

impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of each Domestic Like Product for which you are filing a response. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since the year the petitions were filed. The Subject Merchandise, the Subject Countries, and the years the petitions were filed are listed below:

Subject Merchandise/Subject Country(ies)	Years
Carbon steel plate/Taiwan	1978
Cold-rolled carbon steel flat products/Sweden	1984
Cut-to-length carbon steel plate/Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Finland, Germany, Mexico, Poland, Romania, Spain, Sweden, and United Kingdom	1992
Cold-rolled carbon steel flat products/Germany, Korea, and Netherlands	1992
Corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products/Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, and Korea	1992

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information separately on your firm's operations on each product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of each Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of each Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) The quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s')

operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Countries; and

(c) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Countries.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Countries accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for each Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Countries since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase

production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Countries, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 26, 1999.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-22788 Filed 8-31-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 303-TA-23, 731-TA-566-570, and 731-TA-641 (Reconsideration) and Investigations Nos. 751-TA-21-27]

Ferrosilicon From Brazil, China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in these investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, upon reconsideration, that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded, by reason of imports from Brazil, China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela of ferrosilicon, provided for in subheadings 7202.21.10, 7202.21.50, 7202.21.75, 7202.21.90, and 7202.29.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

by the Department of Commerce to be subsidized by the Government of Venezuela and sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

The Commission's determinations in the reconsideration proceedings render the changed circumstances investigations that relate to the original determinations moot. Accordingly, the United States International Trade Commission hereby terminates investigations Nos. 751-TA-21-27 concerning ferrosilicon from Brazil, China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela.

Background

On April 24, 1998, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination as it applied to imports of ferrosilicon from Brazil (the request)² in light of changed circumstances, pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act. The request was filed by counsel on behalf of Associação Brasileira dos Produtores de Ferroligas e de Silício Metálico (ABRAFE), Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio (CBCC), Companhia de Ferroligas de Bahia (FERBASA), Nova Era Silicon S/A, Italmagnesio S/A-Industria e Comercio, Rima Industrial S/A, and Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais (Minasligas).

Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure,³ the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** on May 20, 1998,⁴ requesting comments as to whether the alleged changed circumstances warranted the institution of review investigations. The Commission received comments in support of the request from C.V.G. Venezolana de Ferrosilicio C.A. (Fesilven), a Venezuelan producer of ferrosilicon; General Motors Corp., a purchaser of ferrosilicon; and the Governments of Brazil and Kazakhstan. Comments in opposition to the request were received from counsel on behalf of AIMCOR, American Alloys, Inc., Elkem Metals Co., and SKW Metals & Alloys, Inc., U.S. producers of ferrosilicon. After reviewing these comments, the Commission determined on July 28, 1998, that certain of the alleged changed circumstances were sufficient to warrant review investigations.⁵ Among the

issues that were briefed by the parties to the investigations was the fact that, between 1995 and 1997, two members of the domestic industry pleaded guilty to conspiring to fix prices of commodity ferrosilicon products during the periods of the Commission's original investigations, and a third member, and an officer of that member, were convicted of conspiring to fix prices of commodity ferrosilicon products during the periods of the Commission's original investigations.

On May 21, 1999, the Commission suspended investigations Nos. 751-TA-21-27, and instituted proceedings to reconsider its determinations in countervailing duty investigation No. 303-TA-23 (Final) concerning ferrosilicon from Venezuela and antidumping investigations Nos. 731-TA-566-570 and 731-TA-641 (Final) concerning ferrosilicon from Brazil, China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela.⁶

The Commission transmitted its determination in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on August 24, 1999. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3218 (August 1999), entitled *Ferrosilicon from Brazil, China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela: Investigations Nos. 303-TA-23, 731-TA-566-570, and 731-TA-641 (Reconsideration)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 25, 1999.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-22795 Filed 8-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-401 (Preliminary) and 731-TA-852-855 (Preliminary)]

Certain Structural Steel Beams From Germany, Japan, Korea, and Spain

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 703(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is threatened with

material injury by reason of imports from Korea of certain structural steel beams,² provided for in subheadings 7216.32.00, 7216.33.00, 7216.50.00, 7216.61.00, 7216.69.00, 7216.91.00, 7216.99.00, 7228.70.30, and 7228.70.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be subsidized by the Government of Korea.

The Commission further determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of imports from Japan and Korea of certain structural steel beams,³ provided for in subheadings 7216.32.00, 7216.33.00, 7216.50.00, 7216.61.00, 7216.69.00, 7216.91.00, 7216.99.00, 7228.70.30, and 7228.70.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

The Commission further determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is no reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from Germany and Spain of certain structural steel beams,⁴ provided for in subheadings 7216.32.00, 7216.33.00, 7216.50.00, 7216.61.00, 7216.69.00, 7216.91.00, 7216.99.00, 7228.70.30, and 7228.70.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at LTFV.

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules upon notice from

² Vice Chairman Marcia E. Miller makes a negative determination on allegedly subsidized imports from Korea. Commissioner Carol T. Crawford makes an affirmative determination that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of allegedly subsidized imports from Korea.

³ Vice Chairman Marcia E. Miller makes a negative determination on imports from Japan and Korea allegedly sold at LTFV. Commissioner Carol T. Crawford makes an affirmative determination that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by imports from Japan and Korea allegedly sold at LTFV.

⁴ Chairman Lynn M. Bragg and Commissioner Carol T. Crawford dissenting.

² The request concerned only imports from Brazil. However, as the alleged changed circumstances predominantly relate to the domestic industry, the Commission solicited comments on the possibility of self-initiating reviews of the outstanding orders on imports from China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Ukraine, and Venezuela.

³ 19 CFR 207.45(b).

⁴ 63 FR 27747.

⁵ See 63 FR 40314-15.

⁶ 64 FR 28212, May 25, 1999. Chairman Bragg dissenting.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).