

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Rita L. Wells,

Deputy Director (Policy and Program Coordination).

[FR Doc. 99-21670 Filed 8-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-602]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Aspirin From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Aspirin from Turkey.

SUMMARY: On July 6, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act from 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (64 FR 36328 (July 6, 1999)). On August 4, 1999, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 42414 (August 4, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6397 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 20, 1999.

Background

On March 1, 1999, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (64 FR 9970 and 64 FR 10012, respectively) of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from

Turkey pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. (See *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Aspirin from Turkey*, 64 FR 36328 (July 6, 1999)).

On August 4, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. (See *Aspirin from Turkey*, 64 FR 42414 (August 4, 1999), and USITC Pub. 3215, Inv. No. 731-TA-364 (Review) (July 1999)).

Scope

The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order is acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) from Turkey containing no additives, other than inactive substances (such as starch, lactose, cellulose, or coloring materials), and/or active substances in concentrations less than that specified for particular nonprescription drug combinations of aspirin and active substances as published in the Handbook of Nonprescription Drugs, eighth edition, American Pharmaceutical Association, and is not in tablet, capsule or similar forms for direct human consumption. This product is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 2918.22.10. The HTS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rate in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, any subsequent five-year review of this order will be initiated not later than the fifth anniversary of the

effective date of continuation of this order.

The effective date of continuation of a finding, order, or suspension agreement will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the Notice of Continuation. As provided in 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department will issue its determination to continue a finding, order, or suspended investigation not later than seven days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the Commission's determination concluding the sunset review and immediately thereafter will publish its notice of continuation in the **Federal Register**. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than thirty (30) days before the fifth anniversary of the effective date of this notice.

Dated: August 13, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-21714 Filed 8-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-614-801]

Fresh Kiwifruit From New Zealand: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Revoke Order, and Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances review and intent to revoke order, and rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, the California Kiwifruit Commission, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances review and is issuing this notice of intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand. The petitioner requested that the Department revoke the order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand retroactive to June 1, 1997, because it no longer has an interest in maintaining the order. The California Kiwifruit Commission is a domestic interested party and was the petitioner in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV)