

authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1936 (Overton Act). Construction of the dam was completed in 1941 with an authorized purpose of flood control. Development and use of flood control reservoirs for recreational and related purposes were authorized by Section 4 of the Flood Control Act approved 22 December 1944, as amended by Section 209 of the Flood Control Act of 1954 approved 3 December 1954. A final EIS was completed for the entire St. Francis Basin Project in July 1973. Wappapello Lake and Dam were included in this EIS as part of the St. Francis Basin Project's purpose of flood control, but general O&M details for Wappapello Lake and Dam was not addressed. Wappapello Lake and Dam have a range of operational practices within the authorized purpose of the project. Since its inception, the development and management of Wappapello Lake and Dam have included flood control, recreational facility development and access, forestry, fish and wildlife management and protection of natural and cultural resources.

#### Alternatives

The Corps of Engineers will evaluate reasonable alternatives for the O&M of Wappapello Lake and Dam. The no action alternative will be to not change the current O&M practices. The action alternatives will address proposed changes to the current O&M practices concerning the management of the project's natural resources and recreational opportunities.

#### Scoping and Public Involvement

Public involvement will be sought during scoping and conduct of the study in accordance with NEPA procedures. A public scoping process will help to clarify issues of major concern, identify any information sources that might be available to analyze and evaluate impacts, and obtain public input on the range and acceptability of alternatives. The Notice of Intent formally commences the scoping process under NEPA. As part of the scoping process, all Federal, state and local agencies, Native American tribes, and other interested private organizations or individuals, including environmental groups, are invited to comment on the scope of the EIS. Comments are requested concerning project alternatives, probable significant environmental impacts and permits or other approvals that may be required.

The following key areas have been identified to be analyzed in-depth in the draft EIS:

1. Geology and Engineering Design
2. Water Management

3. Water Quality
4. Fisheries
5. Wildlife
6. Wetlands
7. Forest Management
8. Cultural Resources
9. Socioeconomic Resources
10. Recreation
11. Hydroelectric
12. Utility right-of-ways

#### Other Environmental Review and Coordination Requirements

All review and coordination requirements will be fulfilled via this NEPA process. On-going operations of the lake and dam are continually coordinated with agencies and interested publics.

#### Scoping Meeting

A scoping meeting for this EIS will be held in conjunction with a public workshop that will be held in July or August 1999 for the Lake's Master Plan Update. The exact date has not been set and can be requested by calling the Lake's office at (573) 222-8562.

#### Availability of Draft EIS

The draft EIS is scheduled for release in the spring of 2000.

**Thomas J. Hodgini,**  
*COL, EN, Commanding.*

[FR Doc. 99-17621 Filed 7-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-55-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP99-357-000]

##### ANR Pipeline Co.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

July 6, 1999.

Take notice that on June 29, 1999, ANR Pipeline Co. (ANR) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, revised tariff sheets listed at Appendix A to the filing, to be effective August 1, 1999.

ANR states that this filing is made in compliance with Order No. 587-K which completed the Commission's adoption of Version 1.3 of the Gas Industry Standards Boards' Business Practice Standards. In addition, ANR is making housekeeping-type corrections to changes that were made previously to comply with Order Nos. 587 and 587-B.

ANR states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all affected customers and state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-17578 Filed 7-9-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

##### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP94-271-002]

##### East Tennessee Natural Gas Co.; Notice of Compliance Filing

July 6, 1999.

Take notice that on June 28, 1999, East Tennessee Natural Gas Co. (East Tennessee), filed a report pursuant to Section 23 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff and in compliance with the June 30, 1994 Letter Order in Docket No. RP94-271. The referenced tariff provision and the Letter Order require East Tennessee to file to recover trailing costs in East Tennessee's Account No. 191 resulting from the resolution of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's ("Tennessee") proceedings in Docket Nos. RP94-201-000 and RP93-47-000 within 60 days of Tennessee filing its final PGA report. Tennessee filed its report on April 29, 1999.

East Tennessee states that since East Tennessee's last report, East Tennessee received a refund from Tennessee in April 1995, which East Tennessee flowed through to its customers, and that Tennessee has billed East Tennessee \$25,508.00, which East Tennessee does not propose to pass on to its customers.

East Tennessee also submits pro forma tariff sheets to reflect the