

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Parts 91

[Docket No. FAA-1999-5925; Notice No. 99-15]

RIN 2120-AG82

## Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed rulemaking, published in the **Federal Register** on July 8, 1999 (64 FR 37018). That proposed rulemaking to enable the implementation of Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum (RVSM) in Pacific oceanic airspace. The introduction of RVSM in Pacific oceanic airspace would make more fuel and time efficient flight levels and tracks available to operators and would enhance airspace capacity.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roy Grimes, 202-267-3734.

## Correction of Publication

In proposed rule FR Doc. 99-17360, beginning on page 37018 in the **Federal Register** issue of July 18, 1999, make the following correction:

1. On page 37018, in column 1, in the heading section, beginning in line 4, correct the "Notice No. 99-10" to read "Notice No. 99-15".

Issued in Washington, DC on July 21, 1999.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel, Regulations Division.

[FR Doc. 99-19179 Filed 7-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 139

[Docket No. FAA-1999-5924; Notice No. 99-13]

RIN 2120-AG83

## Year 2000 Airport Safety Inspections; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed

rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** on July 8, 1999 (64 FR 37026). That NPRM proposed rulemaking to require certain airports to conduct a one-time readiness check of certain airfield equipment and systems starting January 1, 2000, and report the results of these checks to the FAA. In addition, that proposal temporarily revised the time period these airport operators have to repair or replace certain emergency equipment.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert E. David, Airport Safety and Operators Division (AAS-300), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-8721.

## Correction of Publication

In proposed rule FR Doc. 99-17359 beginning on page 37026 in the **Federal Register** issue of July 8, 1999, make the following corrections:

1. On page 37026, in column 1, in the heading, beginning in line 4, "SFAR No. 85-]" should read "Notice No. 99-13]".

2. In the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Availability of NPRMs" section on page 37026, in column 2, the first paragraph, beginning in line 9, remove the last phrase", or the FAA's Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee Bulletin Board service (telephone: (800) 322-2722 or (202) 267-5948)".

3. On page 37029, in column 1, 9 lines from top of column, add the following language "And fourth, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation).

In conducting these analyses, the FAA has determined that this rulemaking does not meet the standards for a 'significant regulatory action' under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and under the Department of Transportation's Regulatory Policies and Procedures for Simplification, Analysis, and Review of Regulations (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979) and, therefore, is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. Additionally, this proposed rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities; would not constitute a barrier to international trade, and does not contain a significant intergovernmental or private sector mandate."

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 21, 1999.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel, Regulations Division.

[FR Doc. 99-19042 Filed 7-27-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[SIP No. MT-001-0007, MT 001-0008, MT-001-0009 and MT-001-0010; FRL-6408-8]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; Billings/Laurel Sulfur Dioxide State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to partially approve, conditionally approve and partially disapprove the Billings/Laurel sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Montana in response to a SIP Call. EPA is also proposing a regulatory scheme for sanctions. The SIP revisions establish, and require seven sources to meet and monitor compliance with, emission limitations for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the Billings/Laurel area. The intended effect of this action is to make federally enforceable those provisions that EPA is proposing to approve, to conditionally approve those provisions that the State has committed to correct, to disapprove those provisions that are not approvable, and to establish the sequence of sanctions if EPA's proposed disapproval becomes a final action. EPA is taking this action under sections 110 and 179 of the Clean Air Act (Act).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by August 27, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail written comments (in duplicate if possible) to Richard R. Long, Director, Air Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202.

Docket: You can inspect the official docket concerning this action, docket #R8-99-01, at the Air Program Office, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202 (call Laurie Ostrand to make an appointment at (303) 312-6437). You also can review materials concerning this action (although not the official docket) at EPA