

original investigation, based on data submitted during that investigation and in this remand proceeding. Any material in these comments that does not address this limited issue will be stricken from the record. These comments shall be limited to ten (10) pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on August 23, 1999.

If the Commission finds that it double counted Fiordo Blanco's data in the original investigation, each party who is an interested party in this remand proceeding will also be permitted to submit a written brief to the Commission. Briefs should be concise and thoroughly referenced to information on the record in the original investigation or information obtained during the remand investigation. Briefs will be strictly limited to the issue of whether any revisions to the original foreign production, capacity, and shipments data that occur as a result of this remand investigation affect the Commission's threat analysis in this proceeding. Any material in the briefs that does not address this limited issue will be stricken from the record. Written briefs shall be limited to fifteen (15) pages, and must be filed no later than the close of business on September 17, 1999. Parties will be informed as to whether these briefs are necessary by September 2, 1999. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of § 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of § 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. In accordance with §§ sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 30, 1999.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-20047 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-271 and 731-TA-318 (Review)]

### Oil Country Tubular Goods From Israel

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Termination of five-year reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The subject five-year reviews were initiated in May 1999 to determine whether revocation of the existing countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to a domestic industry. On July 27, 1999, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the orders because it determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in the reviews (64 FR 40548, July 27, 1999). Accordingly, pursuant to § 207.69 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 207.69), the subject reviews are terminated.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 27, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vera Libeau (202-205-3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**Authority:** These reviews are being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.69 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.69).

Issued: July 30, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-20046 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

[Civil action No. 53-7989]

### U.S. v. The Kansas City Star Co.; Proposed Modification of Final Judgment

Notice is hereby given that defendant Kansas City Star Company (the "Star") has filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri a motion to modify the Final Judgment in *United States v. Kansas City Star Company*, 1957 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 68,857 (W.D. Mo. 1957). The Department of Justice ("Department"), in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has consented to modification of the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent based on public comments or for other reasons. The Complaint in this case (filed January 6, 1953) focused on the period 1950-51 and alleged that the Star dominated the sale of news and advertising in Kansas City and engaged in a variety of practices designed to exclude competition, in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 2.

The Final Judgment (entered on November 15, 1957) ordered the Star to divest its radio and television interests and enjoins the defendants from acquiring "any interest in any commercial radio or television broadcasting station in Metropolitan Kansas City except upon application to this Court." The defendants were further enjoined from acquiring any interest in any newspaper publication with a circulation in Metropolitan Kansas City.

The Final Judgment also enjoins the defendants from price discrimination, certain types of discounts, and tying of advertising in various editions of the newspaper. Some of these provisions are obsolete; others prevent the Star from undertaking certain procompetitive initiatives.

The proposed modification terminates the existing judgment in its entirety and substitutes an Amended Final Judgment that requires that the Star provide the Department with advance notification of Kansas City newspaper acquisitions valued at \$5 million or more but not subject to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18a. The Amended Judgment will expire in ten years.

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why it believes that modification of the Final Judgment would be in the public interest. Copies