

description of the technical evaluation factors and relative importance of each factor.

Areas of Interest

There are three areas of approaches sought: (1) Reservoir remediation; (2) wellbore cleanup; and (3) surface system optimization. Each of these areas could include subcategories. In the reservoir remediation area, such technologies could include: restimulation, explosive/propellants, extended-reach jetting technology, or identifying additional behind-pipe reserves. The wellbore clean-up area could include such things as perforation cleaning/re-opening, fluid removal, solids removal, or scale/salt removal. Under the surface system optimization area, low-pressure compression facilities, collection system optimization, and water disposal are a few ideas. This list is not all inclusive as there are other technologies which have not been mentioned.

Awards

DOE currently has available \$287,000 for this solicitation with expectations of additional monies in FY2000. Out-year funding shall depend upon availability of future year appropriations. DOE anticipates multiple awards (i.e., between three (3) and six (6)) with a total project cost between \$100,000–\$150,000 and a project duration of eighteen (18) months or less. Under the research and development phase, a minimum 20% non-federal cost-share of the total estimated cost is required for all applications. A fifty (50) percent cost-share of total estimated cost is required for the validation/demonstration phase of the project. Collaboration between industry and academia is strongly encouraged.

Issued in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania on June 16, 1999.

Dale A. Siciliano,

Contracting Officer, Acquisition and Assistance Division.

[FR Doc. 99-16080 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT99-37-000]

Boundary Gas, Inc.; Notice of GRI Refund Report

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 16, 1999, Boundary Gas, Inc. (Boundary) tendered for filing a refund report reflecting the

flowthrough of the Gas Research Institute (GRI) refund received by Boundary on May 28, 1999.

Boundary states that it has calculated refunds proportionally for its firm customers of non-discounted service based on the GRI surcharges those customers paid during calendar year 1998. Boundary states that it mailed each customer a check for its portion of the refund on or about June 14, 1999.

Boundary also states that copies of this filing were served upon each of Boundary's affected customers and the state commissions of New York, Connecticut, New Jersey, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before June 25, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-16044 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. ER99-2730-000 and EL99-67-000]

California Independent System Operator Corporation; Initiation of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 17, 1999, the Commission issued an order in the above-indicated dockets initiating a proceeding in Docket No. EL99-67-000 under section 206 of the Federal Power Act.

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL99-67-000 will be 60 days after

publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-16077 Filed 6-23-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR99-16-000]

Colonial Pipeline Company; Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

June 18, 1999.

Take notice that on June 15, 1999, pursuant to Rules 207(a)(2) and 212 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207.212, Colonial Pipeline Company (Colonial) tendered for filing a petition for a declaratory order regarding the proposed rates for transportation service to be provided through a planned new stub line connecting a point near Talladega, Alabama on Colonial's mainline, with Huntsville, Alabama and Murfreesboro, Tennessee (just south of Nashville) (hereafter Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line).

Colonial states that with the Commission's approval of Colonial's rate proposal, the new line will be constructed beginning in the first quarter of 2000, with the goal of commencing service by January 1, 2001. Colonial requests expedited consideration of its petition, by November 1, 1999, in order to meet its projected in-service date. Colonial asserts that the new line will permit direct petroleum products pipeline service to Huntsville for the first time, and will significantly expand Colonial's capacity to serve the growing Nashville market, which is presently subject to substantial capacity constraints.

Colonial requests that the Commission issue an order declaring: (1) That the cancellation of Colonial's pre-existing rates to Nashville will not be subject to challenge when the new Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line goes into service; (2) that its indexed rates from Houston and other origins to Birmingham will not be subject to challenge as the result of the connection to the Talladega-to-Murfreesboro line; (3) that the Commission will accept the proposed initial joint rates for service to Huntsville and Nashville as listed in Exhibit C, column 5 to the filing; and (4) that the Talladega-to-Murfreesboro cost of service component of the overall rates to Huntsville and Nashville will not be subject to challenge except as provided