

*Amendment Nos.:* 223 and 204.  
*Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75.* The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* March 10, 1999 (64 FR 11965).

The April 26, 1999, letter provided clarifying information that did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated July 21, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

*Local Public Document Room location:* Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, NJ 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Suzanne C. Black,**

*Deputy Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 99-20545 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Seabrook Nuclear Power Station; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Enforcement, has issued a Director's Decision concerning a petition dated March 31, 1999, filed by Mr. David A. Lochbaum against unspecified individuals working at the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station (Seabrook Station) pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 2.206). The petition requests that the individuals responsible for discrimination against a contract electrician at the Seabrook Nuclear Generating Station as identified in NRC Office of Investigations (OI) Report No. 1-98-005 be banned by the NRC from participation in licensed activities at and for any nuclear power plant for a period of at least five (5) years; that the individuals responsible for creating a false record to cover up the concern raised by the contract electrician as identified in the cited OI report also be banned by the NRC from participation in licensed activities at and for any nuclear power plant for a period of at least five (5) years; and that the Petitioner be permitted to attend the upcoming pre-decisional enforcement conference on this matter.

The Director, Office of Enforcement, has determined that the petition should

be denied for the reasons stated in the "Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206" (i.e., DD-99-10). While the NRC staff concluded that the foreman had engaged in wrongdoing, the Director, Office of Enforcement denied Mr. Lochbaum's request to ban the foreman from participating in licensed activities for a period of at least five years because the requested enforcement action is not appropriate based on the circumstances of the case. The Director's Decision and the Notices of Violation issued to the foreman, Williams Power Corporation, and NAESCO for the foreman's wrongdoing are available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW, Washington, DC, and on the NRC's web page at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/2206/index.html> and <http://www.nrc.gov/OE/rpr/oehome4.htm> respectively.

A copy of the Director's Decision has been filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c). As provided therein, the Director's Decision will become the final action of the Commission twenty-five days after issuance unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 3rd day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**R. W. Borchardt,**

*Director, Office of Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 99-20686 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Standard Review Plan: Licensee Requests To Delay initiation of Decommissioning Activities

NRC's "Timeliness in Decommissioning of Materials Facility" rule (hereafter the Timeliness Rule), became effective on August 15, 1994. The Timeliness Rule established the criteria necessary to avoid future problems resulting from delayed decommissioning of contaminated inactive facilities, separate buildings, and outdoor areas.

In May 1996, the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) filed a petition for rulemaking to amend the Timeliness Rule to allow licensees to delay decommissioning and operate in a "standby" mode. NRC denied NEI's petition for rulemaking because the Timeliness Rule contains provisions

which allow licensees to request delays or postponement of decommissioning, provided they can demonstrate that the delay is not detrimental to the public health and safety and is otherwise in the public interest. However, along with denying the petition, the Commission requested that NRC prepare guidance to identify the acceptance criteria necessary to demonstrate that postponement of decommissioning activities will not be detrimental to the public interest.

In response to the Commission request, NRC has developed the draft Standard Review Plan (SRP) titled, "Licensee Requests to Delay Initiation of Decommissioning Activities." NRC has posted the draft SRP on the internet ([www.nrc.gov/NMSS/DWM/DECOM/decomm.htm](http://www.nrc.gov/NMSS/DWM/DECOM/decomm.htm)) to provide interested parties an opportunity to review and comment on NRC's acceptance criteria necessary to demonstrate that postponement of decommissioning activities will not be detrimental to the public health and safety and is otherwise in the public interest. NRC will consider all comments received in finalizing the SRP for implementation.

The draft SRP is available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20555.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of August 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Larry W. Camper,**

*Chief, Decommissioning Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 99-20684 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Budget Rescissions and Deferrals

TO THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES:

In accordance with the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith report one revised deferral of budget authority, now totaling \$173 million.

The deferral affects programs of the Department of State.

William J. Clinton

THE WHITE HOUSE,

August 2, 1999.

### Supplemental Report

#### Report Pursuant to Section 1013 of P.L. 93-344

This report updates Deferral No. 99-1A, which was transmitted to Congress on February 1, 1999.