

Dated: June 2, 1999.

**Carol D. Shull,**

*Chief, National Historic Landmarks Survey and Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, Washington Office.*

[FR Doc. 99-14451 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**Grand Canyon National Park,  
Coconino County, AZ**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, DOI.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a proposal for a cellular communication site at Grand Canyon National Park has been received. The company proposes installing and operating a wireless telecommunications facility on the existing tower of US WEST at Grand Canyon Village of the park.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before July 5, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Superintendent, Attn.: Barbara Nelson, Telecommunications Specialist, Grand Canyon National Park, P.O. Box 129, Grand Canyon, AZ 86023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sandi Perl, Management Assistant, at telephone number 520-638-7885.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

**Robert L. Arnberger,**  
*Superintendent.*

[FR Doc. 99-14442 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 731-TA-805 (Final)]**

**Elastic Rubber Tape From India**

**Determination**

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports from India of elastic rubber tape,<sup>2</sup> classified in

subheading 4008.21.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the Department of Commerce to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

**Background**

The Commission instituted this investigation effective August 18, 1998, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and the Department of Commerce by counsel for Fulflex, Inc., Middletown, RI, and two wholly-owned subsidiaries of M-Tec Corp., Elastomer Technologies Group, Inc., Stuart, VA, and RM Engineered Products, Inc., North Charleston, SC. The final phase of the investigation was scheduled by the Commission following notification of a preliminary determination by the Department of Commerce that imports of elastic rubber tape from India were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of February 10, 1999 (64 FR 6679). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 20, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on June 1, 1999. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3200 (June 1999), entitled Elastic Rubber Tape from India: Investigation No. 731-TA-805 (Final).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 2, 1999.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-14524 Filed 6-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-406]

**Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages;  
Notice of Issuance of General  
Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist  
Orders; Termination of the  
Investigation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission determined to reverse-inpart the presiding administrative law judges (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) of February 24, 1999, in the above-captioned investigation and determine that the design patents in issue are infringed by the respondents. The Commission also determined that the correct standard for the burden of proof on the repair/reconstruction issue is a preponderance of the evidence. The Commission also determined to correct certain technical errors in the ID's infringement findings. Having found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, the Commission issued a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to 20 domestic respondents, and terminated the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Jackson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3104. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on March 25, 1998, based on a complaint by Fuji Photo Film Co., Ltd. (Fuji) of Tokyo, Japan. 63 FR 14474. Fuji's complaint alleged unfair acts in violation of section 337 in the importation and sale of certain lens-fitted film packages (i.e., disposable cameras). The complaint alleged that 27 respondents had infringed one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji. On October 23, 1998, the Commission determined not to review two IDs finding a total of eight respondents, viz., Boshi Technology Ltd., Fast Shot, Haichi International, Innovative Trading Company, Labelle Time, Inc., Linfa Photographic Ind. Co. Ltd., Forcecam, Inc., and Rino Trading Co. Ltd., in

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Crawford determines that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of the subject imports from India, and

Commissioner Koplan determines that an industry in the United States is threatened with material injury by reason of the subject imports from India.