

variances, and standard deviations. This new section also includes examples of each of these statistical applications.

#### L. Appendices

Iowa is adding two new appendices: Appendix 8—Recommended Wildlife & Recreational Planting Species in Iowa; and Appendix 9—Critical Values of t. Both these Appendices are referenced in Iowa's Revegetation Success Standards and Statistically Valid Sampling Techniques document.

#### M. Editorial-type Errors

Finally, Iowa is making minor wording changes and revising various cross-references and paragraph notations to reflect organizational changes resulting from this amendment.

### III. Public Comment Procedures

We are reopening the comment period on the proposed amendment to provide you an opportunity to reconsider the adequacy of the amendment in light of the additional materials sent to us. Under the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), we are requesting comments on whether the amendment satisfies the program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If we approve the amendment, it will become part of the Iowa program.

#### Written Comments

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the administrative record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the administrative record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Your written comments should be specific and pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking. You should explain the reason for any recommended change. In the final rulemaking, we will not necessarily consider or include in the Administrative Record any comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the

Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

Please submit Internet comments as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Please also include "Attn: SPATS No. IA-005-FOR" and your name and return address in your Internet message. If you do not receive a confirmation that we have received your Internet message, contact the Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center at (618) 463-6460.

### IV. Procedural Determinations

#### Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this rule from review under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

#### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on State regulatory programs and program amendments must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

#### National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities

under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously published by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

#### Unfunded Mandates

OSM has determined and certifies under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 *et seq.*) that this rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 915

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: September 30, 1999.

#### Brent Wahlquist,

Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 99-26357 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 946

[VA-115-FOR]

#### Virginia Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is announcing the receipt of a proposed amendment to the Virginia Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) Program (hereinafter referred to as the Virginia Program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*, as amended. The proposed amendment makes changes to the Ranking and Selection section by adding a subsection concerning reclamation projects receiving less than 50 percent government funding. The proposed amendment is intended to incorporate

the additional flexibility afforded by the revised Federal regulations.

**DATES:** Your written comments must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. on November 8, 1999. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendments will be held at 1:00 p.m. on November 2, 1999. Requests to present oral testimony at the hearing must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. on October 25, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Your written comments and requests to testify at the hearing should be mailed or hand-delivered to Mr. Robert A. Penn, Director, Big Stone Gap Field Office at the first address listed below.

Copies of the Virginia program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public meetings or hearing, and all written comments received in response to this notice will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. You may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Big Stone Gap Field Office.

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Big Stone Gap Field Office, 1941 Neeley Road, Suite 201, Compartment 116, Big Stone Gap, Virginia 24219, Telephone: (540) 523-4303.

Virginia Division of Mined Land Reclamation, P.O. Drawer 900, Big Stone Gap, Virginia 24219, Telephone: (540) 523-8100.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Robert A. Penn, Director, Big Stone Gap Field Office, Telephone: (540) 523-4303.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background on the Virginia Program**

On December 15, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Virginia program. Background information on the Virginia program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the December 15, 1981, **Federal Register** (46 FR 61085-61115). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and AMLR program amendments are identified at 30 CFR 946.20 and 946.25.

**II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment**

By letter dated September 10, 1999 (Administrative Record No. VA-981), the Virginia Division of Mined Land Reclamation (DMLR) submitted a proposed Program Amendment to the Virginia Program. This amendment is

intended to revise the Virginia program to incorporate the additional flexibility afforded by the revised Federal regulations.

The proposed amendment revises the "Ranking and Selection 884.13(c)(2)" section by adding a subsection entitled "Reclamation Projects Receiving Less Than 50% Government Funding." The proposed amendments are as follows.

*Reclamation Projects Receiving Less Than 50% Government Funding*

An abandoned mine land reclamation project may be considered for government-financed construction under Virginia program § 4 VAC 25-130 Part 707. If the level of government funding for the construction will be less than fifty percent of the total cost because of planned coal extraction, the procedures of this section apply. Such coal removal will be conducted in conformity with Virginia program § 4 VAC 25-130 Part 707 and the regulatory definitions for the terms "extraction of coal as an incidental part," "government financing agency," and "government-financed construction" contained within the Virginia regulatory program regulations at § 4-VAC-25-700.5.

In considering such AML construction, the DMLR AML Section (Title IV authority) will consult with the DMLR Reclamation Services Section (Title V authority) to make the following determinations:

1. The likelihood of the coal being mined under a Title V permit. The determination will take into account available information such as:

- Coal reserves from existing mine maps or other sources;
- Existing environmental conditions;
- All prior mining activity on or adjacent to the site;
- Current and historic coal production in the area; and
- Any known or anticipated interest in mining the site.

2. The likelihood that nearby or adjacent mining activities might create new environmental problems or adversely affect existing environmental problems at the site.

3. The likelihood that reclamation activities at the site might adversely affect nearby or adjacent mining activities.

After the above consultation, if it is decided that a government-financed reclamation project is to proceed, then the DMLR AML Section and DMLR Reclamation Services Section must concur to in the following determinations:

1. The limits on any coal refuse, coal waste, or other coal deposits which can be extracted under § 4-VAC-25-130

Part 707 and the Virginia regulatory definition of "government-financed construction" at § 4-VAC-25-130-700.5; and

2. The delineation of the boundaries of the AML project.

All of the above determinations, the information taken into account in making the determinations, and the names of the parties making the determinations will be documented in the AML project file. For each project, DMLR AML Section will:

- Characterize the site in terms of mine drainage, active slides and slide-prone areas, erosion and sedimentation, vegetation, toxic material, and hydrologic balance;
- Ensure that the reclamation project is conducted in accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR Subchapter R;
- Develop specific-site reclamation requirements, including performance bonds when appropriate in accord with State procedures; and
- Require the contractor conducting the reclamation to provide prior to the time reclamation begins applicable documents that clearly authorize the extraction of coal and payment of royalties.

The contractor shall be required to obtain a coal surface mining permit under the Virginia Coal Surface Mining Reclamation Regulations (Title 4 of the Virginia Administrative Code) for any coal extracted beyond the limits of the incidental coal specified in the AML project file.

**III. Public Comment Procedures**

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 884.15, OSM is now seeking comment on whether the amendment proposed by Virginia satisfies the applicable requirements for the approval of State AMLR program amendments. If the amendment is deemed adequate, it will become part of the Virginia program.

*Written Comments*

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the rulemaking [or administrative] record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the rulemaking [or administrative] record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not

consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Big Stone Gap Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

#### Public Hearing

If you wish to comment at the public hearing, you should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by close of business on October 25, 1999. If no one requests an opportunity to comment at a public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

To assist the transcriber and ensure an accurate record, we request that, if possible, each person who testifies at a public hearing provide us with a written copy of his or her testimony. The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to comment have been heard. If you are in the audience and have not been scheduled to speak and wish to do so, you will be allowed to speak after those who have been scheduled. We will end the hearing after all persons scheduled to speak and persons present in the audience who wish to speak have been heard.

#### Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to comment at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. If you wish to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendments you may request a meeting at the Big Stone Gap Field Office by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of the meetings will be posted in advance at the locations listed above under **ADDRESSES**. A summary of meeting will be included in the Administrative Record.

### IV. Procedural Determinations

#### Executive Order 12866

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

#### Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15 and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

#### National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA [30 U.S.C. 1292(d)] provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### Unfunded Mandates

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 946

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: September 29, 1999.

**Allen D. Klein,**

*Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.*

[FR Doc. 99-26358 Filed 10-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### 30 CFR Part 948

[WV-081-FOR]

#### West Virginia Permanent Regulatory Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is announcing the reopening of the public comment period on a proposed amendment to the West Virginia permanent regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the West Virginia program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The amendment revises the West Virginia Code to create the Office of Explosives and Blasting, and adds and amends sections of the West Virginia Code concerning blasting. The amendment is intended to improve the operational efficiency of the State program. The comment period is being reopened because West Virginia submitted additional information which may affect our final decision on the proposed amendment.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before 4:00 p.m. on October 25, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Your written comments should be mailed or hand delivered to Mr. Roger W. Calhoun, Director, Charleston Field Office at the address listed below.

Copies of the proposed amendment, the West Virginia program, and the administrative record on the West Virginia program are available for public review and copying at the addresses below, during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding