

on June 3, 1999, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Robert Bosch Corporation*, Civil Action No. 1:99-CV-414, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan for a period of thirty day to facilitate public comment.

The settlement embodied in the proposed Consent Decree requires Bosch, the only settling party, to reimburse the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") all unreimbursed costs associated with, and to perform the remedy selected by EPA for, the Bosch/Bendix Braking Superfund Site located in St. Joseph, Michigan. The remedial action to be performed by Bosch will include soil vapor extraction, natural attenuation of contaminated groundwater together with monitoring of groundwater and a contingent groundwater remediation plan if contamination exceeds defined triggers, and deed restrictions and other institutional controls to assure that contaminated groundwater will not be used as drinking water.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Robert Bosch Corporation* D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-06028.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Western District of Michigan, 3300 Ionia Avenue, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503, at the Region 5 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604-3590, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. A copy of the Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 3rd Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the above-referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$23.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 99-16109 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/Order No. 168-99]

Privacy Act of 1974; Notice of the Removal of a System of Records

Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), Department of Justice is removing a published Privacy Act system of records entitled: "Position Accounting/Control System (PACS), JUSTICE/INS-003" (JUSTICE/INS-003 was most recently published on March 10, 1992 (57 FR 8483).)

JUSTICE/INS-003 is being removed because PACS duplicates JUSTICE/JMD-003, "Department of Justice Payroll System." (JUSTICE/JMB-003 was most recently published on April 13, 1999 (64 FR 18054).)

Therefore, the "PACS," is removed from the Department's compilation of Privacy Act systems.

Dated: June 10, 1999.

Stephen R. Colgate,

*Assistant Attorney General for
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-16119 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CJ-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Motorola, Inc. and Nextel Communications, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel") has moved to modify the Final Judgment entered by this Court on July 25, 1995. In a stipulation filed with the Court, the Department of Justice ("Department") has tentatively consented to modification of the Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments. On October 27, 1994, the United States filed a civil antitrust complaint, *United States v. Motorola, Inc. & Nextel Communications, Inc.*, Civil No. 1:94CV02331 (TFH) (D.D.C.), seeking to enjoin a proposed transaction between Nextel and Motorola which, it alleged, would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18. Nextel, then the nation's largest provider of specialized mobile radio ("SMR"), or dispatch services, had agreed to acquire most of Motorola's dispatch business. The complaint alleged that the Nextel/Motorola transaction was likely to reduce competition substantially in fifteen (15) major cities in the United States in the market for trunked SMR services.

The Final Judgment, filed contemporaneously with the complaint and entered by the Court on July 25, 1995, after review pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), contained three provisions designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects of the transaction: (1) Nextel and Motorola were required to divest themselves of substantially all of their SMR channels in the 900 MHz radio band and to release, upon request of the license holders, substantially all the 900 MHz SMR channels they managed in a number of large cities; (2) Nextel and Motorola, jointly, were prohibited from holding or acquiring more than thirty (30) 900 MHz channels in Boston, Chicago, Dallas, Houston, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Miami, Orlando, New York, Philadelphia, Denver, and Washington, DC (the "Category A Cities"), and ten (10) 900 MHz channels in Detroit and Seattle (the "Category B Cities"); and (3) Nextel and Motorola were required to sell 42 800 MHz channels to an independent service provider in Atlanta, Georgia. These provisions were specifically designed to preserve competition for trunked SMR customers by limiting for ten years the 900 MHz spectrum Nextel and Motorola would own and control and by ensuring that there would be sufficient 900 MHz capacity to permit the entry of new trunked SMR service providers.

Many of the 900 MHz channels divested pursuant to the Final Judgment were acquired by Geotek Communications, Inc. ("Geotek"), which acquired additional 900 MHz channels and used the spectrum to offer dispatch services in competition with Nextel. However, Geotek's efforts to enter the dispatch market ultimately failed, and its sizable blocks of the 900 MHz licenses in metropolitan areas nationwide will be available for use by some other firm.

On February 16, 1999, Nextel filed a Motion to Vacate Consent Decree, a motion which, if granted, would have allowed Nextel to acquire the Geotek licenses, as well as additional 900 MHz spectrum. The United States opposed Nextel's request for immediate termination of the decree. The Court scheduled an evidentiary hearing on Nextel's motion to vacate the decree to begin on June 14, 1999. Thereafter, on the eve of that hearing, the United States and Nextel reached agreement on the terms of a proposed modification of the Final Judgment, and signed a Stipulation reflecting that agreement, as well as their agreement that proceedings in connection with Nextel's motion to vacate the decree should be stayed

pending final resolution of the motion for proposed modification of the decree.

The terms of the proposed modification would (1) prohibit Nextel from acquiring Geotek's 900 MHz licenses in the Category A and B Cities; (2) increase the limits on Nextel's and Motorola's 900 MHz channels, to permit them to hold or acquire up to one hundred eight (108) 900 MHz channels in the Category A Cities, and fifty-four (54) 900 MHz channels in the Category B Cities; and (3) terminate the Modified Final Judgment on October 30, 2000. Finally, the proposed modification would vacate the provision of the Final Judgment that alters the standard of review for modification as of July 25, 2000.

The Department and Nextel have filed memoranda with the Court setting forth the reasons why they believe that modification of the Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of Nextel's motion to modify, the stipulation containing the Department's consent, the supporting memoranda, and all additional papers filed with the Court in connection with this motion will be available for inspection at the Antitrust Documents Group of the Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 215, Liberty Place Building, 325 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the duplicating fee determined by Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the Judgment to the Department. Such comments must be received by the Antitrust Division within thirty (30) days. The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** and file with the Court any comments and responses thereto. Comments should be addressed to Donald J. Russell, Chief, Telecommunications Task Force, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000, Washington, D.C. 20005, telephone (202) 514-6381.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations and Merger Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 99-16120 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 18, 1999.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor, Department Clearance Officer, Ira Mills (202) 219-5096 ext. 143) or by E-mail to Mills-Ira@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Title: Current Population Survey (CPS) Basic Labor Force.

OMB Number: 1220-0100.

Frequency: Monthly.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 48,000.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 84 minutes annually.

Total Burden Hours: 67,200 hours.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The labor force data collected in the CPS help to determine the employment situation of specific population groups as well as general trends in employment and unemployment.

Ira Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-16071 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. NRTL-4-93]

Underwriters Laboratories Inc., Expansion of Recognition

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the Agency's final decision on the application of Underwriters Laboratory Inc. (UL), for expansion of its recognition as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL) under 29 CFR 1910.7.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This recognition becomes effective on June 24, 1999 and, unless modified in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.7, continues in effect while UL remains recognized by OSHA as an NRTL.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bernard Pasquet, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, NRTL Program, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N3653, Washington, DC 20210, or phone (202) 693-2110.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Notice of Final Decision

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) hereby gives notice of the expansion of recognition of Underwriters Laboratories Inc. (UL) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL). UL's expansion covers the use of additional test standards. OSHA recognizes an organization as an NRTL and processes applications related to such recognitions following requirements in Section 1910.7 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 1910.7). Appendix A to this section requires that OSHA publish this public notice of its final decision on an application.