

**ACTION:** Notice of Alaska Resource Advisory Council Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The BLM Alaska Resource Advisory Council will meet Wednesday, November 3, 1999, from 9:30 a.m. until 4:30 p.m. and Thursday, November 4, 1999, from 9 a.m. until 3 p.m. The council will review BLM land management issues and take public comment on those issues.

The meeting will be held at the BLM Alaska State Office, located on the 4th floor of the Anchorage Federal Office Building at 7th and C Street. The entire meeting is open to the public with public comment taken from 1–2 p.m. Thursday, November 4. Written comments may be submitted at the meeting or mailed to the address below.

**ADDRESSES:** Inquiries about the meeting should be sent to External Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, 222 W. 7th Avenue, #13, Anchorage, AK 99513–7599.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Teresa McPherson, (907) 271–5555.

**Donald L. Hinrichsen,**

*Acting State Director.*

[FR Doc. 99–25594 Filed 10–1–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–JA–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[MT–952–09–1420–00]

#### Montana: Filing of Plat of Survey

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Montana State Office, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey of the following described land are scheduled to be officially filed in the Montana State Office, Billings, Montana, thirty (30) days from the date of this publication.

The plat, in three sheets, representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the Base Line, through Range 26 East, certain subdivisional lines, adjusted original meanders of the right bank of the Yellowstone River, and certain Certificates of Survey, and the survey of portions of Coburn Road and the Lockwood Ditch, a warranty deed, and new meanders of the right bank of the Yellowstone River, Township 1 North and Township 1 South, Ranges 26 East, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted September 20, 1999.

This survey was executed at the request of the Bureau of Land Management, Billings Field Office, and was necessary to identify the boundaries of the Four Dances Public Area.

Copies of the preceding described plats will be immediately placed in the open files and will be available to the public as a matter of information.

If a protest against this survey, as shown on this plat, is received prior to the date of the official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. This particular plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been accepted or dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bureau of Land Management, 5001 Southgate Drive, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, Montana 59107–6800.

Dated: September 22, 1999.

**Daniel T. Mates,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Division of Resources.*

[FR Doc. 99–25664 Filed 10–1–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–DN–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Keweenaw National Historical Park Advisory Commission Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces an upcoming meeting of the Keweenaw National Historical Park Advisory Commission. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463).

**DATES:** October 26, 1999; 8:30 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Keweenaw National Historical Park Headquarters, 100 Red Jacket Road (2nd floor), Calumet, Michigan 49913–0471.

The Chairman's welcome; minutes of the previous meeting; update on the general management plan; update on park activities; old business; new business; next meeting date; adjournment. This meeting is open to the public.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent, Keweenaw National Historical Park, Frank C. Fiala, P.O. Box 471, Calumet, Michigan 49913–0471, 906–337–3168

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Keweenaw National Historical Park was established by Public Law 102–543 on October 27, 1992.

Dated: September 23, 1999.

**David N. Given,**

*Deputy Regional Director,  
Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 99–25744 Filed 10–1–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–70–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before September 25, 1999. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments should be submitted by October 19, 1999.

**Carol D. Shull,**

*Keeper of the National Register.*

## ARKANSAS

### Garland County

Kraemer—Harman House, 513 Second St., Hot Springs vicinity, 99001258  
Plaza Apartments, 610 Spring St., Hot Springs vicinity, 99001259

### Izard County

Jeffery Cemetery, Approx. 6 mi. W of AR 9, 1 mi. N of Mount Olive access road, Mount Olive vicinity, 99001261

### White County

Bradford City Hall—Byers Masonic Lodge, 302 W. Walnut St., Bradford, 99001260

## CALIFORNIA

### Kern County

Tehachapi Railroad Depot, 101 W. Tehachapi Blvd., Tehachapi, 99001263

### San Francisco County

Otis Elevator Company Building, 1 Beach St., San Francisco, 99001265

### Solano County

Bird and Dinkelspiel Store, 2145 Collinsville Rd., Bird's Landing, 99001264

## COLORADO

### El Paso County

Lennox House, (Colorado College MPS), 1001 N. Nevada Ave., Colorado Springs, 99001266

## IOWA

### Mahaska County

McNeill, W.A., House, 1282 C Ave. East, Oskaloosa, 99001267

**Woodbury County**

Mount Sinai Temple, 1320 Nebraska St.,  
Sioux City, 99001268

**MINNESOTA****Norman County**

Zion Lutheran Church, Co. Hwy. 3, Shelly  
vicinity, 99001269

**N. MARIANA ISLANDS****Tinian Municipality**

Unai Dangkulo Petroglyph Site, Address  
Restricted, Unai Dangkulo vicinity,  
99001270

**OHIO****Summit County**

Botzum Farm, (Agricultural Resources of the  
Cuyahoga Valley MPS) 3486 Riverview  
Rd., Cuyahoga Falls vicinity, 99001271

**VERMONT****Chittenden County**

Howard Mortuary Chapel, 455 North Ave.,  
Burlington, 99001272

**WISCONSIN****Lafayette County**

Prairie Spring Hotel, WI 23 S, Willow  
Springs, 99001273

[FR Doc. 99-25743 Filed 10-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division**

[Civil No. 1:98 CV 1616 (AA)]

**United States, States of Ohio, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Maryland, Michigan, New York, Texas, Washington and Wisconsin and Commonwealths of Kentucky and Pennsylvania v. USA Waste Services, Inc., Dome Merger Subsidiary, and Waste Management, Inc.**

**Response to Public Comments on Antitrust Consent Decree**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that on September 14, 1999, the United States filed its responses to public comments on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States, et al. v. USA Waste Services, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 1:98 CV 1616 (AA) (N.D. Ohio, filed July 16, 1998), with the United States District Court in Cleveland, Ohio.

On July 16, 1998, the United States and 13 states filed a civil antitrust complaint, which alleges that USA Waste Services proposed acquisition of Waste Management would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18, by substantially lessening

competition in waste collection and/or disposal services, or both, in a number of markets around the country, including Baltimore, MD; Akron/Canton, Cleveland and Columbus, OH; Denver, CO; New York, NY; Los Angeles, CA; Detroit, Flint and Northern Michigan; Miami, FL; Houston, TX; Louisville, KY; Milwaukee, WI; Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, and Allentown, PA; Tucson, AR; Portland, OR; and Gainesville, FL.

The proposed Final Judgment, filed on July 16, 1998, requires USA Waste and Waste Management to divest commercial waste collection and/or municipal solid waste disposal operations in each of the geographic areas alleged in the Complaint. A modified version of the proposed Judgment ("Modified Final Judgment"), filed on September 14, 1999, would eliminate the defendants' contingent obligation to divest one New York City transfer station (the Brooklyn Transfer Station, located on Scott Avenue).

Public comment on the proposed Judgment was invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. The public comments and the United States' responses thereto are hereby published in the **Federal Register** and have been filed with the Court. Copies of the Complaint Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, proposed Final Judgment, Competitive Impact Statement, and the United States' Certificate of Compliance with Provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (to which the public comments and the United States' responses are attached), proposed Modified Final Judgment, and the Memorandum of the United States in Support of Entry of the Proposed Modified Final Judgment are available for inspection in Room 215 of the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-514-2481), and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, 201 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44114.

Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations & Merger Enforcement  
Antitrust Division.*

**Memorandum of the United States in Support of Entry of the Proposed Modified Final Judgment****I. Introduction****A. The Procedural Background**

On July 16, 1998, the United States, and the states of Ohio, Arizona,

California, Colorado, Florida, Maryland, Michigan, New York, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin, and the commonwealths of Kentucky and Pennsylvania filed a civil antitrust complaint, which alleged that USA Waste Services, Inc.'s ("USA Waste's") acquisition of Waste Management, Inc. would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleged that in 19 geographic areas around the country, the defendants were two of the most significant competitors in commercial waste collection, or disposal of municipal solid waste (*i.e.*, operation of landfills, transfer stations and incinerators), or both services, and that the elimination of competition as a result of the merger could lead to higher prices or reduced services for purchasers of waste collection or disposal services.

At the time the Complaint was filed, the parties submitted a proposal Final Judgment that would require the defendants to divest assets sufficient to preserve the competition that otherwise would be lost in each of the markets in which an antitrust violation had been alleged. The parties also filed—and the Court (per Chief Judge Matia) entered—a Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, allowing the defendants to complete their merger transaction, provided that they keep the assets required to be divested separate from their own business operations and adhere to the terms of the proposed Final Judgment pending the United States' compliance with the notice and comment provisions of the Antitrust Penalties and Procedures Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h) (the "APA").<sup>1</sup>

**B. The Pending Motion To Enter the Proposed Modified Final Judgment**

Today, the United States has filed a Certificate of Compliance with Provisions of the Antitrust Procedures

<sup>1</sup> Nothing in the Hold Separate Order, however, prevents the defendants from promptly selling the assets required to be divested to an acceptable purchaser, and in this instance, the defendants chose to do so prior to APPA compliance. In a series of transaction beginning in September 1998 and ending in February 1999, the defendants divested all of the assets available for sale under the decree (except the Baltimore disposal assets) to Republic Services, Inc. ("Republic") for approximately \$500 million. In October 1998, the defendants sold the Baltimore disposal assets to Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc. ("BFI") for roughly \$60 million over a ten-year time period.

The United States, after consultation with the relevant states, concluded that Republic and BFI were both acceptable purchasers under the terms of the proposed Judgment. The defendants informed the Court of the pending sales of these assets before consummation. (See Letter from James R. Weiss, counsel for defendants USA Waste and Waste Management, to Honorable Ann Aldrich, United States District Judge, dated October 30, 1998).