

the voting shares of Bank of Norfolk, Norfolk, Nebraska.

In connection with this application, North Central Bancorp has also applied to acquire Columbus Financial Corporation, and thereby indirectly acquire Columbus Federal Savings Bank, both in Columbus, Nebraska, and thereby engage in the operation of a savings association, pursuant to § 225.28(b)(4)(ii) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, September 21, 1999.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 99-24987 Filed 9-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Federal Financial Participation in State Assistance Expenditures; Federal Matching Shares for State Children's Health Insurance Programs and for Selected Portions of State Medicaid Programs for October 1, 1999 Through September 30, 2000; Correction

ACTION: Notice of Correction.

SUMMARY: This Notice corrects the Enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentages (EFMAP) for eight states as published in the January 12, 1999 **Federal Register**. Five states (Arkansas, Georgia, Kentucky, South Carolina and Utah) should have had an EFMAP .01% higher than the values published in January. Three states (Florida, Maine and South Dakota) should have had an EFMAP .01% lower than that published in January.

EFFECTIVE DATES: The corrected percentages will be effective for each of the 4 quarter-year periods in the period beginning October 1, 1999 and ending September 30, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Stewart or Jennifer Tolbert, Office of Health Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Room 442E Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20201, Telephone (202) 690-6870.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 12, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (PP. 1805-1808) the Federal Medical Assistance Percentages (FMAP) and the Enhanced Federal Assistance Percentages (EFMAP). The FMAP values

were correct. The EFMAP values for eight states were slightly in error because of a failure to round the FMAP values from which the EFMAP values were computed. The correct EFMAP values for the eight states are:

CORRECTED ENHANCED FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGES, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1999-SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
[Fiscal year 2000]

State	Enhanced Federal medical assistance percentages
Arkansas	81.00
Florida	69.56
Georgia	71.92
Kentucky	79.39
Maine	76.35
South Carolina	78.97
South Dakota	78.10
Utah	80.09

FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGES AND ENHANCED FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGES, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1999-SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
[Fiscal year 2000]

State	Federal medical assistance percentages	Enhanced Federal medical assistance percentages
Alabama	69.57	78.70
Alaska	59.80	**71.86
American Samoa	50.00	*65.00
Arizona	65.92	76.14
Arkansas	72.85	81.00
California	51.67	66.17
Colorado	50.00	65.00
Connecticut	50.00	65.00
Delaware	50.00	65.00
District of Columbia	70.00	**79.00
Florida	56.52	69.56
Georgia	59.88	71.92
Guam	50.00	*65.00
Hawaii	51.01	65.71
Idaho	70.15	79.11
Illinois	50.00	65.00
Indiana	61.74	73.22
Iowa	63.06	74.14
Kansas	60.03	72.01
Kentucky	70.55	79.39
Louisiana	70.32	79.22
Maine	66.22	76.35
Maryland	50.00	65.00
Massachusetts	50.00	65.00
Michigan	55.11	68.58
Minnesota	51.48	66.04
Mississippi	76.80	83.76
Missouri	60.51	72.36
Montana	72.30	80.61

FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGES AND ENHANCED FEDERAL MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PERCENTAGES,
EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1999–SEPTEMBER 30, 2000—Continued
[Fiscal year 2000]

State	Federal medical assistance percentages	Enhanced Federal medical assistance percentages
Nebraska	60.88	72.62
Nevada	50.00	65.00
New Hampshire	50.00	65.00
New Jersey	50.00	65.00
New Mexico	73.32	81.32
New York	50.00	65.00
North Carolina	62.49	73.74
North Dakota	70.42	79.29
Northern Mariana Islands	50.00	*65.00
Ohio	58.67	71.07
Oklahoma	71.09	79.76
Oregon	59.96	71.97
Pennsylvania	53.82	67.67
Puerto Rico	50.00	*65.00
Rhode Island	53.77	67.64
South Carolina	69.95	78.97
South Dakota	68.72	78.10
Tennessee	63.10	74.17
Texas	61.36	72.95
Utah	71.55	80.09
Vermont	62.24	73.57
Virgin Islands	50.00	*65.00
Virginia	51.67	66.17
Washington	51.83	66.28
West Virginia	74.78	82.35
Wisconsin	58.78	71.15
Wyoming	64.04	74.83

*For purposes of section 1118 of the Social Security Act, the percentage used under titles I, X, XIV, and XVI and Part A of title IV will be 75 per centum.

**For 1998, 1999, and 2000, the values in the table were set for state plans under Titles XIX and XXI and for capitation payments and DSH allotments under those titles. For other purposes, including programs remaining in Title IV of the Act, the percentage for Alaska is 54.13. For the District of Columbia, the percentage for other such purposes is 50.00.

The Department regrets the error.

Dated: September 17, 1999.

Brian P. Burns,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 99-24994 Filed 9-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-60-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[INFO-99-42]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the

proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639-7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques for other forms of information technology. Send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Families, Communities, and Diabetes Management Project—New—National

Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Division of Diabetes Translation. Diabetes Type 2 is a serious chronic metabolic disease with serious potential health consequences that include both psychological and physical health conditions. Diabetes care is important in the management of this disease. Previous studies examining factors that influence diabetes management have examined psychological, patient-provider relationships, family and social support, health insurance availability and utilization, dietary consumption, and levels of physical activity. Most of what is known about managing diabetes has been generated using results based on findings with predominately white audiences. Therefore, NCCDPHP, Division of Diabetes Translation, intends to conduct a longitudinal study examining complex inter-and intra-personal factors unique to African-American and Mexican-American adults living with diabetes. Also contributing to this study will be family members of these individuals. Examination of these factors will help to develop effective