NAFTA–TAA–03026; Mowad Apparel, Inc., El Paso, TX: March 15, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–03025; Standard Motor Products, Inc., Federal Parts Div., Dallas, TX: March 8, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–03057; The Hirsch Co., Div. Of Steel Works, Inc., Skokie, IL: March 25, 1999.
NAFTA–TAA–02894; Phoenix Industries, McAlester, OK: January 27, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–02947; Harman International, McGregor Loudspeaker Manufacturing, Prairie du Chen, WI: February 23, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–03045; Edwards Systems Technology, Pittsfield, ME: March 26, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–02923; Mayflower Manufacturing Co., Old Forge, PA: February 5, 1998.
NAFTA–TAA–02959; Edinburg Manufacturing Co., a/k/a Waxahachie Garment Co., Edinburg, TX and Weslaco Operations, a/k/a Weslaco Cutting Center, a/k/a Bowie Manufacturing, a/k/a Haggar Clothing Co., Weslaco, TX: February 22, 1999.
NAFTA–TAA–02969; General Electric Co., Morrison, IL: March 5, 1998.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the months of April and May, 1999. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: May 10, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–12911 Filed 5–21–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–34,968]

Firstmiss Steel, Inc. Hollsopple, Pennsylvania; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On April 5, 1999, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The petitioner presented evidence that the Department's survey of customers of FirstMiss Steel, Inc. was incomplete. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 27, 1999 (64 FR 22650).

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of FirstMiss Steel, Inc. producing steel products because the ''contributed importantly'' group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. The investigation revealed that the majority of the customers responding to a customer survey reported no increase in import purchases of steel ingot and bars during the relevant time period (1997 to 1998).

The petitioners requesting reconsideration also cited that stainless steel in 1998 is one of the products being dumped by foreign countries into the U.S. market place at levels significantly above 1997 levels. During the course of a TAA petition investigation to determine worker group eligibility, the Department does not conduct an industry study, but limits its investigation to the impact of articles like or directly competitive with the products produced and sold by the workers' firm.

On reconsideration, the Department conducted further survey of FirstMiss Steel's major declining customers. The majority of respondents reported no increase in reliance on import purchases of steel ingots, bars and billets while decreasing purchases from the subject firm.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of FirstMiss Steel, Inc., Hollsopple, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of May 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–12908 Filed 5–20–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–35, 322]

International Paper Corporation, Containerboard Division, Gardiner, Oregon; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of March 8, 1999, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance applicable to workers of the subject firm.

The petitioners present evidence that the Department's customer survey analysis was incomplete.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of May 1999.

Grant D. Beale

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–12907 Filed 5–20–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–35,467]

Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, Port Allegany, PA Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated April 5, 1999, the American Flint Glass Workers Union (AFGWU), AFL–CIO, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice applicable to workers of Corning Pittsburgh Corporation located in Port Allegany, Pennsylvania, was signed on March 9, 1999, and published in the Federal Register on April 6, 1999 (64 FR 16752).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative determination issued by the Department on behalf of workers of the subject firm in Port Allegany, Pennsylvania, was based on the finding