

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-801, A-588-804]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Italy and Japan: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce published the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania, Singapore, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The period of review is May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998. Based on the correction of a typographical error and the correction of certain ministerial errors, we have changed the margins for ball bearings for two companies and for cylindrical roller bearings for one company.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Minoo Hatten or Robin Gray, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1690 or (202) 482-4023, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

Background

On July 1, 1999, the Department published the final results of administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings (other than tapered roller bearings) and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Romania,

Singapore, Sweden and the United Kingdom (64 FR 35590)(Final Results). The reviews covered 21 manufacturers/exporters and the period May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998.

After publication of our final results, we received a timely allegation from a respondent, Somecat S.p.A.(Somecat), that the margin for ball bearings (BBs) was reported inaccurately in the final results notice. We agree with the respondent. The margin for Somecat should be 0.25 percent, not 0.45 percent as reported in the Final Results.

We also received a timely allegation from NSK Ltd. and NSK Corporation (collectively, NSK) that we had made two ministerial errors in calculating the final results. We agree with the respondent. We are unable to summarize these errors due to their proprietary nature. See analysis memorandum from analyst to file dated July 29, 1999, for a description of the changes we made to correct the ministerial errors.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of the correction of the typographical error and amended margin calculations, the following weighted-average margins exist for Somecat and NSK for the period May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998:

[In percent]

Country: Manufacturer Exporter	BB rate	CRBs rate
Italy: Somecat S.p.A	0.25	(1)
Japan: NSK Ltd.	0.76	4.36

¹No shipments or sales subject to this review. The firm has no individual rate from any segment of this proceeding.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will also direct Customs Service to collect cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the procedures discussed in the final results of review (64 FR 35590) and as amended by this determination. The amended deposit requirements are effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice and shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 25, 1999.

Bernard T. Carreau,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-22789 Filed 8-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-056]

Continuation of Antidumping Finding: Melamine From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of continuation of antidumping finding: Melamine from Japan.

SUMMARY: On December 8, 1998, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (63 FR 67654 (December 8, 1998)). On July 28, 1999, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 40895 (July 28, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 1, 1999.

Background

On August 3, 1998, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (63 FR 41227 and 63 FR 41282, respectively) of the antidumping finding on melamine from Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping finding would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of