

particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents shall be submitted and shall be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Any objections received in response to the regulation may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**VII. References**

The following references have been placed on display in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and may be seen by interested persons

between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

1. Memoranda, dated January 13, 1999, and March 8, 1999, from the Chemistry Review Team, FDA, to the file concerning FAP 8B4630 (MATS No. 1011), Servo Delden BV, concerning the use of polyethylene glycol monoisotridecyl ether sulfate sodium salt as a surfactant in coatings in food-contact paper and paperboard.

2. Kokoski, C. J., "Regulatory Food Additive Toxicology," in "Chemical Safety Regulation and Compliance," edited by F. Homburger and J. K. Marquis, published by S. Karger, New York, NY, pp. 24-33, 1985.

3. "Bioassay of 1,4-Dioxane for Possible Carcinogenicity," National Cancer Institute, NCI-CG-TR-80, 1978.

4. Memorandum, dated January 25, 1999, from the Indirect Additives Branch, FDA, to the Executive Secretary, Quantitative Risk Assessment Committee, FDA, concerning estimation of upper-bound lifetime risk from ethylene oxide and 1,4-dioxane in polyethylene glycol monoisotridecyl ether sulfate, sodium salt (PGMES): food additive petition No. 8B4630 (Servo Delden BV).

5. Dunkelberg, H., "Carcinogenicity of Ethylene Oxide and 1,2-propylene Oxide Upon Intragastric Administration to Rats," *British Journal of Cancer*, 46: pp. 924-933, 1982.

**List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 176**

Food additives, Food packaging.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 176 is amended as follows:

**PART 176—INDIRECT FOOD ADDITIVES: PAPER AND PAPERBOARD COMPONENTS**

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 176 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321, 342, 346, 348, 379e.

2. Section 176.180 is amended in the table in paragraph (b)(2) by alphabetically adding an entry under the headings "Lists of Substances" and "Limitations" to read as follows:

**§ 176.180 Components of paper and paperboard in contact with dry food.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (b) \* \* \*  
 (2) \* \* \*

List of Substances	Limitations
* * * * *	* * * * *
Polyethylene glycol monoisotridecyl ether sulfate, sodium salt (CAS Reg. No. 150413-26-6).	For use only as a surfactant at levels not to exceed 3 percent in latex formulations used in pigment binders for paper and paperboard.
* * * * *	* * * * *

Dated: August 5, 1999.  
**Margaret M. Dotzel,**  
*Acting Associate Commissioner for Policy.*  
 [FR Doc. 99-21850 Filed 8-23-99; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Food and Drug Administration**

**21 CFR Part 178**

[Docket No. 91F-0399]

**Indirect Food Additives: Adjuvants, Production Aids, and Sanitizers**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of May 14, 1999 (64 FR 26281). The document amended the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of 1,3-propanediamine, N,N'-

1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine and 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine as a light stabilizer for polypropylene and polyethylene. The document was published with an error. This document corrects that error.

**DATES:** Effective on May 14, 1999.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julius Smith, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-215), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3091.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of May 14, 1999 (64 FR 26281), FDA amended the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of 1,3-propanediamine, N,N'-1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine and 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine as a light stabilizer for polyethylene and polypropylene complying with 21 CFR 177.1520. It has been recently called to the attention of the agency that the Chemical Abstract Services (CAS) Registry has a slightly different nomenclature for the additive 1,3-

propanediamine, N,N'-1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine and 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine (CAS Reg. No. 136504-96-6). The preferred nomenclature for the additive is 1,3-propanediamine, N,N'-1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine, reaction products with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine. Therefore, the agency is amending 21 CFR 178.2010 to correct the nomenclature of the additive.

In FR Doc. 99-12177, appearing on page 26281, in the **Federal Register** of Friday, May 14, 1999, the following correction is made:

**§ 178.2010 [Corrected]**

1. On page 26282, in the table in paragraph (b), under the heading "Substances" "1,3-propanediamine, N,N'-1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine and 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine (CAS Reg. No. 136504-96-

6)'' is corrected to read ''1,3-propanediamine, N,N''-1,2-ethanediybis-, polymer with 2,4,6-trichloro-1,3,5-triazine, reaction products with N-butyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinamine (CAS Reg. No. 136504-96-6)''.

Dated: August 10, 1999.

**L. Robert Lake,**

*Director, Office of Policy, Planning and Strategic Initiatives, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.*

[FR Doc. 99-21849 Filed 8-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**32 CFR Part 199**

RIN-0720-AA51

**Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS); Revisions to the Eligibility Requirements**

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Final Rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule revises the comprehensive CHAMPUS regulation pertaining to basic CHAMPUS benefits in accordance with several statutory changes. This final rule: sets forth the requirements for reinstatement of CHAMPUS eligibility for beneficiaries under age 65 who would otherwise have lost eligibility for CHAMPUS due to disability or end-stage renal disease (ESRD); establishes new classes of CHAMPUS eligibles; establishes the Transitional Assistance Management Program which provides transitional health care for members (and their dependents) who served on active duty in support of a contingency operation and for members (and their dependents) who are involuntarily separated from active duty; allows former spouses who buy a conversion health policy to keep CHAMPUS eligibility for twenty-four (24) months for preexisting conditions that are not covered by the conversion policy; and makes minor technical revisions to the double coverage provisions. In order to expedite compliance with the statutory requirements, all of these provisions have been implemented under interim instructions. This final rule also adds a new category of eligible beneficiary under the Continued Health Care Benefit Program.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective September 23, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** TRICARE Management Activity (TMA), Medical Benefits and Reimbursement Systems, Aurora, CO 80011-9043.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen E. Isaacson, Medical Benefits and Reimbursement Systems, TMA, telephone (303) 676-3572.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The following specific paragraphs of § 199.3 have eligibility dates as follows:

Paragraph	Eligibility Date
(b)(2)(ii)(H)(2) .....	October 23, 1992.
(b)(2)(ii)(H)(3) .....	November 14, 1986.
(b)(2)(ii)(H)(4) (pertaining to placement by a court).	July 1, 1994.
(b)(2)(ii)(H)(4) (pertaining to placement by a recognized placement agency).	October 5, 1994.
(b)(2)(iii)(A)(1) .....	November 14, 1986.
(b)(2)(iii)(A)(2) .....	October 23, 1992.
(e)(1)(i) .....	April 6, 1991.
§ 199.3(e)(1)(ii) .....	October 1, 1990.
(e)(1)(iii) .....	October 1, 1990.
(f)(3)(viii) .....	October 1, 1991.
(f)(3)(ix) .....	October 1, 1991.

**I. Summary of Final Rule Provisions**

This final rule adds or revises a number of eligibility provisions. Following is a brief summary of the classes of beneficiaries affected by this final rule. Generally, each class is eligible for CHAMPUS as a result of the change, and we have included the other salient points regarding each, but the reader should refer to the proposed rule that was published on December 23, 1997, for a detailed discussion regarding the specific conditions and requirements for each class.

**CHAMPUS/Medicare dual eligibles**

- Must be under age 65, eligible for Medicare due to disability or end-stage renal disease, and enrolled in Medicare Part B
- Applies to all categories of CHAMPUS beneficiaries except dependents of active-duty members
- Effective October 1, 1991

Dependents of a person who dies of an injury, illness, or disease incurred on the way to or from training with a duration of 30 days or less

- Retiree cost-sharing
- Effective November 14, 1986

**Victims of abuse**

- By a member who was discharged or dismissed as a result of a court-martial conviction for the abuse
- Eligibility limited to one year from member's separation

- Coverage limited to treatment of conditions resulting from abuse
- Effective November 14, 1986 through October 16, 1998
- Effective October 17, 1998, covered services need not be related to the abuse and eligibility coincides with the period that the abused dependent is in receipt of transitional compensation under section 1059 of title 10 U.S.C.
- By a member or former member who loses eligibility to retired pay as a result of the abuse
- Effective October 23, 1992
- Students who become incapable of self-support
- Must be full-time student
- The incapacitating condition must occur between the ages of 21 and 23
- Effective October 23, 1992
- Dependents of an active duty member who dies while on active duty
- These individuals have always been eligible for CHAMPUS with retiree cost-sharing
- The most recent change provides that all care is to be cost-shared as active duty
- Special cost-sharing is limited to one year
- Effective October 1, 1993
- For dependents of active-duty members who die while on active duty between January 1, 1993, and October 1, 1993, only care for pre-existing conditions is to be cost-shared as active duty.
- Dependents placed in the custody of a member or former member by a court or a recognized placement agency.
- Effective July 1, 1994, if placed by a court
- Effective October 5, 1994, if placed by a recognized placement agency
- This category of beneficiary is also added to the Continued Health Care Benefit Program effective October 5, 1994.
- Transitional Assistance Management Program (TAMP)**
- Claims for all individuals eligible under TAMP are cost-shared as active-duty dependents
- Members released from active duty in connection with contingency operations
- Eligible up to thirty (30) days
- Effective April 6, 1991
- Members involuntarily separated with less than six (6) years of service
- Eligible up to sixty (60) days
- Effective October 1, 1990
- Members involuntarily separated with six (6) or more years of service
- Eligible up to 120 days
- Effective October 1, 1990