

**Inspections**

(a) Within the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the Time Limits section (chapter 05–11-00) of Engine Shop Manual (ESM) CFMI–TP.SM.4, for CFM56–2 series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.6, for CFM56–2A/–2B series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.5, for CFM56–3/–3B/–3C

series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.7 for CFM56–5 series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.9 for CFM56–5B series engines, ESM CFMI–TP.SM.8 for CFM56–5C series engines, and ESM CFMI–TP.SM.10 for CFM56–7B series engines, and for air carrier operations, revise the approved continuous

airworthiness maintenance program, by adding the following:

**“MANDATORY INSPECTIONS**

(1) Perform inspections of the following parts at each piece-part opportunity in accordance with the Inspection/Check section instructions provided in the applicable manual sections listed below:

Engine models	Part name	Engine manual section	Inspection
All .....	Fan Disk (All Part Number (P/N)).	72–21–03	Disk Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (FPI) and Disk Bore and Dovetail Eddy Current Inspection (ECI).
CFM56–2/–2A/–B/–3/–3B/–3C	High Pressure Turbine (HPT) Disk (All P/N).	72–52–02	Disk FPI and Disk Bore and Bolt Hole(s) ECI.
CFM56–5/–5B/–5C/–7B .....	HPT Disk (All P/N) .....	72–52–02	Disk FPI and Disk Bore ECI.
CFM56–2A/–2B/–3/–3B/–3C ...	HPT Front Rotating Air Seal (All P/N).	72–52–03	Disk FPI and Disk Bore and Bolt Hole(s) ECI.
CFM56–5/–5B/–5C/–7B .....	HPT Front rotating Air Seal (All P/N).	72–52–03	Disk FPI and Disk Bore ECI and Disk Bolt Hole(s) Focused FPI.
CFM56–2 .....	HPT Front Rotating Air Seal (All P/N).	72–52–03	Disk FPI and Disk Bore ECI and Disk Bolt Hole(s) ECI or focused FPI as applicable.

(2) For the purposes of these mandatory inspections, piece-part opportunity means:

(i) The part is considered completely disassembled when accomplished in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the manufacturer’s engine manual; and

(ii) The part has accumulated more than 100 cycles in service since the last piece-part opportunity inspection, provided that the part was not damaged or related to the cause for its removal from the engine.”

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this AD, and notwithstanding contrary provisions in section 43.16 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.16), these mandatory inspections shall be performed only in accordance with the Time Limits section of the manufacturer’s ESM.

**Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who may add comments and then send it to the ECO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

**Ferry Flights**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Continuous Airworthiness Maintenance Program**

(e) FAA-certificated air carriers that have an approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program in accordance with the record keeping requirement of § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)) of this chapter must maintain

records of the mandatory inspections that result from revising the Time Limits section of the applicable ESM and the air carrier’s continuous airworthiness program.

Alternately, certificated air carriers may establish an approved system of record retention that provides a method for preservation and retrieval of the maintenance records that include the inspections resulting from this AD, and include the policy and procedures for implementing this alternate method in the air carrier’s maintenance manual required by § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)); however, the alternate system must be accepted by the appropriate PMI and require the maintenance records be maintained either indefinitely or until the work is repeated. Records of the piece-part inspections are not required under § 121.380(a)(2)(vi) of the Federal Aviation Regulations [14 CFR 121.380(a)(2)(vi)]. All other operators must maintain the records of mandatory inspections required by the applicable regulations governing their operations.

**Note 3:** The requirements of this AD have been met when the ESM changes are made and air carriers have modified their continuous airworthiness maintenance plans to reflect the requirements in the applicable ESM.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on September 30, 1999.

**David A. Downey,**

*Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99–26210 Filed 10–6–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 39**

**[Docket No. 98–ANE–39–AD]**

**RIN 2120–AA64**

**Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company GE90 Series Turbofan Engines**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to General Electric Company (GE) GE90 series turbofan engines, that currently requires revisions to the Life Limits Section of the manufacturer’s Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA) to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure. This action would add additional critical life-limited parts for enhanced inspection. This proposal is prompted by additional focused inspection procedures that have been developed by the manufacturer. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by December 6, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules

Docket No. 98-ANE-39-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-ane-adcomment@faa.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen Curtis, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7192, fax (781) 238-7199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-ANE-39-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-ANE-39-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299.

**Discussion**

On April 2, 1999, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued

airworthiness directive (AD) 99-08-17, Amendment 39-11123 (64 FR 17961, April 13, 1999), to require revisions to the Life Limits Section of the manufacturer's Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA) for General Electric Company (GE) GE90 series turbofan engines to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure.

**New Inspection Procedures**

Since the issuance of that AD, GE has developed additional focused inspection procedures. This proposal would add additional parts that would require enhanced inspection at each piece-part exposure.

**Proposed Actions**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would supersede AD 99-08-17 to add additional critical life-limited parts for enhanced inspection at each piece-part opportunity.

**Economic Analysis**

The FAA estimates that 26 engines installed on airplanes of US registry would be affected by this proposed AD, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. The FAA estimates that the fan disk bore eddy current inspection (ECI) would take 4 work hours. The total cost of the new fan disk bore inspections per engine would be approximately \$240. The FAA estimates that approximately 7 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added bore ECI inspections is estimated to be \$1,680 per year.

The FAA estimates that the fan disk dovetail slot ultrasonic inspection (US) would take 6 work hours. The total cost of the new fan disk dovetail inspections per engine would be approximately \$360. The FAA estimates that approximately 7 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added dovetail slot US inspections is estimated to be \$2,520 per year.

The FAA estimates that the high pressure compressor (HPC) disk bore ECI would take 3 work hours. The total cost of the new HPC inspections per engine would be approximately \$180. The FAA estimates that approximately 13 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added bore ECI inspections is estimated to be \$2,340 per year.

The FAA estimates that the high pressure turbine (HPT) component bore

ECI would take 3 hours. The total cost of the new HPT inspections per engine would be approximately \$180. The FAA estimates that approximately 48 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added bore ECI inspections is estimated to be \$8,640 per year.

The FAA estimates that the HPC component dovetail slot ECI inspection would take 3 work hours. The total cost of the new HPC component dovetail inspections per engine would be approximately \$180. The FAA estimates that approximately 25 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added dovetail slot ECI inspections is estimated to be \$4,500 per year.

The FAA estimates that the HPC component bolthole ECI inspection would take 2 work hours. The total cost of the new HPC bolthole inspections per engine would be approximately \$120. The FAA estimates that approximately 21 parts would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total cost for the added bolthole ECI inspections is estimated to be \$2,520 per year.

Six fluorescent penetrant inspections (FPI) that would be added by this proposed AD already exist in the engine manual and therefore there is no additional cost associated with these inspections.

The total for all of the additional inspections is estimated to be \$22,200 per year.

**Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the

location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39-11123 (64 FR 17961, April 13, 1999), and by adding a new airworthiness directive, to read as follows:

**General Electric Company:** Docket No. 98-ANE-39-AD. Supersedes AD 99-08-17, Amendment 39-11123.

**Applicability:** General Electric Company (GE) GE90-76B/-77B/-85B/-90B/-92B series turbofan engines, installed on but not limited to Boeing 777 series airplanes.

**Note 1:** This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an

assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane, accomplish the following:

**Inspections**

(a) Within the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, revise the manufacturer's Life Limits Section of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA), and for air carrier operations revise the approved continuous airworthiness maintenance program, by adding the following: "MANDATORY INSPECTIONS".

(1) Perform inspections of the following parts at each piece-part opportunity in accordance with the instructions provided in the applicable manual provisions:

Part nomenclature	Part No. (P/N)	Inspect per engine manual chapter
For GE90 Engines: HPCR, Disk, Stage 7 .....	All .....	72-31-07-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-31-07-230-051), and 72-31-07-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection (subtask 72-31-07-250-051 or 72-31-07-230-052 or 72-31-07-230-053).
HPTR, Interstage Seal .....	All .....	72-53-03-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-53-03-230-053), and 72-53-03-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore.
Fan Disk, Stage 1 .....	All .....	72-21-03-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-21-03-230-051), and 72-21-03-200-001-001 Eddy Current, and 72-21-03-200-001-001 Ultrasonic Inspection of Dovetail Slots.
HPTR Disk, Stage 1 .....	All .....	72-53-02-200-001-002 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-53-02-160-051), and 72-53-02-200-001-002 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore.
HPTR Disk, Stage 2 .....	All .....	72-53-04-200-001-004 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-53-04-230-052), and 72-53-04-200-001-004 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore.
HPCR Disk, Stage 1 .....	All .....	72-31-05-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-31-05-230-051), and 72-31-05-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the Bore, and 72-31-05-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the Dovetail Slots.
HPCR Spool, Stage 2-6 .....	All .....	72-31-06-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-31-06-230-051), and 72-31-06-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the S2 Dovetail Slots.
HPCR Seal, Compressor Discharge Pressure.	All .....	72-31-09-200-001-001 Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection (subtask 72-31-09-230-051), and 72-31-09-200-001-001 Eddy Current Inspection of the Boltholes.

(2) For the purposes of these mandatory inspections, piece-part opportunity means:

(i) The part is considered completely disassembled when accomplished in accordance with the disassembly instructions in the manufacturer's engine manual; and

(ii) The part has accumulated more than 100 cycles in service since the last piece-part opportunity inspection, provided that the part was not damaged or related to the cause for its removal from the engine."

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this AD, and notwithstanding contrary provisions in section 43.16 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.16), these mandatory inspections shall be performed only in accordance with the Life Limits Section of the manufacturer's ICA.

**Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be

used if approved by the Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who may add comments and then send it to the ECO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

**Ferry Flights**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Continuous Airworthiness Maintenance Program**

(e) FAA-certificated air carriers that have an approved continuous airworthiness

maintenance program in accordance with the record keeping requirement of § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)) of this chapter must maintain records of the mandatory inspections that result from revising the Life Limits Section of the Instructions for Continuous Airworthiness (ICA) and the air carrier's continuous airworthiness program. Alternately, certificated air carriers may establish an approved system of record retention that provides a method for preservation and retrieval of the maintenance records that include the inspections resulting from this AD, and include the policy and procedures for implementing this alternate method in the air carrier's maintenance manual required by § 121.369(c) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.369(c)); however, the alternate system must be accepted by the appropriate PMI and require the maintenance records be maintained either indefinitely or until the

work is repeated. Records of the piece-part inspections are not required under § 121.380(a)(2)(vi) of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 121.380(a)(2)(vi)). All other Operators must maintain the records of mandatory inspections required by the applicable regulations governing their operations.

**Note 3:** The requirements of this AD have been met when the engine manual changes are made and air carriers have modified their continuous airworthiness maintenance plans to reflect the requirements in the engine manuals.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on September 30, 1999.

**David A. Downey,**

*Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-26211 Filed 10-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-ANE-49-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company CF6-80A, CF6-80C2, and CF6-80E1 Series Turbofan Engines**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to General Electric Company (GE) CF6-80A, CF6-80C2, and CF6-80E1 series turbofan engines, that currently requires revisions to the Life Limits Section of the manufacturer's Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA) to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure. This action would add additional disk bore eddy current inspections (ECI) for the high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) Stage 1 and 2 disks for all affected engine models, and would add fan forward shaft inspections for the CF6-80C2 engine model only. This proposal is prompted by additional focused inspection procedures that have been developed by the manufacturer. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent critical life-limited rotating engine part failure, which could result in an uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by December 6, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-ANE-49-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: "9-ane-adcomment@faa.gov". Comments sent via the Internet must contain the docket number in the subject line. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Karen Curtis, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299; telephone (781) 238-7192, fax (781) 238-7199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98-ANE-49-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules

Docket No. 98-ANE-49-AD, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803-5299.

**Discussion**

On April 2, 1999, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued airworthiness directive (AD) 99-08-13, Amendment 39-11119 (64 FR 17951, April 13, 1999), to require revisions to the Life Limits Section of the manufacturer's Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA) for General Electric Company (GE) CF6-80A, CF6-80C2, and CF6-80E1 series turbofan engines to include required enhanced inspection of selected critical life-limited parts at each piece-part exposure.

**New Inspection Procedures**

Since the issuance of that AD, GE has developed additional focused inspection procedures. This proposal would add disk bore eddy current inspections (ECI) for the high pressure turbine rotor (HPTR) Stage 1 and 2 disks on all affected engine models, and would add fan forward shaft inspections for the CF6-80C2 engine model only.

**Proposed Actions**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would supersede AD 99-08-13 to add disk bore ECI for the HPTR Stage 1 and 2 disks on all affected engine models, and to add fan forward shaft inspections for the CF6-80C2 engine model only. The inspections would be required at each piece-part opportunity.

**Economic Analysis**

The FAA estimates that 700 engines installed on airplanes of US registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 4 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed new disk bore ECI for the HPTR Stage 1 and 2 disks on all affected engine models, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. The total cost of the new disk bore ECI for the HPTR Stage 1 and 2 disks inspections per engine would be approximately \$240. The FAA estimates that approximately 83 HPTR Stage 1 and 2 disks would be exposed to the piece-part level per year; therefore, the total annual cost for the added bore ECI is estimated to be \$19,920.

The FAA estimates that it would take approximately 4 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed new fan forward shaft inspections on the CF6-80C2 engine model. The total cost of the new fan forward shaft inspections per