

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 16, 1999. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 30, 1999).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, Suite 2650, 36 East 7th Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: June 3, 1999.

**Diane Finver,**

*Acting Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 99-15179 Filed 6-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 15-99]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone No. 122—Corpus Christi, TX, Application for Subzone, Equistar Chemicals, LP (Oil Refinery), Nueces County, TX; Correction**

The **Federal Register** notice (64 FR 25477, 5/12/99) describing the application submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Port of Corpus Christi Authority, grantee of FTZ 122, requesting special purpose subzone status for the petrochemical complex of Equistar Chemicals, LP, located in Nueces County, Texas, is corrected as follows: the word "leased" should be deleted from that portion of paragraph 2, sentence 1, describing the tanks at Site 2.

Dated: June 2, 1999.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-15180 Filed 6-14-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-824]

#### **Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan: Final Results of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol from Taiwan (64 FR 6042). The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States, Chang Chun Petrochemical and E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co. The period of review is May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes as described below in the "Interested Party Comments" section of this notice, but those changes did not result in final margins that were different from those calculated in our preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the section "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 15, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Smith at (202) 482-1766 or Brian Ledgerwood at (202) 482-3836, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On February 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of the 1997-1998 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol ("PVA") from Taiwan (64 FR 6042) ("*Preliminary Results*"). The period of review ("POR") for this administrative review is May 1, 1997, through April 30, 1998.

On February 18, 1999, E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co. ("DuPont") withdrew its request that the Department apply the special rule for value added in this case. On March 10, 1999, the Department requested Chang Chun Petrochemical Co., Ltd. ("Chang Chun") to provide information clarifying the methodology it used to allocate production costs between acetic acid and PVA. Chang

Chun provided this data on March 17, 1999. The petitioner, Air Products and Chemicals Inc., and DuPont submitted case briefs on April 8, 1999. Chang Chun did not submit a case brief. Chang Chun submitted a rebuttal brief on April 15, 1999. Since the petitioner did not comment on DuPont in its case brief, DuPont did not submit a rebuttal brief. Neither the petitioner nor the respondents requested a hearing in this case. On May 19, 1999, we placed on the record of this review information from the record of the first administrative review pertaining to the allocation of joint production costs between acetic acid and PVA. On May 24, 1999, the petitioner submitted comments on the use of this information in this review.

The Department has now completed this administrative review, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

#### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references are made to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

#### **Scope of Review**

The product covered by this review is PVA. PVA is a dry, white to cream-colored, water-soluble synthetic polymer. Excluded from this review are PVAs covalently bonded with acetoacetyl, carboxylic acid, or sulfonic acid uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than two mole percent, and PVAs covalently bonded with silane uniformly present on all polymer chains in a concentration equal to or greater than one-tenth of one mole percent. PVA in fiber form is not included in the scope of this review.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under subheading 3905.30.0000 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope is dispositive.

#### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

We have made changes in these final results only to the margin calculation for Chang Chun. For Chang Chun, we adjusted its joint production costs between PVA and acetic acid using the relative sales value of each product