

Group A (up to \$300,000)	Group B (up to \$200,000)	Group C (up to \$100,000)
26—Bexar County, TX 27—Tarrant County, TX 28—City of San Diego, CA 29—Oakland County, MI 30—Sacramento County, CA 31—Hennepin County, MN 32—City of Dallas, TX 33—City of Phoenix, AZ 34—City of Detroit, MI 35—St. Louis County, MO 36—City of San Antonio, TX 37—Franklin County, OH 38—Erie County, NY 39—Milwaukee County, WI 40—Palm Beach County, FL 41—Westchester County, NY 42—Hamilton County, OH 43—Pinellas County, FL 44—Honolulu City/County, HI 45—Hillsborough County, FL 46—Fairfax County, VA 47—Clark County, NV 48—Shelby County, TN 49—Contra Costa County, CA 50—Bergen County, NJ	76—Jackson County, MO 77—El Paso County, TX 78—Norfolk County, MA 79—Pierce County, WA 80—City of Milwaukee, WI 81—City of Memphis, TN 82—Travis County, TX 83—Oklahoma County, OK 84—Multnomah County, OR 85—Kern County, CA 86—Washington, DC 87—Montgomery County, OH 88—Monmouth County, NJ 89—De Kalb County, GA 90—Bucks County, PA 91—Boston/Suffolk County, MA 92—Hudson County, NJ 93—City of El Paso, TX 94—Delaware County, PA 95—Lake County, IL 96—Worcester County, MA 97—Mecklenburg County, NC 98—Summit County, OH 99—City of Seattle, WA 100—Tulsa County, OK	126—City of Long Beach, CA 127—City of Charlotte, NC 128—City of Tucson, AZ 129—City of Virginia Beach, VA 130—City of Albuquerque, NM 131—City of Atlanta, GA 132—City of St. Louis, MO 133—City of Sacramento, CA 134—City of Fresno, CA 135—City of Tulsa, OK 136—City of Oakland, CA 137—City of Pittsburgh, PA 138—City of Minneapolis, MN 139—City of Miami, FL 140—City of Cincinnati, OH 141—City of Omaha, NE 142—City of Toledo, OH 143—City of Buffalo, NY 144—City of Wichita, KS 145—City of Mesa, AZ 146—City of Las Vegas, NV 147—City of Colorado Springs, CO 148—City of Santa Ana, CA 149—City of Tampa, FL 150—City of Anaheim, CA 151—City of Newark, NJ 152—City of Arlington, TX 153—City of St. Paul, MN 154—City of Louisville, KY 155—City of Corpus Christi, TX 156—City of Birmingham, AL 157—City of Norfolk, VA

Laurie Robinson,

Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs.

[FR Doc. 99-21346 Filed 8-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**National Institute of Justice**

[OJP(NIJ)-1246]

RIN 1121-ZB80

**National Institute of Justice
Announcement of the Seventh Meeting
of the National Commission on the
Future of DNA Evidence**

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs,
National Institute of Justice, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Announcement of the seventh meeting of the National Commission on the Future of DNA Evidence.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The seventh meeting of the National Commission on the Future of DNA Evidence will take place beginning on Sunday, September 26, 1999, 1:00 PM—5:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time and will continue on Monday, September 27, 1999, 9:00 AM—5:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time. The meeting will take place in the Polaris Room at the Ronald

Reagan Building and International Trade Center, located at 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004; Phone: 202-312-1300.

The National Commission on the Future of DNA Evidence, established pursuant to Section 3(2)A of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App. 2, will meet to carry out its advisory functions under Sections 201-202 of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended. This meeting will be open to the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Christopher H. Asplen, AUSA,
Executive Director (202) 616-8123.

Authority

This action is authorized under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, sections 201-203, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 3721-23 (1994).

Background

The purpose of the National Commission on the Future of DNA Evidence is to provide the Attorney General with recommendations on the use of current and future DNA methods, applications and technologies in the operation of the criminal justice system, from the crime scene to the courtroom. Over the course of its Charter, the Commission will review critical policy issues regarding DNA evidence and

provide recommended courses of action to improve its use as a tool of investigation and adjudication in criminal cases.

The Commission will address issues in five specific areas: (1) The use of DNA in postconviction relief cases, (2) legal concerns including *Daubert* challenges and the scope of discovery in DNA cases, (3) criteria for training and technical assistance for criminal justice professionals involved in the identification, collection and preservation of DNA evidence at the crime scene, (4) essential laboratory capabilities in the face of emerging technologies, and (5) the impact of future technological developments in the use of DNA in the criminal justice system. Each topic will be the focus of the in-depth analysis by separate working groups comprised of prominent professionals who will report back to the Commission.

Jeremy Travis,

Director, National Institute of Justice.

[FR Doc. 99-21345 Filed 8-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P