

The safety rationale for NRC inspection commensurate with risk (hazards and controls) will be discussed in the context of establishing indicators of licensee performance. The focus of the workshop will be consideration of performance indicators (*i.e.*, precursors) that will reliably indicate when there is a need for corrective action to preclude exceeding regulatory limits which were established to preclude adverse impacts on the public or worker health and safety or the environment. In this regard, careful consideration of the initial draft of candidate performance indicators (available at the aforementioned NRC web site) will significantly facilitate the workshop.

**DATES:** This workshop is scheduled for Wednesday, October 20, 1999, from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm and is open to the public.

**ADDRESSES:** NRC's Two White Flint North Auditorium, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. Visitor parking around the NRC building is limited; however, the meeting site is located adjacent to the White Flint Station on the Metro Red Line.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter Schwink, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-7253, e-mail [wss@nrc.gov](mailto:wss@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 6th day of October, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Philip Ting,**

*Chief, Operations Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 99-26702 Filed 10-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subcommittee Meeting on Planning and Procedures; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Planning and Procedures will hold a meeting on November 3, 1999, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to internal personnel rules and practices of ACRS, and information the release of which would

constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

*Wednesday, November 3, 1999—1:00 p.m. Until the Conclusion of Business*

The Subcommittee will discuss proposed ACRS activities and related matters. It may also discuss the status of appointment of a new member to the ACRS. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff person named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions open to the public, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff person, Dr. John T. Larkins (telephone: 301/415-7360) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: October 5, 1999.

**Richard P. Savio,**

*Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.*

[FR Doc. 99-26699 Filed 10-12-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and

Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extension:

Rule 9b-1, SEC File No. 270-429, OMB Control No. 3235-0480

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 9b-1 sets forth the categories of information required to be disclosed in an options disclosure document ("ODD") and requires the options markets to file an ODD with the Commission 60 days prior to the date that it is distributed to investors. In addition, Rule 9b-1 provides that the ODD must be amended if the information in the document becomes materially inaccurate or incomplete and that amendments must be filed with the Commission 30 days prior to the distribution to customers. Finally, Rule 9b-1 requires a broker-dealer to furnish to each customer an ODD and any amendments, prior to accepting an order to purchase or sell an option on behalf of that customer.

There are 4 options markets that must comply with Rule 9b-1. These 4 respondents work together to prepare a single ODD covering options traded on each market, as well as amendments to the ODD. These respondents file no more than one amendment per year, which requires approximately 8 hours per year for each respondent. Thus, the total compliance burden for options markets per year is 32 hours. The approximate cost per hour is \$100, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these respondents of \$3,200 per year (32 hours @ \$100).

In addition, approximately 2,000 broker-dealers must comply with Rule 9b-1. Each of these respondents will process an average of three new customers for options each week and, therefore, will have to furnish approximately 156 ODDs per year. The postal mailing or electronic delivery of the ODD takes respondents no more than 30 seconds to complete for an annual compliance burden for each of these respondents of 78 minutes, or 1.3 hours. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is 2,600 hours (2,000 broker-dealers × 1.3 hours.). The approximate cost per hour to these respondents is \$10 per hour, resulting in a total cost of compliance for these