

appreciable risks to human health. Therefore, these dietary exposures clearly would not pose a significant risk to the health of the overall U.S. population.

As previously indicated, there is no indication that AE F122006 is likely to be oncogenic. Nevertheless, an upper bound on the potential oncogenic risks was estimated using the hypothetical  $Q1^*$  of  $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$  (mg/kg/day)<sup>-1</sup>. Under the worst-case scenario in which all rice contained tolerance level residues of AE F122006, the theoretical 95% upper bound estimates of potential oncogenic risk for the overall "U.S. Population" and "Non-Hispanic Other Than Black or White" subgroup would be  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ , respectively. Taking into account the expected market share of AE F122006, the upper bounds on the potential oncogenic risks for these 2 groups would be  $1 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-8}$ , respectively. Thus, regardless of the outcome of the ongoing oncogenicity studies, the potential oncogenic risks to the overall U.S. population from dietary exposure to AE F122006 following its use in rice are clearly negligible.

2. *Infants and children.* Data from rat and rabbit developmental toxicity studies and rat multigeneration reproduction studies are generally used to assess the potential for increased sensitivity of infants and children. The developmental toxicity studies are designed to evaluate adverse effects on the developing organism resulting from potential exposure during prenatal development. Reproduction studies provide information relating to reproductive and other effects on adults and offspring from potential prenatal and postnatal exposure to the pesticide.

FFDCA section 408 provides that EPA may apply an additional safety factor for infants and children to take into account possible increased sensitivity or based upon the completeness of the data base. No evidence of increased sensitivity to fetuses was noted in developmental toxicity studies in rats or rabbits. Although histopathology examinations from the 2-generation rat reproduction study have not yet been completed, there has been no indication of any reproductive effects or indication of increased sensitivity to the offspring. Furthermore, the proposed provisional RfD of 0.0013 mg/kg/day (which is derived from the NOAEL from the 90-day dog study and a 1,000-fold safety factor) is about 1,000-fold lower than the tentative (pending histopathology) NOAEL of 200 ppm (about 15 mg/kg/day) in the reproduction study. Thus, no additional safety factor to protect infants and children is deemed necessary.

According to the results of the dietary assessment, the population subgroup with the highest potential exposures to AE F122006 under scenarios previously described would be non-nursing infants (<1-year old). In the first, worst-case scenario, in which all rice and rice commodities contained residues of AE F122006 at the proposed tolerance levels, the potential dietary exposure to AE F122006 would utilize 4.5% of the proposed provisional RfD. Taking into account the fact that less than 10% of the rice consumed will be treated with AE F122006, the potential exposure to infants and children would utilize no more than 0.5% of the proposed provisional RfD. These values are substantially below the RfD and therefore would not pose an appreciable risk to human health.

Regardless of the outcome of the ongoing oncogenicity studies, the hypothetical upper bound estimate of potential oncogenic risk to infants and children under the worst-case exposure scenario was estimated to be approximately  $7 \times 10^{-7}$ . Under the more realistic scenario incorporating percent crop treated, the potential upper bound estimate of oncogenic risk would be no more than  $7 \times 10^{-8}$ . Thus, even under a worst-case scenario, the use of AE F122006 on rice would pose no more than a negligible risk of oncogenicity to infants and children.

#### F. International Tolerances

There are no Codex Alimentarius Commission (CODEX) maximum residue levels (MRLs) established for residues of AE F122006.

[FR Doc. 99-14362 Filed 6-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

### FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

#### Farm Credit Administration Board; Regular Meeting

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the forthcoming regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board).

DATE AND TIME: The regular meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on June 10, 1999, from 9:00 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vivian L. Portis, Secretary to the Farm

Credit Administration Board, (703) 883-4025, TDD (703) 883-4444.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Parts of this meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available), and parts of this meeting will be closed to the public. In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

#### Open Session

##### A. Approval of Minutes

—May 13, 1999 (Open and Closed)

##### B. New Business

Regulation

—Leasing Authorities [12 CFR Parts 614, 616, 618, and 621]

#### \*Closed Session

##### C. Report

—OSMO Report

Dated: June 4, 1999.

Vivian L. Portis,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.

[FR Doc. 99-14694 Filed 6-7-99; 9:33 am]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P

### FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, June 15, 1999 at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

#### ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g, § 438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C. Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration. Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

\* \* \* \* \*

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, June 17, 1999 at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. (Ninth Floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

#### ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes.

Advisory Opinion 1999-12: Campaign

\*Session Closed—Exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(8) and (9).