

the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of affirmative preliminary determinations in these investigations under sections 703(b) and 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in the investigations under sections 705(a) and 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

#### Background

On July 7, 1999, a petition was filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by Northwestern Steel & Wire Co., Sterling, IL; Nucor-Yamato Steel Co., Blytheville, AR; TXI-Chaparral Steel Co., Midlothian, TX; and The United Steelworkers of America AFL-CIO, Pittsburgh, PA, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of subsidized imports of certain structural steel beams from Korea and alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of certain structural steel beams from Germany, Japan, Korea, and Spain. Accordingly, effective July 7, 1999, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701-TA-401 (Preliminary) and antidumping investigations Nos. 731-TA-852-855 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of July 16, 1999 (64 FR 38476). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on July 28, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on August 23, 1999. The views of the Commission

are contained in USITC Publication 3225 (September 1999), entitled Certain Structural Steel Beams from Germany, Japan, Korea, and Spain: Investigations Nos. 701-TA-401 (Preliminary) and 731-TA-852-855 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 26, 1999.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-22796 Filed 8-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on August 2, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Aiken County Forfeited Land Commission and Aiken County, South Carolina*, Civil Action No. 1:99-0264-08 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina.

In this action the United States sought the recovery of past costs incurred in response to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Clearwater Finishing Superfund Site in Clearwater, Aiken County, South Carolina. The Consent Decree represents a settlement with two of the potential responsible parties listed in the Amended Complaint for violations of Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9607. Under the Consent Decree, the Aiken County Forfeited Land Commission and Aiken County, South Carolina has agreed to pay the United States \$250,000.00. This Consent Decree represents the third settlement to be lodged with the Court regarding the Clearwater Finishing Superfund Site. The United States has incurred approximately \$1,182,000.00. The Amended Complaint names two additional parties.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Aiken County Forfeited Land Commission and Aiken County, South Carolina*, D.J. Ref. Number 90-11-3-06135.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, for the District of South Carolina, First Union Building, 1441 Main Street, Suite 500, Columbia, South Carolina 29201, at U.S. EPA Region IV, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

**Walker Smith,**

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99-22688 Filed 8-31-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant To The National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—EMTEC: Enabling Technologies For Lean Manufacturing of Hardened Steel Components

Notice is hereby given that, on July 20, 1999, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), EMTEC: Enabling Technologies for Lean Manufacturing of Hardened Steel Components has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Delphi Automotive Systems, Dayton, OH; Torrington Company, Norcross, GA; Valenite, Madison Heights, MI; Third Wave, Minneapolis, MN; Saginaw Machine Systems, Inc., Saginaw, MI; Masco Tech, Royal Oak, MI; The George Woodruff School of Mechanical Engineering/Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA; Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; and Edison Materials Technology Center (EMTEC), Kettering, OH. The nature and objectives of the venture are to conduct research on Enabling