

available for inspection in Room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: August 2, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99-20682 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Boise Cascade Corporation, Paper Engineering Department, Boise, ID; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at the Boise Cascade Corporation, Paper Engineering Department, Boise, Idaho. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-35,933; Boise Cascade Corporation, Paper Engineering Dept., Boise, Idaho (July 26, 1999)

Signed at Washington, DC this 2nd day of August, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99-20676 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-36,042]

Broughton Operating Corp., Houston, Texas; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 10, 1999, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 15, 1999, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 21, 1999 (64 FR 27810).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The April 15, 1999, denial of TAA for workers of Broughton Operating Corp., Houston, Texas, was based on the finding that the workers provided a service and did not produce an article as required by Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

The petitioner asserts that the subject firm is involved in the exploration and production of oil and gas, and explains that the petitioners provided personnel services including the review of oil and gas leases, paid rentals and performance of title work involved with those leases, and thus should be considered engaged in employment related to the production of oil and gas.

The investigation shows that the petitioning worker group was employed by Administaff which was contracted with the subject firm to provide certain personnel functions, which included lease analysts. The Department stands corrected that the workers in fact, performed administrative and lease analyst functions for Broughton Operating Corp. in Houston, Texas.

The petitioning workers (Administaff employees) were providing a service in the offices of Broughton Operating Corp. in Houston, Texas.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of July, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99-20678 Filed 8-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-35,904]

Carhartt, Inc., McKenzie, Tennessee; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 6, 1999, the company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on April 12, 1999, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1999 (64 FR 25371).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The investigation findings for the April 12 denial of TAA for workers of Carhartt, Inc. producing insulated bib overalls in McKenzie, Tennessee showed that criterion (3) of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act was not met. There were no company or customer imports of bib overalls.

The petitioner asserts that when the subject firm plant closes some of the production will be transferred to the Carhartt plant in Camden, Tennessee. In turn, some of the Camden production is being shifted to Mexico. The petition investigation, however, revealed that the company does not import products like or directly competitive with that which was produced in McKinzie, Tennessee. Furthermore, the workers at Carhartt, Inc. in Camden, Tennessee have not petitioned for TAA eligibility.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.