

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on the Domestic Industry in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the Domestic Like Product. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries since 1988.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information on your firm's operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) The quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties)

of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') imports; and

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Country; and

(c) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from the Subject Country.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 1998 (report quantity data in short tons and value data in thousands of U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country since the Order Dates, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence

and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in the Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 24, 1999.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-13840 Filed 5-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree resolving the liability of Edward J. Edelen, III and The Lakes of Myrtle Beach, Inc. ("Defendants") in *United States of America v. Edward J. Edelen, III, et al.*, Civil Action No. 4:98-1538-22 (D.S.C.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina on May 3, 1999.

The proposed consent decree concerns alleged violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, resulting from the unauthorized discharge of dredged or fill materials into waters of the United States at "The Lakes," a residential development in Surfside, Horry County, South Carolina ("Site"). The consent decree enjoins Defendants from discharging dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. The consent decree further requires Defendants to: (a) Preserve in perpetuity all 21.43 acres of jurisdictional wetlands located on the undeveloped portion of the Site, in accordance with a Wetlands Preservation Plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"); (b) obtain title to 400 acres of jurisdictional wetlands in the Waccamaw River watershed and preserve such wetlands in perpetuity (or provide an equivalent amount in mitigation credits), in accordance with a Wetlands Mitigation Plan approved by EPA; and (c) make a \$1,000.00 monetary payment to the United States Treasury.

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, Attention: H. Michael Semler, Senior Trial Counsel, Environmental Defense Section, PO Box 23986, Washington, D.C. 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States of America v. Edward J. Edelen, III, et al.*, DJ Reference No. 90-5-1-1-4400.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, Florence Division, 401 West Evan Street, Florence, South Carolina 29503.

Letitia J. Grishaw,

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 99-13716 Filed 5-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—the Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”)

Notice is hereby given that, on March 23, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Cadence Design Systems, Livingston, West Lothian, United Kingdom; Chameleon Systems, Sunnyvale, CA; CommTech Corporation, Cranberry, NJ; Duet Technologies, San Jose, CA; Earthlink, Pasadena, CA; Hyundai Electronics, Seoul, Korea; IPM Datacom, Frattamaggiore, Italy; Mitel Semiconductor, Kanata, Ontario, Canada; Northpoint Communications, San Francisco, CA; Radio Shack, Fort Worth, TX; Silicon Automation Systems, Ltd., Bangalor, India; Sumitomo Electric Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan; Tellabs OY, Espoo,

Finland; Conexant, Pacific Palisades, CA; and xDSL Networks, Inc., Towson, MD have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Rockwell Semiconductor, Pacific Palisades, CA; Telos Technologies, Towson, MD; Matthews Communications, Inc., Richardson, TX; ELSA GmbH, Aachen, Germany; and NITECH, Freehold, NJ have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 15, 1995, The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 25, 1995 (60 FR 338058).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 21, 1998. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 99-13717 Filed 5-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”)

Notice is hereby given that, on December 21, 1998, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 2Wire, Milpitas, CA; Belgacom, Brussels, Belgium; Cirrus Logic, Fremont, CA; Secant Technologies, Research Triangle Park, NC; MCI Worldcom, Richardson, TX; Milgo Solutions, Inc., Sunrise, FL; Nortel Networks, Morrisville, NC; and Alcatel USA, Raleigh, NC have been

added as parties to this venture. Also, MCI Telecommunications, Richardson, TX; Racal Data Group, Sunrise, FL; Bay Networks, Gaithersburg, MD; Nortel, Harlow, Essex, United Kingdom; Yurie Systems, Inc., Landover, MD; Alcatel Telecom, Antwerp, Belgium; DSC Communications, Petaluma, CA; and Netspeed, Austin, TX have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and The Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 15, 1995, the Asymmetrical Digital Subscriber Line Forum (“ADSL”) filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 25, 1995 (60 FR 338058).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 8, 1998. A notice has not yet been published in the **Federal Register**.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 99-13718 Filed 5-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Frame Relay Forum

Notice is here by given that, on February 8, 1999, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Frame Relay Forum has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Cyras Systems, Fremont, CA; Larscom, Milpitas, CA; Maker Communications, Framingham, MA; Next Level Communications, Rohnert Park, CA; and Secant Network Technologies, Morrisville, NC have joined as worldwide members. Infinitec Communications, Tulsa, OK and Midwest Information Systems, Maryland Heights, MO have joined as