be included in registration applications. This guidance will be implemented in October 1999.

This guidance represents the agency's current thinking on characteristics for consideration during the validation of the analytical procedures included as part of applications. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and will not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternate approach may be used if it satisfies the requirements of applicable statutes, regulations, or both.

As with all of FDA's guidance, the public is encouraged to submit written comments with new data or other new information pertinent to this guidance. The comments in the docket will be periodically reviewed and, where appropriate, the guidance will be amended. The public will be notified of any such amendments through a notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 28, 1999.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Policy. [FR Doc. 99–19977 Filed 8–3–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

[HCFA-1054-N]

RIN 0938-AJ62

Medicare Program; Hospice Wage Index

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the annual update to the hospice wage index as required by statute. This update is effective October 1, 1999. The wage index is used to reflect local differences in wage levels. The hospice wage index methodology and values are based on recommendations of a negotiated rulemaking advisory committee and were originally published in the **Federal Register** on August 8, 1997. This update is the third year of a 3-year transition period. The third transition year begins October 1, 1999 and ends September 30, 2000.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This notice is effective on October 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol Blackford, (410) 786–5909

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Statute and Regulations

Hospice Care is an approach to treatment that recognizes that the impending death of an individual warrants a change in the focus from curative care to palliative care (relief of pain and other uncomfortable symptoms). The goal of hospice care is to help terminally ill individuals continue life with minimal disruption to normal activities while remaining primarily in the home environment. A hospice uses an interdisciplinary approach to deliver medical, social, psychological, emotional, and spiritual services through use of a broad spectrum of professional and other caregivers, with the goal of making the individual as physically and emotionally comfortable as possible. Counseling and respite services are available to the family of the hospice patient. Hospice programs consider both the patient and the family as a unit of care.

Section 1861(dd) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides for coverage of hospice care for terminally ill Medicare beneficiaries who elect to receive care from a participating hospice. The statutory authority for payment to hospices participating in the Medicare program is contained in section 1814(i) of the Act.

Our regulations at 42 CFR part 418 (issued on December 16, 1983, effective for hospice services furnished on or after November 1, 1983) established eligibility requirements and payment standards and procedures, defined covered services, and delineated the conditions a hospice must meet to be approved for participation in the Medicare program. Subpart G of part 418 provides for payment to hospices based on one of four prospectively determined rates for each day in which a qualified Medicare beneficiary is under the care of a hospice. The four rate categories are routine home care, continuous home care, inpatient respite care, and general inpatient care. Payment rates are established for each category.

Section 4442 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, Public Law 105–C3, amended section 1814 (i)(2) of the Act to require payment for routine and continuous home care to be made based on the geographic location at which the service is furnished. The site of service provision was effective October 1, 1997 and was implemented through a Program Memorandum (transmittal A– 97–11) issued in September of 1997.

Section 418.306(c), that requires the rates to be adjusted by a wage index,

was revised on August 8, 1997, through publication of a final rule in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 42860). This rule implemented a new methodology for calculating the hospice wage index that was based on the recommendations of a negotiated rulemaking committee. The committee reached consensus on a methodology and the resulting committee statement, describing that consensus, was included as an attachment to the August 8, 1997 hospice wage index final rule. The provisions of the final hospice wage index rule are as follows:

• The revised hospice wage index will be phased in over a 3-year transition period. For the first year of the transition period, a blended index was calculated by adding two-thirds of the 1983 index value for an area to onethird of the revised wage index value for that area. During the second year of the transition period, the calculation was similar, except that the blend was onethird of the 1983 index value and twothirds of the revised wage index value for that area. During the third transition year, the revised wage index will be fully implemented. The first transition year occurred October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1998. The second transition year occurred October 1, 1998 through September 30, 1999.

• All hospice wage index values of 0.8 or greater are subject to a budget neutrality adjustment to ensure that Medicare does not pay any more in the aggregate than it would have paid with the previous wage index. The budget neutrality adjustment is calculated by multiplying the hospice wage index for a given area by the budget neutrality adjustment factor. The budget neutrality adjustment is to be applied annually, both during and after the transition period.

• All hospice wage index values below 0.8 receive the greater of the following adjustments—the wage index floor, a 15 percent increase, subject to a maximum wage index value of 0.8; or, the budget neutrality adjustment.

• The wage index is to be updated annually, in the **Federal Register**, based on the most current available hospital wage data.

B. Update to the Hospice Wage Index

The third year of the 3-year transition period begins October 1, 1999 and ends September 30, 2000. In accordance with the agreement signed by members of the Hospice Wage Index Negotiated Rulemaking Committee, we are using 1998 hospital area wage index data, including any changes to the definitions of Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), that allow us to publish this notice at least 4 months in advance of the October 1, 1999 effective date.

Additionally, the wage index value for hospices in counties that are no longer in the same MSA as they were in 1983 will no longer be blended with the wage index for the original MSA designation. These hospices will receive the full wage index for their current MSA designation. All wage index values are adjusted by a budget neutrality factor of 1.065982 and are subject to the wage index floor adjustment, if applicable. All of the calculations described above have been completed by us and are built into the wage index values reflected in both Tables A and B below. A detailed description of the method used to compute the hospice wage index is contained in both the September 4, 1996 (61 FR 46579) hospice wage index proposed rule and the August 8, 1997 (62 FR 42860) hospice wage index final rule.

Because the hospice wage index is calculated using the pre-reclassified hospital wage index, it is important to note that the method for calculating the Puerto Rico hospital wage index was changed with the publication of the Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment System (PPS) and Fiscal Year 1998 Rates final rule in August 29, 1997 (62 FR 45984 and 45986). The Puerto Rico hospital wage index is calculated in the same manner as the national wage index, but is based solely on Puerto Rico's wage data. As explained in the PPS final rule, the total adjusted salaries are added to fringe benefits for all hospitals in Puerto Rico and then divided by the sum by the total hours for Puerto Rico to arrive at an overall average hourly wage. For each labor market area in Puerto Rico, the hospital wage index is calculated by dividing the area average hourly wage by the overall Puerto Rico average hourly wage.

C. Tables

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR **URBAN AREAS**

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
0040	<i>Abilene, TX</i> Taylor, TX	0.8508
0060	<i>Aguadilla, PR</i> Aguada, PR Aguadilla, PR Moca, PR	0.5436
0800	Akron, OH Portage, OH	1.0553
0120	Summit, OH <i>Albany, GA</i> Dougherty, GA	0.8501

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR **URBAN AREAS**—Continued

0160

0200

0220

0240

0280

0320

0380

0440

0450

0460

0470

0480

0500

MSA code No. Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹ Wage index ² MSA code No. Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹ Wage index ²	age index ²
Lee, GA <i>Albany-Schenec-</i> <i>tady-Troy, NY</i> Albany, NY <i>Operator</i> <i>Albany, NY</i> <i>Operator</i> <i>Albany-Schenec-</i> <i>tady-Troy, NY</i> <i>Operator</i> <i>Albany, NY</i> <i>Albany, NY</i> <i>Albany</i>	1.2297
Montgomery, NYNJRensselaer, NYAtlantic, NJSaratoga, NYCape May, NJSchenectady, NY0600	0.9842
Schoharie, NY GA-SC. 0200 Albuquerque, NM 0.9181 Columbia, GA Bernalillo, NM McDuffie, GA Sandoval, NM Richmond, GA	
Valencia, NM 0220 <i>Alexandria, LA</i> 0.9089 Aiken, SC Edgefield, SC	
Rapides, LA0640Austin-San0240Allentown-Beth- lehem-Easton, PA1.0877Marcos, TXCarbon, PA Lehigh, PACarbon, PA Travis, TXHays, TX	0.9361
Northampton, PAWilliamson, TX0280Altoona, PA0.9951 0680Bakersfield, CA	1.0160
0320 Blair, PA <i>Amarillo, TX</i>	1.0278
Randall, TX 0380 Anchorage, AK 1.3664 Baltimore, MD Anchorage, AK Carroll, MD	
0440 Ann Arbor, MI 1.1761 Harford, MD Lenawee, MI Livingston, MI Queen Anne's, MD	
Washtenaw, MI 0733 Bangor, ME 0450 Anniston, AL 0.9229 Penobscot, ME	1.0099
Calhoun, AL 0743 Barnstable- Yarmouth, MA 0460 Appleton-Oshkosh- 0.9407	1.6397
Neenah, WIBarnstable, MACalumet, WI0760Baton Rouge, LAOutagamie, WIAscension, LAWinnebago, WIEast Baton Rouge,	0.9457
0470 Arecibo, PR	
Hatillo, PRRouge, LA0480Asheville, NC0.9530 0840Beaumont-Port Ar- thur, TXBuncombe, NCMadison, NCHardin, TX	0.9230
0500 Athens, GA	1.2188
Oconee, GA 0520 Atlanta, GA	0.9094
Barrow, GA Bartow, GA O875 Bergen-Passaic,	1.2990
Carroll, GANJ.Cherokee, GABergen, NJClayton, GAPassaic, NJCobb, GA0880Billings, MT	0.9746
Coweta, GA DeKalb, GA	0.8822
Douglas, GAPascagoula, MSFayette, GAHancock, MSForsyth, GAHarrison, MSFulton, GAJackson, MS	
Gwinnett, GA0960Binghamton, NYHenry, GABroome, NYNewton, GATioga, NY	0.9657
Paulding, GA1000Birmingham, ALPickens, GABlount, AL	0.9672

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

-			-			-		
MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
	Jefferson, AL St. Clair, AL Shelby, AL		1440	Charleston-North Charleston, SC Berkeley, SC	0.9715		Cuyahoga, OH Geauga, OH Lake, OH	
1010	<i>Bismarck, ND</i> Burleigh, ND	0.8555		Charleston, SC Dorchester, SC			Lorain, OH Medina, OH	
1020	Morton, ND Bloomington, IN Monroe, IN	0.9557	1480	<i>Charleston, WV</i> Kanawha, WV Putnam, WV	0.9583	1720	<i>Colorado Springs,</i> <i>CO</i> El Paso, CO	1.0010
1040	Bloomington-Nor- mal, IL	0.9435	1520	Charlotte-Gas- tonia-Rock Hill,	1.0325	1740	<i>Columbia, MO</i> Boone, MO	0.9532
1080	McLean, IL Boise City, ID Ada, ID	0.9764		<i>NC–SC</i> Cabarrus, NC		1760	<i>Columbia, SC</i> Lexington, SC Richland, SC	0.9903
1123	Canyon, ID Boston-Worcester-	1.2013		Gaston, NC Lincoln, NC Mecklenburg, NC		1800	<i>Columbus, GA–AL</i> Chattahochee, GA	0.9073
	Lawrence-Low- ell-Brockton, MA–NH			Rowan, NC Stanly, NC Union, NC			Harris, GA Muscogee, GA Russell, AL	
	Bristol, MA Essex, MA Middlesex, MA		1540	York, SC <i>Charlottesville, VA</i> Albemarle, VA	1.0950	1840	<i>Columbus, OH</i> Delaware, OH Fairfield, OH	1.0426
	Norfolk, MA Plymouth, MA Suffolk, MA			Charlottesville City, VA Fluvanna, VA			Franklin, OH Licking, OH Madison, OH	
	Worcester, MA Hillsborough, NH Merrimack, NH		1560	Greene, VA <i>Chattanooga, TN–</i> <i>GA</i>	0.9673	1880	Pickaway, OH <i>Corpus Christi, TX</i> Nueces, TX	0.9075
1125	Rockingham, NH Strafford, NH <i>Boulder-Longmont,</i>	1.0700		Catoosa, GA Dade, GA Walker, GA		1900	San Patricio, TX Cumberland, MD– WV	0.8786
1125	<i>CO</i> Boulder, CO	1.0700		Hamilton, TN Marion, TN			Allegany, MD Mineral, WV	
1145	<i>Brazoria, TX</i> Brazoria, TX	0.9494	1580	<i>Cheyenne, WY</i> Laramie, WY	0.8687	1920	<i>Dallas, TX</i> Collin, TX	0.9987
1150	<i>Bremerton, WA</i> Kitsap, WA	1.1784	1600	<i>Chicago, IL</i> Cook, IL	1.1151		Dallas, TX Denton, TX	
1240	Brownsville-Har- lingen-San Be- nito, TX	0.8780		DeKalb, IL Du Page, IL Grundy, IL			Ellis, TX Henderson, TX Hunt, TX	
1260	Cameron, TX Bryan-College Sta- tion, TX	0.8336		Kane, IL Kendall, IL Lake, IL		1950	Kaufman, TX Rockwall, TX <i>Danville, VA</i>	0.9641
1280	Brazos, TX Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	1.0220	1620	McHenry, IL Will, IL <i>Chico-Paradise,</i>	1.0814	1960	Danville City, VA Pittsylvania, VA Davenport-Moline-	0.8968
1303	Erie, NY Niagara, NY <i>Burlington, VT</i> Chittenden, VT	1.0209	1640	CA Butte, CA Cincinnati, OH– KY–IN	1.0228		Rock Island, IA– IL Scott, IA Henry, IL	
1310	Franklin, VT Grand Isle, VT <i>Caguas, PR</i>	0.5060		Brown, OH Clermont, OH Hamilton, OH		2000	Rock Island, IL Dayton-Springfield, OH	1.0239
1310	Caguas, PR Caguas, PR Cayey, PR Cidra, PR Gurabo, PR	0.5060		Warren, OH Boone, KY Campbell, KY Gallatin, KY			Clark, OH Greene, OH Miami, OH Montgomery, OH	
1320	San Lorenzo, PR Canton-Massillon, OH Carroll, OH	0.9394		Grant, KY Kenton, KY Pendleton, KY Dearborn, IN		2020	<i>Daytona Beach,</i> <i>FL</i> Flagler, FL Volusia, FL	0.9737
1350	Stark, OH <i>Casper, WY</i>	0.9275	1660	Ohio, IN Clarksville-Hop-	0.8570	2030	<i>Decatur, AL</i> Lawrence, AL	0.8776
1360	Natrona, WY <i>Cedar Rapids, IA</i>	0.9396		kinsville, TN–KY Christian, KY		2040	Morgan, AL Decatur, IL	0.8565
1400	Linn, IA Champaign-Ur- bana, IL Champaign, II	0.9299	1680	Montgomery, TN Cleveland-Lorain- Elyria, OH	1.0538	2080	Macon, IL Denver, CO Adams, CO	1.1013
	Champaign, IL	I		Ashtabula, OH	I		Arapahoe, CO	I

-

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
	Denver, CO Douglas, CO		2655	Lauderdale, AL Florence, SC	0.9062	3040	<i>Great Falls, MT</i> Cascade, MT	0.9457
2120	Jefferson, CO Des Moines, IA	0.9005	2670	Florence, SC Fort Collins-	1.1481	3060	<i>Greeley, CO</i> Weld, CO	1.0081
2120	Dallas, IA Polk, IA	0.0000	2010	Loveland, CO Larimer, CO		3080	<i>Green Bay, WI</i> Brown, WI	0.9760
2160	Warren, IA Detroit, MI	1.1240	2680	<i>Ft. Lauderdale, FL</i> Broward, FL	1.0454	3120	Greensboro-Win- ston-Salem-High	1.0177
	Lapeer, MI Macomb, MI		2700	Fort Myers-Cape Coral, FL	0.9532		Point, NC Alamance, NC	
	Monroe, MI Oakland, MI		2710	Lee, FL Fort Pierce-Port	1.0917		Davidson, NC Davie, NC	
	St. Clair, MI Wayne, MI			<i>St. Lucie, FL</i> Martin, FL			Forsyth, NC Guilford, NC	
2180	<i>Dothan, AL</i> Dale, AL	0.8413	2720	St. Lucie, FL Fort Smith, AR–	0.8126		Randolph, NC Stokes, NC	
2190	Houston, AL Dover, DE	0.9981		<i>OK</i> Crawford, AR		3150	Yadkin, NC <i>Greenville, NC</i>	1.0056
2200	Kent, DE Dubuque, IA	0.8765		Sebastian, AR Sequoyah, OK		3160	Pitt, NC Greenville-	0.9830
2240	Dubuque, IA Duluth-Superior,	1.0619	2750	Fort Walton Beach, FL	0.9183		Spartanburg-An- derson, SC	
	<i>MN–WI</i> St. Louis, MN		2760	Okaloosa, FL Fort Wayne, IN	0.9644		Anderson, SC Cherokee, SC	
2281	Douglas, WI Dutchess County, NY	1.1225		Adams, IN Allen, IN De Kalb, IN			Greenville, SC Pickens, SC Spartanburg, SC	
2290	Dutchess, NY <i>Eau Claire, WI</i>	0.9139		Huntington, IN Wells, IN		3180	Hagerstown, MD Washington, MD	1.0855
	Chippewa, WI Eau Claire, WI		2800	Whitley, IN Fort Worth-Arling-	1.0360	3200	Hamilton-Middle- town, OH	0.9842
2320	<i>El Paso, TX</i> El Paso, TX	0.9823		<i>ton, TX</i> Hood, TX		3240	Butler, OH Harrisburg-Leb-	1.0724
2330	<i>Elkhart-Goshen, IN</i> Elkhart, IN	0.9919		Johnson, TX Parker, TX			anon-Čarlisle, PA	
2335	<i>Elmira, NY</i> Chemung, NY	0.8997	2840	Tarrant, TX <i>Fresno, CA</i>	1.1406		Cumberland, PA Dauphin, PA	
2340	<i>Enid, OK</i> Garfield, OK	0.8510		Fresno, CA Madera, CA			Lebanon, PA Perry, PA	
2360	<i>Erie, PA</i> Erie, PA	0.9883	2880	Gadsden, AL Etowah, AL	0.9358	3283	Hartford, CT Hartford, CT	1.2612
2400	Eugene-Spring- field, OR	1.1932	2900	<i>Gainesville, FL</i> Alachua, FL	1.0077		Litchfield, CT Middlesex, CT	
2440	Lane, OR Evansville-Hender- son, IN–KY	0.9091	2920	<i>Galveston-Texas</i> <i>City, TX</i> Galveston, TX	1.1613	3285	Tolland, CT <i>Hattiesburg, MS</i> Forrest, MS	0.8000
	Posey, IN Vanderburgh, IN		2960	Gary, IN	1.0058	3290	Lamar, MS Hickory-Mor-	0.9492
	Warrick, IN Henderson, KY		2975	Porter, IN Glens Falls, NY	0.9050	0200	ganton-Lenoir, NC	0.0402
2520	Fargo-Moorhead, ND–MN	1.0148	2010	Warren, NY Washington, NY				Alexander, NC Burke, NC
	Clay, MN Cass, ND		2980	<i>Goldsboro, NC</i> Wayne, NC	0.9093			Caldwell, NC Catawba, NC
2560	Fayetteville, NC Cumberland, NC	0.8943	2985	Grand Forks, ND- MN	0.9419	3320	Honolulu, HI	1.2269 Honolulu, HI
2580	Fayetteville- Springdale-Rog-	0.9182		Grand Forks, ND Polk, MN		3350	Houma, LA	0.8738 Lafourche, LA
	<i>ers, AR.</i> Benton, AR		2995	Grand Junction, CO	0.8825			Terrebonne, LA
2620	Washington, AR <i>Flagstaff, AZ–UT</i> Coconino, AZ	1.0109	3000	Mesa, CO Grand Rapids- Muskegon-Hol-	1.0629	3360	Houston, TX	1.0541 Chambers, TX Fort Bend, TX
2640	Kane, UT <i>Flint, MI</i>	1.1759		<i>land, MI</i> Allegan, MI				Harris, TX Liberty, TX
2650	Genesee, MI <i>Florence, AL</i>	0.8182		Kent, MI Muskegon, MI				Montgomery, TX
	Colbert, AL	1		Ottawa, MI	I			Waller, TX

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
3400	Huntington-Ash-	1.0284			Jasper, MO		Mohave, AZ	
3400	land, WV–KY–	1.0204			Newton, MO		Clarke, NV	
	OH		3720	Kalamazoo-	1.2079		Nye, NV	
		Boyd, KY		Battlecreek, MI		4150	Lawrence, KS	0.9226
		Carter, KY			Calhoun, MI	4000	Douglas, KS	0.074
		Greenup, KY Lawrence, OH			Kalamazoo, MI Van Buren, MI	4200	<i>Lawton, OK</i> Comanche, OK	0.271
		Cabell, WV	3740	Kankakee, IL	1.0039	4243	Lewiston-Auburn.	0.9753
		Wayne, WV			Kankakee, IL	-	ME	
3440	Huntsville, AL	0.8938	3760	Kansas City, KS–	1.0281		Androscoggin, ME	
		Limestone, AL		МО	Jahasan KC	4280	Lexington, KY	0.9067
3480	Indianapolis, IN	Madison, AL 1.0480			Johnson, KS Leavenworth,		Bourbon, KY Clark, KY	
0400		Boone, IN			KS		Fayette, KY	
		Hamilton, IN			Miami, KS		Jessamine, KY	
		Hancock, IN			Wyandotte, KS		Madison, KY	
		Hendricks, IN Johnson, IN			Cass, MO Clay, MO		Scott, KY Woodford, KY	
		Madison, IN			Clinton, MO	4320	<i>Lima, OH</i>	0.9539
		Marion, IN			Jackson, MO		Allen, OH	0.0000
		Morgan, IN			Lafayette, MO		Auglaize, OH	
2500	Laura City IA	Shelby, IN			Platte, MO	4360	Lincoln, NE	0.9917
3500	Iowa City, IA	1.0107 Johnson, IA	3800	Kenosha, WI	Ray, MO 0.9731	4400	Lancaster, NE Little Rock-North	0.9064
3520	Jackson, MI	0.9833	5000		Kenosha, WI	++00	Little Rock, AR	0.0004
	,	Jackson, MI	3810	Killeen-Temple, TX	1.0776		Faulkner, AR	
3560	Jackson, MS	0.8839			Bell, TX		Lonoke, AR	
		Hinds, MS	2040	Koonillo TN	Coryell, TX 0.9506		Pulaski, AR	
		Madison, MS Rankin, MS	3840	Knoxville, TN	Anderson, TN	4420	Saline, AR <i>Longview-Mar-</i>	0.9272
3580	Jackson, TN	0.9125			Blount, TN	1120	shall, TX	0.0272
		Madison, TN			Knox, TN		Gregg, TX	
0000		Chester, TN			Loudon, TN		Harrison, TX	
3600	Jacksonville, FL	0.9487 Clay, FL			Sevier, TN Union, TN	4480	Upshur, TX Los Angeles-Long	1.2882
		Duval, FL	3850	Kokomo, IN	0.9887	4400	Beach, CA	1.2002
		Nassau, FL		/	Howard, IN		Los Angeles, CA	
		St. Johns, FL			Tipton, IN	4520	Louisville, KY–IN	0.9693
3605	Jacksonville, NC	0.8055 Onslow, NC	3870	La Crosse, WI–MN	0.9501 Houston, MN		Clark, IN Floyd, IN	
3610	Jamestown, NY	0.8165			La Crosse, WI		Harrison, IN	
		Chautauqua,	3880	Lafayette, LA	0.8800		Scott, IN	
		NY			Acadia, LA		Bullitt, KY	
3620	Janesville-Beloit,	0.9648			Lafayette, LA		Jefferson, KY	
	WI	Rock, WI			St. Landry, LA St. Martin, LA	4600	Oldham, KY <i>Lubbock, TX</i>	0.9057
3640	Jersey City, NJ	1.2363	3920	Lafayette, IN	0.9424	4000	Lubbock, TX	0.0007
		Hudson, NJ			Clinton, IN	4640	Lynchburg, VA	0.9487
3660	Johnson City-	0.9352			Tippecanoe,		Amherst, VA	
	Kingsport-Bris- tol, TN–VA		3960	Lake Charles, LA	IN 0.818		Bedford, VA Bedford City, VA	
		Carter, TN	5500	Lake Onanes, LA	Calcasieu, LA		Campbell, VA	
		Hawkins, TN	3980	Lakeland-Winter	0.9529		Lynchburg City,	
		Sullivan, TN		Haven, FL			VA	
		Unicoi, TN	4000	Langester BA	Polk, FL	4680	Macon, GA	0.9573
		Washington, TN	4000	Lancaster, PA	1.0192 Lancaster, PA		Bibb, GA Houston, GA	
		Bristol City,	40	Lansing-East Lan-	1.0756		Jones, GA	
		VA		sing, MI			Peach, GA	
		Scott, VA			Clinton, MI	4700	Twiggs, GA	4 0070
		Washington, VA			Eaton, MI Ingham, MI	4720	<i>Madison, WI</i> Dane, WI	1.0679
3680	Johnstown, PA	0.9188	4080	Laredo, TX	0.8000	4800	Mansfield, OH	0.9097
	,	Cambria, PA			Webb, TX		Crawford, OH	
0700	land 17	Somerset, PA	4100	Las Cruces, NM	0.9455	10.10	Richland, OH	
3700	Jonesboro, AR	0.8000 Craighead, AR	4120	Las Vegas MV	Dona Ana, NM 1.2166	4840	<i>Mayaguez, PR</i> Anasco, PR	0.5061
3710	Joplin, MO	0.8392	-120	Las Vegas, NV– AZ	1.2100		Cabo Rojo, PR	
J J		0.0002		· · ·				

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
	Hormigueros, PR Mayaguez, PR			Elmore, AL Montgomery, AL			Isle of Wight, VA Mathews, VA	
	Sabana Grande, PR		5280	<i>Muncie, IN</i> Delaware, IN	1.0035		Newport News City, VA	
4880	San German, PR McAllen-Edinburg-	0.9480	5330	<i>Myrtle Beach, SC</i> Horry, SC	0.8719		Norfolk City, VA Poquoson City, VA	
4000	Mission, TX Hidalgo, TX	0.9460	5345	Naples, FL	1.0848		Portsmouth City, VA VA	
4890	Medford-Ashland, OR	1.0681	5360	<i>Nashville, TN</i> Cheatham, TN	1.0106		Suffolk City, VA Virginia Beach City	
4900	Jackson, OR Melbourne-	0.9824		Davidson, TN Dickson, TN Robertson, TN			VA Williamsburg City,	
	<i>Titusville-Palm</i> <i>Bay, FL</i> Brevard, Fl			Rutherford TN Sumner, TN		5775	VA York, VA <i>Oakland, CA</i>	1.5982
4920	Memphis, TN–AR– MS	0.8913		Williamson, TN Wilson, TN			Alameda, CA Contra Costa, CA	
	Crittenden, AR DeSoto, MS		5380	Nassau-Suffolk, NY	1.4490	5790	<i>Ocala, FL</i> Marion, FL	0.9756
	Fayette, TN Shelby, TN			Nassau, NY Suffolk, NY		5800	Odessa-Midland, TX	0.9227
4940	Tipton, TN Merced, CA	1.0695	5483	New Haven- Bridgeport-	1.3141		Ector, TX Midland, TX	
5000	Merced, CA Miami, FL	1.0678		Stamford-Water- bury-Danbury,		5880	Oklahoma City, OK	0.9283
5015	Dade, FL Middlesex-Som-	1.1888		<i>CT</i> Fairfield, CT			Canadian, OK Cleveland, OK	
	erset-Hunterdon, NJ		5523	New Haven, CT New London-Nor-	1.2382		Logan, OK McClain, OK	
	Hunterdon, NJ Middlesex, NJ			<i>wich, CT</i> New London, CT			Oklahoma, OK Pottawatomie, OK	
5080	Somerset, NJ	0.0072	5560	New Orleans, LA Jefferson, LA	0.9924	5910	<i>Olympia, WA</i> Thurston, WA	1.2282
5080	Milwaukee- Waukesha, WI	0.9973		Orleans, LA Plaquemines, LA		5920	<i>Omaha, NE–IA</i> Pottawattamie, IA	1.0630
	Milwaukee, WI Ozaukee, WI Washington, WI			St. Bernard, LA St. Charles, LA			Cass, NE Douglas, NE	
5400	Waukesha, WI	4.4570		St. James, LA			Sarpy, NE	
5120	Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN–WI Anoka, MN	1.1570		St. John The Bap- tist, LA St. Tammany, LA		5945	Washington, NE Orange County, CA	1.2282
	Carver, MN Chisago, MN		5600	New York, NY Bronx, NY	1.5415	5960	Orange, CA Orlando, FL	1.0460
	Dakota, MN Hennepin, MN			Kings, NY New York, NY		0000	Lake, FL Orange, FL	1.0400
	Isanti, MN			Putnam, NY Queens, NY			Osceola, FL Seminole, FL	
	Ramsey, MN Scott, MN			Richmond, NY		5990	Owensboro, KY	0.8284
	Sherburne, MN Washington, MN			Rockland, NY Westchester, NY		6015	Daviess, KY Panama City, FL	0.9068
	Wright, MN Pierce, WI		5640	Newark, NJ Essex, NJ Marria NJ	1.2649	6020	Bay, FL Parkersburg-Mari-	0.8545
5140	St. Croix, WI <i>Missoula, MT</i> Missoula, MT	0.9795		Morris, NJ Sussex, NJ Union, NJ			<i>etta, WV–OH.</i> Washington, OH Wood, WV	
5160	Mobile, AL Baldwin, AL	0.8930	5660	Warren, NJ Newburgh, NY–PA	1.1891	6080	Pensacola, FL Escambia, FL	0.8790
5170	Mobile, AL Modesto, CA	1.1029	0000	Orange, NY Pike, PA	1.1001	6120	Santa Rosa, FL Peoria-Pekin, IL	0.8590
5190	Stanislaus, CA Monmouth-Ocean,	1.2064	5720	Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport	0.8821	0120	Peoria, IL Tazewell, IL	0.0000
0.00	<i>NJ</i> Monmouth, NJ			News, VA–NC. Currituck, NC		6160	Woodford, IL Philadelphia, PA-	1.2120
5200	Ocean, NJ Monroe, LA	0.8761		Chesapeake City, VA			<i>NJ</i> Burlington, NJ	
5240	Ouachita, LA Montgomery, AL	0.8337		Gloucester, VA Hampton City, VA			Camden, NJ Gloucester, NJ	
J24U	Autauga, AL	0.0337		James City, VA			Salem, NJ	

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
	Bucks, PA		6690	Redding, CA	1.2640		Franklin, MO	
	Chester, PA		0090	Shasta, CA	1.2040		Jefferson, MO	
	Delaware, PA		6720	Reno, NV	1.1827		Lincoln, MO	
	Montgomery, PA		0740	Washoe, NV	4 0000		St. Charles, MO	
6200	Philadelphia, PA <i>Phoenix-Mesa, AZ</i>	1.0224	6740	Richland- Kennewick-	1.0966		St. Louis, MO St. Louis City, MO	
0200	Maricopa, AZ	1.0224		Pasco, WA			Warren, MO	
	Pinal, AZ			Benton, WA			Clinton, IL	
6240	Pine Bluff, AR	0.8434	0700	Franklin, WA	0.0040		Jersey, IL	
6280	Jefferson, AR Pittsburgh, PA	1.0435	6760	Richmond-Peters- burg, VA	0.9819		Madison, IL Monore, IL	
0200	Allegheny, PA	1.0100		Charles City Coun-			St. Clair, IL	
	Beaver, PA			ty, VA		7080	Salem, OR	1.0557
	Butler, PA			Chesterfield, VA			Marion, OR Polk, OR	
	Fayette, PA Washington, PA			Colonial Heights City, VA		7120	Salinas, CA	1.6141
	Westmoreland, PA			Dinwiddie, VA			Monterey, CA	
6323	Pittsfield, MA	1.1533		Goochland, VA		7160	Salt Lake City-	1.0018
6340	Berkshire, MA Pocatello, ID	0.9372		Hanover, VA			<i>Ogden, UT</i> Davis, UT	
0340	Bannock, ID	0.9372		Henrico, VA Hopewell City, VA			Salt Lake, UT	
6360	Ponce, PR	0.5506		New Kent, VA			Weber, UT	
	Guayanilla, PR			Petersburg City,		7200	San Angelo, TX	0.8150
	Juana Diaz, PR Penuelas, PR			VA Powhatan, VA		7240	Tom Green, TX San Antonio, TX	0.8634
	Ponce, PR			Prince George, VA		7240	Bexar, TX	0.0004
	Villalba, PR			Richmond City, VA			Comal, TX	
0400	Yauco, PR	4 0400	6780	Rivee-San	1.1467		Guadalupe, TX	
6403	<i>Portland, ME</i> Cumberland, ME	1.0192		<i>Bernardino, CA</i> San Bernardino,		7320	Wilson, TX San Diego, CA	1.3074
	Sagadahoc, ME			CA		1020	San Diego, CA	1.0074
	York, ME		6800	Roanoke, VA	0.9070	7360	San Francisco, CA	1.4878
6440	Portland-Van-	1.1916		Botetourt, VA			Marin, CA	
	<i>couver, OR–WA</i> Clackamas, OR			Roanoke, VA Roanoke City, VA			San Francisco, CA San Mateo, CA	
	Columbia, OR			Salem City, VA		7400	San Jose, CA	1.4739
	Multnomah, OR		6820	Rochester, MN	1.2470		Santa Clara, CA	
	Washington, OR Yamhill, OR		6940	Olmsted, MN Rochester, NY	1.0294	7440	San Juan-Baya- mon, PR	0.5316
	Clark, WA		6840	Genesee, NY	1.0294		Aguas Buenas, PR	
6483	Providence-War-	1.1514		Livingston, NY			Barceloneta, PR	
	wick-Pawtucket,				Ontario, NY		Bayamon, PR	
	<i>RI</i> Bristol, RI			Ontario, NY Orleans, NY			Canovanas, PR Carolina, PR	
	Kent, RI			Wayne NY			Catano, PR	
	Newport, RI		6880	Rockford, IL	0.9183		Ceiba, PR	
	Providence, RI			Boone, IL			Comerio, PR	
6520	Washington, RI Provo-Orem, UT	1.0537		Ogle, IL Winnebago, IL			Corozal, PR Dorado, PR	
	Utah, UT		6895	Rocky Mount, NC	0.9607		Fajardo, PR	
6560	Pueblo, CO	0.9287		Edgecombe, NC			Florida, PR	
6580	Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL	0.9627	6920	Nash, NC Sacramento, CA	1.2751		Guaynabo, PR Humacao, PR	
0500	Charlotte, FL	0.9027	0920	El Dorado, CA	1.2751		Juncos, PR	
6600	Racine, WI	0.9732		Placer, CA			Los Piedras, PR	
	Racine, WI			Sacramento, CA			Loiza, PR	
6640	Raleigh-Durham- Chapel Hill, NC	1.0459	6960	Saginaw-Bay City- Midland, MI	1.0113		Luguillo, PR Manati, PR	
	Chatham, NC			Bay, MI			Morovis, PR	
	Durham, NC			Midland, MI			Naguabo, PR	
	Franklin, NC		6000	Saginaw, MI	4 0040		Naranjito, PR	
	Johnston, NC Orange, NC		6980	<i>St. Cloud, MN</i> Benton, MN	1.0219		Rio Grande, PR San Juan, PR	
	Wake, NC			Stearns, MN			Toa Alta, PR	
6660	Rapid City, SD	0.8750	7000	St. Joseph, MO	1.0541		Toa Baja, PR	
6690	Pennington, SD	0.9843		Andrew, MO Buchanan, MO			Trujillo Alto, PR Vega Alta, PR	
6680	<i>Reading, PA</i> Berks, PA	0.9643	7040		0.9755		Vega Alta, PR Vega Baja, PR	
		•			0.07.00			

-

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

TABLE A—HOSPICE WAGE INDEX FOR URBAN AREAS—Continued

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²
7460	Yabucoa, PR San Luis Obispo-	1.2007	8003	<i>Springfield, MA</i> Hampden, MA	1.1715	8750	Ventura, CA <i>Victoria, TX</i> Victoria, TX	0.8934
	Atascadero- Paso Robles, CA		8050	Hampshire, MA <i>State College, PA</i> Centre, PA	1.0072	8760	Victoria, TX Vineland-Millville- Bridgeton, NJ	1.1129
7400	San Luis Obispo, CA	4 4000	8080	Steubenville- Weirton, OH–	0.8984	8780	Cumberland, NJ Visalia-Tulare-	1.0748
7480	Santa Barbara- Santa Maria- Lompoc, CA	1.1933		WV Jefferson, OH Brooke, WV		8800	Porterville, CA Tulare, CA Waco, TX	0.8923
7485	Santa Barbara, CA Santa Cruz- Watsonville, CA	1.4903	8120	Hancock, WV <i>Stockton-Lodi, CA</i> San Joaquin, CA	1.1806	8840	McLennan, TX Washington, DC– MD–VA–WV	1.1520
7490	Santa Cruz, ĆA Santa Fe, NM Los Alamos, NM	1.0289	8140 8160	Sumter, SC Sumter, SC Syracuse, NY	0.8663 1.0020		District of Colum- bia, DC Calvert, MD	
7500	Santa Fe, NM Santa Rosa, CA	1.4494	0100	Cayuga, NY Madison, NY	1.0020		Charles, MD Frederick, MD	
7510	Sonoma, CA Sarasota-Bra- denton, FL	1.0161	8200	Onondaga, NY Oswego, NY <i>Tacoma, WA</i>	1.1065		Montgomery, MD Prince Georges, MD	
7520	Manatee, FL Sarasota, FL Savannah, GA	1.0724	8240	Pierce, WA <i>Tallahassee, FL</i> Gadsden, FL	0.9006		Alexandria City, VA Arlington, VA	
	Bryan, GA Chatham, GA Effingham, GA		8280	Leon, FL Tampa-St. Peters- burg-Clearwater,	0.9714		Clarke, VA Culpeper, VA Fairfax, VA	
7560	Scranton—Wilkes- Barre—Hazle- ton, PA	0.8847		<i>FL</i> . Hernando, FL Hillsborough, FL			Fairfax City, VA Falls Church City, VA	
	Columbia, PA Lackawanna, PA Luzerne, PA		8320	Pasco, FL Pinellas, FL <i>Terre Haute, IN</i>	0.9584		Fauquier, VA Fredericksburg City, VA	
7600	Wyoming, PA Seattle-Bellevue- Everett, WA	1.2287		Clay, IN Vermillion, IN Vigo, IN			King George, VA Loudoun, VA Manassas City, VA	
	Island, WA King, WA Snohomish, WA		8360	<i>Texarkana, AR-</i> <i>Texarkana, TX</i> Miller, AR	0.9067		Manassas Park City, VA Prince William, VA	
7610	<i>Sharon, PA</i> Mercer, PA	0.9431	8400	Bowie, TX Toledo, OH	1.0650		Spotsylvania, VA Stafford, VA	
7620 7640	Sheboygan, WI Sheboygan, WI Sherman-Denison,	0.8768		Fulton, OH Lucas, OH Wood, OH			Warren, VA Berkeley, WV Jefferson, WV	
	<i>TX</i> Grayson, TX	1 0005	8440	<i>Topeka, KS</i> Shawnee, KS	1.0459	8920	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA	0.8483
7680	Shreveport-Bossier City, LA Bossier, LA	1.0005	8480 8520	Trenton, NJ Mercer, NJ Tucson, AZ	1.1202 0.9624	8940	Black Hawk, IA <i>Wausau, WI</i> Marathon, WI	1.0375
7720	Caddo, LA Webster, LA <i>Sioux City, IA-NE</i>	0.9041	8560	Pima, AZ <i>Tulsa, OK</i> Creek, OK	0.9021	8960	West Palm Beach- Boca Raton, FL Palm Beach, FL	1.0893
	Woodbury, IA Dakota, NE			Osage, OK Rogers, OK		9000	Wheeling, WV–OH Belmont, OH	0.8130
7760	<i>Sioux Falls, SD</i> Lincoln, SD Minnehaha, SD	0.9500	8600	Tulsa, OK Wagoner, OK <i>Tuscaloosa, AL</i>	0.8145	9040	Marshall, WV Ohio, WV <i>Wichita, KS</i>	0.9485
7800 7840	South Bend, IN St. Joseph, IN Spokane, WA	1.0510 1.1649	8640	Tuscaloosa, AL <i>Tyler, TX</i> Smith, TX	0.9400		Butler, KS Harvey, KS Sedgwick, KS	
7880	Spokane, WA Springfield, IL	0.9295	8680	<i>Utica-Rome, NY</i> Herkimer, NY	0.8973	9080	<i>Wichita Falls, TX</i> Archer, TX	0.8347
7920	Menard, IL Sangamon, IL Springfield, MO	0.8604	8720	Oneida, NY Vallejo-Fairfield- Napa, CA	1.4298	9140	Wichita, TX <i>Williamsport, PA</i> Lycoming, PA	0.9121
	Christian, MO Greene, MO		8735	Napa, CA Solano, CA	1.1741	9160	Wilmington-New- ark, DE–MD	1.2651
7880	Spokane, WA Springfield, IL Menard, IL Sangamon, IL Springfield, MO Christian, MO	0.9295		Utica-Rome, NY Herkimer, NY Oneida, NY Vallejo-Fairfield- Napa, CA Napa, CA		9140	Wichita Falls, TX Archer, TX Wichita, TX Williamsport, PA Lycoming, PA Wilmington-New-	

MSA code No.	Urban area (con- stituent counties or county equiva- lents) ¹	Wage index ²	_
9200	Cecil, MD <i>Wilmington, NC</i> Brunswick, NC New Hanover, NC	0.9959	
9260	Yakima, WA	1.0999	9
9270	Yakima, WA <i>Yolo, CA</i> Yolo, CA	1.1974	0,00
9280	<i>York, PA</i> York, PA	1.0031	0
9320	Youngstown-War- ren, OH Columbiana, OH	1.0463	
9340	Mahoning, OH Trumbull, OH <i>Yuba City, CA</i> Sutter, CA	1.1582	
9360	Yuba, CA <i>Yuma, AZ</i> Yuma, AZ	1.0722	

¹This column lists each MSA area name (in italics) and each county, or county equivalent, in the MSA area. Counties not listed in this Table are considered to be Rural Areas. Wage Index values for these areas are found in Table B.

²Wage index values are based on FY 1998 hospital cost report data prior to reclassification. This wage index is further adjusted. Wage index values greater than 0.8 are subject to a budget neutrality adjustment of 1.065982. Wage index values below 0.8 are adjusted to be the greater of a 15 percent increase, subject to a maximum wage index value of 0.8, or an adjustment by multiplying the hospital wage index value for a given area by the budget neutrality adjustment. All of these adjustments have been completed by HCFA and are built into the wage index values reflected in this table.

TABLE B.—WAGE INDEX FOR RURAL AREAS

MSA code No.	Nonurban area	Wage Index ³
9901	Alabama	0.8000
9902	Alaska	1.3250
9903	Arizona	0.8516
9904	Arkansas	0.8000
9905	California	1.0637
9906	Colorado	0.8993
9907	Connecticut	1.2871
9908	Delaware	0.9388
9910	Florida	0.9463
9911	Georgia	0.8408
9912	Hawaii	1.1630
9913	Idaho	0.9036
9914	Illinois	0.8438
9915	Indiana	0.8933
9916	lowa	0.8290
9917	Kansas	0.8000
9918	Kentucky	0.8362
9919	Louisiana	0.8000
9920	Maine	0.9026
9921	Maryland	0.9119
9922	Massachusetts	1.1549

TABLE B.—WAGE INDEX FOR RURAL AREAS—Continued

Nonurban area	Wage Index ³
Michigan	0.9461
Minnesota	0.9162
Mississippi	0.8000
Missouri	0.8000
Montana	0.8952
Nebraska	0.8180
Nevada	0.9867
New Hampshire	1.0916
New Jersey ⁴	
New Mexico	0.8815
New York	0.9155
	0.8647
North Dakota	0.8000
Ohio	0.9081
Oklahoma	0.8000
Oregon	1.0564
Pennsylvania	0.9236
Puerto Rico	0.4692
	0.8577
South Dakota	0.8003
Tennessee	0.8000
Texas	0.8064
Utah	0.9444
Vermont	1.0037
Virgin Islands	0.5276
Virginia	0.8375
Washington	1.1181
West Virginia	0.8395
Wisconsin	0.9286
Wyoming	0.9347
Guam	0.9611
	Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Hampshire New Jersey ⁴ New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Ohio Ohio Oklahoma Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Puerto Rico Rhode Island ⁴ South Carolina South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Virgin Islands Virgin Islands Virginia Washington Wisconsin Wyoming

³Wage index values are based on FY 1998 hospital cost report data prior to reclassification. This wage index is further adjusted. Wage index values greater than 0.8 are subject to a budget neutrality adjustment of 1.065982. Wage index values below 0.8 are adjusted to be the greater of a 15 percent increase, subject to a maximum wage index value of 0.8, or an adjustment by multiplying the hospital wage index value for a given area by the budget neutrality adjustment. All of these adjustments have been completed by HCFA and are built into the wage index values reflected in this table.

⁴ All counties within the State are classified as urban.

II. Regulatory Impact Statement

A. Background

We have examined the impacts of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866, the Unfunded Mandate Reform Act, and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (Pub. L. 96-354). In this notice, we identified an impact on hospices as a result of changes in the way we compute the hospice wage index. The change in the methodology for computing the wage index was determined through a negotiated rulemaking committee and implemented in the August 8, 1997 final rule (62 FR 42860). This notice only describes the effects of those changes in conjunction with the annual update to

the hospice wage index. We believe these changes to be insignificant.

1. Executive Order 12866 and RFA

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects; distributive impacts; and equity). We have determined that this notice is not an economically significant rule under this Executive Order. The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief for small businesses. For purposes of the RFA, States and individuals are not considered small entities. However, most providers, physicians, and health care suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of \$5 million or less annually. Approximately 73 percent of Medicare certified hospices are identified as being voluntary, government, or other agency, and therefore are considered small entities. Because the National Hospice Organization estimates that approximately 65 percent of hospice patients are Medicare beneficiaries, we have not considered other sources of revenue in this analysis.

As discussed below, both urban and rural areas in Puerto Rico will experience the most significant decrease, 7.6 and 11.6 percent respectively, in payments under this wage index. Looking at the effects of this rule on Puerto Rico, we see that 21 of the 29 hospices in urban Puerto Rico can be considered small entities that will experience an 7.6 percent decrease in Medicare payments. Therefore, we anticipate this rule will have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. However, the methodology for the hospice wage index was previously determined by consensus through a negotiated rulemaking committee that included representatives of national hospice associations; rural, urban, large and small hospices; multi-site hospices; and consumer groups. Based on all of the options considered, the committee determined that the methodology described in the committee statement, and adopted into the August 8, 1997 final rule, was favorable for the hospice community as a whole, as well as for the beneficiaries that they serve. Therefore, we believe that mitigating the effects of small entities has been taken into consideration.

2. Congressional Review

Section 804(2) of Title 5, United States Code (as added by section 251 of Public Law 104–121 rule of the Public Law), specifies that a "major rule" is any rule that the Office of Management and Budget finds is likely to result in—

• An annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more;

• A major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or

• Significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreignbased enterprises in domestic and export markets.

We estimate that the impact of this notice will not be \$100 million or more; therefore, this rule is not a major rule as defined in Title 5, United States Code, section 804(2) and is not being forwarded to Congress for a 60-day review period.

3. Unfunded Mandate

The Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995 also requires (in section 202) that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits for any rule that may result in an annual expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more. The notice has no consequential effect on State, local, or tribal governments. We believe the private sector costs of this rule fall below the threshold, as well.

4. Rural Hospital Impact

Section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis for any notice that may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. Such an analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside a Metropolitan Statistical Area and has fewer than 50 beds.

Because this notice has no direct impact on small hospitals, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 604, the Secretary certifies that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

B. Anticipated Effects

This impact analysis compares hospice payments under the FY 1999 hospice wage index (Column 3 of the table) to the estimated payments using the FY 2000 wage index (Column 4). The wage index blend for the second transition year (FY 1999) was one-third of the 1983 wage index added to twothirds of the new wage index. The third transition year (FY 2000) fully implements the revised wage index. The data used in developing the quantitative analysis for this notice were obtained from the December 1998 update of the national claims history file of all bills submitted during fiscal year 1998. We deleted bills from hospices that have since closed. In addition to the information contained in Table C below, we have compared estimated payments using the original 1983 hospice wage index to estimated payments using the FY 2000 wage index and determined the new wage index methodology to be budget neutral as required by the negotiated rulemaking committee agreement.

Table C demonstrates the results of our analysis. In Column 2 of the table (below) we indicate the number of routine home care days that were included in our analysis, although the analysis was performed on all types of hospice care. Column 3 of the table indicates payments that were made using the FY 1999 wage index. Column 4 of Table C is based on FY 1998 claims and estimates payments to be made to hospices using the FY 2000 wage index. The final column, which compares Columns 3 and 4, shows the percent change in estimated hospice payments made based on the category of the hospice.

Table C categorizes hospices by various geographic and provider characteristics. The first row displays the results of the impact analysis for all Medicare certified hospices. The second and third rows of the table categorize hospices according to their geographic location (urban and rural). There are 1,375 hospices located in urban areas included in our analysis and 786 hospices located in rural areas. The next two groupings in the table indicate the number of hospices by census region, also broken down by urban and rural hospices. The sixth grouping shows the impact on hospices based on the size of the hospice's program. We determined that the majority of hospice payments are made at the routine home care rate. Therefore, we based the size of each

individual hospice's program on the number of routine home care days provided in 1998. The next grouping shows the impact on hospices by type of ownership. The final grouping shows the impact on hospices defined by whether they are provider-based or freestanding.

The results of our analysis show that the greatest increases are for urban and rural hospices in the New England region, 3.0 percent and 2.7 percent respectively, and for rural hospices in the Pacific region, with 2.3 percent. The greatest decreases, besides Puerto Rico, are the urban West South Central and urban East North Central regions with 2.7 percent and 2.0 percent respectively. The most dramatic shift occurs in Puerto Rico, where urban payments decrease by 7.6 percent and rural payments decrease by 11.6 percent.

With the FY 99 wage index, Puerto Rico experienced a 11.6 percent decrease for urban areas and a 12.3 percent decrease for rural areas. The total percentage decrease in payments Puerto Rico will experience with the new hospice wage index is 21 percent for urban areas and 26.3 percent for rural areas, which is consistent with the estimated impact information HCFA provided to the negotiated rulemaking committee during their deliberations. Since the wage index values for the Puerto Rico region are more than 15 percent below the 1983 wage index value of 0.8, this region is most affected by the revision to the wage index floor. However, as mentioned above, Puerto Rico's hospital wage index is calculated in the same manner as the national hospital wage index but is based solely on Puerto Rico's wage data. This has an impact on Puerto Rico's hospice wage index in that the hospice wage index is calculated using the pre-reclassified hospital wage index.

Small hospice programs show small increases while larger programs experience only a negligible decrease. Government-based hospices show slight increases in payment due to the wage index change while proprietary hospices show slight decreases. Finally, freestanding hospices show slight decreases in their wage index values while provider-based hospice programs show small increases.

We have reviewed this notice under the threshold criteria of Executive Order 12612. We have determined that it does not significantly affect the rights, roles, and responsibilities of States.

TABLE C.—IMPACT OF HOSPICE WAGE INDEX C	HANGE
---	-------

	Number of hospices (1)	Number of routine home care days in thousands (2)	Payments using FY 1999 wage index in thousands (3)	Estimated payments using FY 2000 wage index in thousands (4)	Percent change in hospice payments (5)
All	2,161	18,455	2,135,750	2,128,347	-0.3
Urban Hospices	1,375	15,717	1,877,146	1,868,228	-0.5
Rural Hospices	786	2,738	258,604	260,118	0.6
Region (Urban):		,	,	, -	
New England	98	607	79,780	82,145	3.0
Middle Atlantic	176	1,970	249.012	252,129	1.3
South Atlantic	188	3,509	433.746	433,786	0.0
East North Central	223	2,546	301,352	295,253	-2.0
East South Central	101	890	92,734	91,468	-1.4
West North Central	98	930	97,912	98,233	0.3
West South Central	185	2.090	224,483	218,502	-2.7
Mountain	90	945	125,720	123,558	-1.7
Pacific	187	2,028	257,817	259,665	0.7
Puerto Rico	29	203	14.592	13.489	-7.6
Region (Rural):	20	200	11,002	10,100	1.0
New England	25	69	7,178	7,372	2.7
Middle Atlantic	36	162	16,287	16,306	0.1
South Atlantic	115	601	55,752	55,890	0.2
East North Central	132	490	46,469	46,864	0.9
East South Central	81	376	34,460	34.534	0.2
West North Central	168	358	33.204	33.579	1.1
West South Central	88	279	24,492	24,408	-0.3
Mountain	84	181	17,817	17,872	0.3
Pacific	54	202	21.593	22.098	2.3
Puerto Rico	3	19	1,352	1,195	- 11.6
Size (Routine Home Care Days):	Ũ	10	1,002	1,100	11.0
0–1,754 Days	540	457	46,196	46.452	0.6
1,754–4,373 Days	539	1,603	164,904	164,822	0.0
4,372–9,681 Days	541	3,616	385,755	385,020	-0.2
9.681+Days	541	12,778	1,538,895	1,532,054	-0.4
Type of Ownership:	0.11	,	.,000,000	.,002,001	0.1
Voluntary	1,374	12,342	1,427,656	1,427,863	0.0
Proprietary	574	5,535	648.731	640.829	- 1.2
Government	180	494	50,974	51,319	0.7
Other	33	83	8,389	8,335	-0.6
Hospice Base:			0,000	0,000	0.0
Freestanding	841	10.368	1,211,588	1,202,380	-0.8
Home Health Agency	757	4.852	558.520	559.505	0.3
Hospital	542	3,107	349,520	349,793	0.0
Skilled Nursing Facility	21	127	16,597	16,669	0.4

Authority: Section 1814(I) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395f (I)(1)) (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.743 Medicare—Hospital Insurance Program; and No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program) Dated: May 27, 1999. Nancy-Ann Min DeParle,

Administrator.

Dated: July 2, 1999.

Donna E. Shalala,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–20013 Filed 7–30–99; 1:30 pm] BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

[HCFA-0002-N]

Medicare Program; Year 2000 Readiness Letters

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS. **ACTION:** Notice.

On May 24, 1999 the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) sent the following letters regarding Y2K readiness to physicians, hospitals, managed care organizations and other health care partners that provide services to Medicare beneficiaries. The letters are a follow-up to a January 1999 letter published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 5667) that had been sent to the over one million providers of Medicare services.

The recent letters include several very important messages regarding Y2K and health care providers. First, HCFA's internal systems and the 75 missioncritical claims processing systems operated by the Medicare carriers and fiscal intermediaries are fully ready to handle all appropriately formatted claims and other data exchanges on January 1, 2000. Second, providers need to be aware that the Y2K computer problem goes beyond the matter of billing and can have a serious impact on the quality of patient care. Finally, the letters provide a list of steps and a number of web site resources that