

Pennsylvania Museum by person(s) unknown. No known individual was identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

Based on the label on this skull, this individual has been identified as Native American of Pawnee affiliation. The skull is incised with symbols or pictures, and two man-made holes are present at either side of its base. The cranium also exhibits parietal flattening (artificial deformation). No further information exists for this individual.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the University of Pennsylvania Museum have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of one individual of Native American ancestry. Officials of the University of Pennsylvania Museum have also determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (e), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains should contact Dr. Jeremy Sabloff, the Williams Director, University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, 33rd and Spruce Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6324; telephone: (215) 898-4051, fax (215) 898-0657, before August 23, 1999. Repatriation of the human remains to the Pawnee Indian Tribe of Oklahoma may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward. Dated: July 12, 1999.

Francis P. McManamon,

*Departmental Consulting Archeologist,
Manager, Archeology and Ethnography
Program.*

[FR Doc. 99-18888 Filed 7-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Availability of Revised Guidance on All Requests for Wireless Telecommunication; Facilities in Units of the National Park System, Reference Manual 53, Appendix 5, Exhibit 6

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the revised guidance document for all

requests for Wireless Telecommunication Facilities in units of the NPS. This document revises existing guidance to park managers concerning all aspects of requests for Wireless Telecommunications Facilities in the National Park System, from the initial contact, through on-scene protection of resources, and ending with complete recovery and restoration of the site. This document supersedes and replaces the existing NPS-53, Appendix 8, Exhibit 6 dealing with the same subject.

Copies of the guidance document will be made available upon request by writing: National Park Service, Ranger Activities Division-Telecom, 1849 C St. NW, Suite 7408, Washington, DC 20240, or by calling 202-208-4874.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dick Young at 757-898-7846, or 757-898-3400, ext. 51.

Dated: July 20, 1999.

Dennis Burnett,

*Acting Chief, Ranger Activities Division,
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-18891 Filed 7-22-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-412]

Certain Video Graphics Display Controllers and Products Containing SAME; Commission Determination Not To Review the Bulk of an Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review, as explained below, the presiding administrative law judge's final initial determination (ID) and has thereby made a final determination of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be

obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission ordered the institution of this investigation on July 27, 1998, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Cirrus Logic, Inc., Fremont, California ("Cirrus" or "complainant"). 63 FR 40932 (1998). The notice of investigation was published in the **Federal Register** on July 31, 1998. *Id.* The complaint alleged that ATI Technologies, Inc., Thornhill, Ontario, Canada ("ATI" or "respondent") violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, by importing, selling for importation, and selling in the United States after importation certain video graphics display controllers that infringe claims 37 and 43 of Cirrus' U.S. Letters Patent 5,598,525 ("the '525 patent'"). *Id.* On October 29, 1998, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued an ID (ALJ Order No. 14) granting Cirrus' motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations of infringement of claims 1-10, 12-21, and 23-24 of the "525 patent, and that ID was not reviewed by the Commission. 63 FR 66581 (1998).

The ALJ held a tutorial on the technology for displaying video and graphics data on personal computers on January 7, 1999. On January 20, 1999, Cirrus filed a notice of withdrawal of certain disputed claims, indicating that only claims 13, 15, 16, 17, 23, and 37 remained in dispute. An evidentiary hearing was held from January 21, 1999, to January 29, 1999.

The ALJ issued her final ID on April 30, 1999, concluding that there was no violation of section 337, based on the following findings: (a) complainant failed to establish the requisite domestic industry; (b) the asserted claims of the "525 patent, claims 13, 15, 16, 17, 23, and 37, are invalid; and (c) assuming, *arguendo*, the validity of the asserted claims, respondent's accused devices do not infringe the asserted claims. On May 11, 1999, the ALJ issued her recommended determination on remedy and bonding, in the event the Commission were to conclude there is a violation of section 337.

On May 13, 1999, complainant filed a petition for review of the ID, arguing that the ALJ erred in construing specific terms in claims 13, 15, 16, 17, and 23, erred in her invalidity and infringement analyses of those claims, and erred in concluding that complainant did not satisfy the domestic industry requirement. Complainant's petition included a request for contingent review of the ALJ's conclusions concerning