records. Under this authority, effective September 6, 1990, the FBI Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division has made all data on identification records available for such purposes. Records obtained under this authority may be used solely for the purpose requested and cannot be disseminated outside the receiving departments, related agencies, or other authorized entities. Officials at the governmental institutions and other entities authorized to submit fingerprints and receive FBI identification records under this authority must notify the individuals fingerprinted that the fingerprints will be used to check the criminal history records of the FBI. The officials making the determination of suitability for licensing or employment shall provide the applicants the opportunity to complete, or challenge the accuracy of, the information contained in the FBI identification record. These officials also must advise the applicants that procedures for obtaining a change, correction, or updating of an FBI identification record are set forth in 28 CFR 16.34. Officials making such determinations should not deny the license or employment based on information in the record until the applicant has been afforded a reasonable time to correct or complete the record, or has declined to do so. A statement incorporating these use-and-challenge requirements will be placed on all records disseminated under this program. This policy is intended to ensure that all relevant criminal record information is made available to provide for the public safety and, further, to protect the interests of the prospective employee/licensee who may be affected by the information or lack of information in an identification record.


Janet Reno,
Attorney General.

[SFR Doc. 99–24988 Filed 9–27–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–02–p

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

30 CFR Part 936

[SPATS No. OK–020–FOR]

Oklahoma Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

ACTION: Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: OSM is approving an amendment to the Oklahoma regulatory program (Oklahoma program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). Oklahoma proposed revisions to and additions of rules concerning burden of proof in civil penalty proceedings, petitions for review of proposed individual civil penalty assessments, permit conditions, verification of ownership or control application information, review of ownership or control and violation information, procedures for challenging ownership or control links shown in Applicant Violator System (AVS), and standards for challenging ownership or control links and the status of violation. Oklahoma intends to revise its program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal regulations.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael C. Wolfrom, Director, Tulsa Field Office, Office of Surface Mining, 5100 East Skelly Drive, Suite 470, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74135–6548. Telephone: (918) 581–6430. Internet: mwolfrom@mcrgw.osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background on the Oklahoma Program

II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment

III. Director’s Findings

IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

V. Director’s Decision

VI. Procedural Determinations

I. Background on the Oklahoma Program

On January 19, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Oklahoma program. You can find background information on the Oklahoma program, including the Secretary’s findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval in the January 19, 1981, Federal Register (46 FR 4902). You can find later actions concerning the Oklahoma program at 30 CFR 936.15 and 936.16.

II. Submission of the Proposed Amendment

By letter dated September 28, 1998 (Administrative Record No. OK–982), Oklahoma sent us an amendment to its program under SMCRA. Oklahoma proposed to amend the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC). Oklahoma sent the amendment in response to a letter dated January 6, 1997 (Administrative Record No. OK–977), that we sent to Oklahoma under 30 CFR 732.17(c). The amendment also includes changes made at Oklahoma’s own initiative.

We announced receipt of the amendment in the October 20, 1998, Federal Register (63 FR 55979). In the same document, we opened the public comment period and provided an opportunity for a public hearing or meeting on the adequacy of the amendment. The public comment period closed on November 19, 1998. Because no one requested a public hearing or meeting, we did not hold one.

During our review of the amendment, we identified concerns relating to OAC 460:2–8–8, elements, burden of proof; OAC 460:2–8–9, decision by administrative hearing officer; OAC 460:2–8–10, petition for discretionary review; OAC 460:20–15–11, verification of ownership and control application information; OAC 460:20–15–12, review of ownership or control violation information; OAC 460:20–15–13, procedures for challenging ownership or control links in AVS; and OAC 460:20–15–14, standards for challenging ownership or control links and the status of violations. Further, we identified editorial concerns at OAC 460:2–8–10(f); OAC 460:20–15–11(a)(2)(B); OAC 460:20–15–13(d)(1); OAC 460:20–15–13(d)(2)(B); OAC 460:20–15–14(b)(1); OAC 460:20–15–14(d). We notified Oklahoma of these concerns by faxes dated December 3, 1998 and July 14, 1999 (Administrative Record Nos. OK–982.03 and OK–982.06, respectively).

By letters dated June 23, 1999, and July 20, 1999 (Administrative Record Nos. OK–982.05 and OK–982.07, respectively), Oklahoma sent us revisions to its program amendment. Based upon Oklahoma’s revisions to its amendment, we reopened the public comment period in the August 10, 1999 Federal Register (64 FR 43327). The public comment period closed on August 25, 1999.

III. Director’s Findings

Following, under SMCRA and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 732.15 and 732.17, are our findings concerning the amendment.

Any revisions that we do not discuss below are about minor wording changes, or revised cross-references and paragraph notations to reflect organizational changes resulting from this amendment.
A. Revisions to Oklahoma's Rules That Have the Same Meaning as the Corresponding Provisions of the Federal Regulations

The State rules listed in the table below contain language that is the same as or similar to the corresponding sections of the Federal regulations. Differences between the State rules and the Federal regulations are minor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>State rule</th>
<th>Federal counterpart regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burden of proof in civil penalty proceedings</td>
<td>OAC 460:2–7–6</td>
<td>43 CFR 4.1155.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAC 460:2–8–1 through 10</td>
<td>43 CFR 4.1300 through 4.1309.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petitions for review of proposed individual civil penalty assessments</td>
<td>OAC 460:20–15–11</td>
<td>30 CFR 773.22(a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification of ownership or control application information</td>
<td>OAC 460:20–15–12</td>
<td>30 CFR 773.23.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures for challenging ownership or control links shown in AVS</td>
<td>OAC 460:20–15–14</td>
<td>30 CFR 773.25.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the above State rules have the same meaning as the corresponding Federal regulations, we find that they are no less effective than the Federal regulations.

B. OAC 460:20–15–7, Permit Conditions

Oklahoma proposes to remove paragraph 5 of this section which prohibits the discharge or discrimination of any employee or authorized representative of employees that files for or institutes any proceedings under the Act. These paragraphs do not have any effect on historic properties. On October 9, 1998, we requested comments on Oklahoma's proposal to remove paragraph 5 of this section. We received comments from the State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP). None of the revisions that Oklahoma proposed to make in this amendment pertain to air or water quality standards. Therefore, we did not ask the EPA to agree on the amendment.

IV. Summary and Disposition of Comments

Public Comments

We requested public comments on the amendment, but did not receive any.

Federal Agency Comments

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from various Federal agencies with an actual or potential interest in the Oklahoma program (Administrative Record No. OK–982.12). By letter date October 30, 1998, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers responded to our request by stating that it found Oklahoma's amendment satisfactory (Administrative No. OK–982.02). Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(ii), we are required to get written agreement from the EPA for those provisions of the program amendment that relate to air or water quality standards promulgated under the authority of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) or the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.). None of the revisions that Oklahoma proposed to make in this amendment pertain to air or water quality standards. Therefore, we did not ask the EPA to agree on the amendment.

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(11)(i), we requested comments on the amendment from the EPA (Administrative Record No. OK–982.10). The EPA did not respond to our request. State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)

Under 30 CFR 732.17(h)(4), we are required to request comments from the SHPO and ACHP on amendments that may have an effect on historic properties. On October 9, 1998, we requested comments on Oklahoma's amendment (Administrative Record No. OK–982.11), but neither responded to our request.

V. Director's Decision

Based on the above findings, we approve the amendment as sent to us by Oklahoma on September 28, 1998, and as revised on June 23, 1999, and July 20, 1999. We approve the rules that they be published in identical form to the rules sent to and reviewed by OSM and the public.

To implement this decision, we are amending the Federal regulations at 30 CFR Part 936, which codify decisions concerning the Oklahoma program. We are making this final rule effective immediately to expedite the State program amendment process and to encourage Oklahoma to bring its program into conformity with the Federal standards. SMCRA requires consistency of State and Federal standards.

VI. Procedural Determinations

Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) exempts this rule from review under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

Executive Order 12988

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each program is drafted and published by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on State regulatory programs and program amendments must be based solely on a determination of whether the submission is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other
requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule does not require an environmental impact statement since section 702(d) of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon corresponding Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously published by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the corresponding Federal regulations.

Unfunded Mandates

OSM has determined and certifies under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1502 et seq.) that this rule will not impose a cost of $100 million or more in any given year on local, state, or tribal governments or private entities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original amendment submission date</th>
<th>Date of final publication</th>
<th>Citation/description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

[FR Doc. 99–25188 Filed 9–27–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[CGD01–99–163]
RIN 2115–AA97

Safety Zone: Wedding on the Lady Windridge Fireworks, New York Harbor, Upper Bay

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for the Wedding on the Lady Windridge Fireworks Display located in Federal Anchorage 20C, New York Harbor, Upper Bay. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in a portion of Federal Anchorage 20C.

DATES: This rule is effective from 8 p.m. until 9:30 p.m., on Sunday, October 3, 1999. For rain dates, refer to the regulatory text set out in this rule.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 936

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.


Charles E. Sandberg,
Acting Regional Director, Mid-Continent Regional Coordinating Center.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 30 CFR Part 936 is amended as set forth below:

PART 936—OKLAHOMA

1. The authority citation for Part 936 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.

2. Section 936.15 is amended in the table by adding a new entry in chronological order by “Date of final publication” to read as follows:

§ 936.15 Approval of Oklahoma regulatory program amendments.

* * * * *

ADDRESS: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection or copying at Coast Guard Activities New York, 212 Coast Guard Drive, room 205, Staten Island, New York 10305, between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (718) 354–4193.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant J. Lopez, Waterways Oversight Branch, Coast Guard Activities New York, (718) 354–4193.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was not published for this regulation. Good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM and for making this regulation effective less than 30 days after the Federal Register publication. Due to the date the Application for Approval of Marine Event was received, there was insufficient time to draft and publish an NPRM and publish the final rule 30 days before its effective date. Any delay encountered in this regulations effective date would be contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to close the waterway and protect the maritime public from the hazards associated with this fireworks display.

Background and Purpose

Fireworks by Grucci Inc. has submitted an application to hold a fireworks program on the waters of Upper New York Bay in Federal Anchorage 20C. The fireworks program is being sponsored by Eye Patch Productions. This regulation establishes a safety zone in all waters of Upper New York Bay within a 360 yard radius of the fireworks barge in approximate position 40°41′16.5″N 74°02′23″W (NAD 1983), approximately 360 yards east of Liberty Island, New York. The safety zone is in effect from 8 p.m. until 9:30 p.m. on Sunday, October 3, 1999. The rain date for this event is Monday, October 4, 1999, at the same time and place. The safety zone prevents vessels from transiting a portion of Federal Anchorage 20C and is needed to protect boaters from the hazards associated with fireworks launched from a barge in the area. Recreational and commercial vessel traffic will be able to anchor in the unaffected northern and southern portions of Federal Anchorage 20C. Federal Anchorages 20A and 20B, to the north, and Federal Anchorages 20D and 20E, to the south, are also available for vessel use. Marine traffic will still be