

available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

Dated: May 12, 1999.

**William E. Burrow,**

*Acting Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

*Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages Affairs*

*Type of Review: Extension.*

*Title: Applications for Grants under Emergency Immigrant Education Program.*

*Frequency: Annually.*

*Affected Public: State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.*

*Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:*

*Responses: 57.*

*Burden Hours: 9,177.*

*Abstract: This application is used by State educational agencies to apply for formula grants authorized under the Emergency Immigrant Education Act (Title VI of Pub. L. 98-511 as amended by Pub. L. 103-382).*

[FR Doc. 99-12432 Filed 5-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Subsequent Arrangement.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is being issued under the authority of Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160). The Department is providing notice of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation in the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy Between the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) and the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Federative Republic of Brazil Concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy.

This subsequent arrangement concerns the approval of RTD/BR(EU)-10 which involves the retransfer of nuclear components in the form of a secondary neutron source from Germany to Brazil for use in the Angra-2 nuclear power plant. The secondary neutron source, specially designed for use in nuclear reactors, contains 1,400 U.S.-origin antimony beryllium pellets.

The Federative Republic of Brazil has provided assurances that these components will only be used in the Angra-2 nuclear power plant and that the components will not be

retransferred to the jurisdiction of any other nation or group of nations without prior consent of the United States.

In accordance with Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, we have determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

For the Department of Energy.

Dated: May 12, 1999.

**Edward T. Fei,**

*Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.*

[FR Doc. 99-12499 Filed 5-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Withdrawal of Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Transfer of Certain Operations From the Department of Energy (DOE) Mound Site

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** On October 2, 1998, DOE announced its intent to prepare an EIS in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the proposed transfer of the Heat Source/Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator (HS/RTG) operations at the Mound Site near Miamisburg, Ohio, to an alternative DOE site. The Mound Site was to be cleaned up and eventually turned into an industrial park. However, after additional studies, the Secretary of Energy announced on March 22, 1999, that DOE has now decided to cancel the proposal to transfer these operations. Therefore, DOE is withdrawing its Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. The decision not to pursue the proposed transfer of the HS/RTG operations from the Mound Site does not affect DOE's ongoing NEPA review of the proposed production of plutonium-238 for use in advanced radioisotope power systems for future space missions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general and technical information associated with the HS/RTG assembly and test operations at the Mound Site, please contact: Timothy A. Frazier, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 66, Miamisburg, OH 45343-0066. Telephone: (937) 865-3748; facsimile (937) 865-4219; electronic mail: [Tim.Frazier@OHIO.DOE.GOV](mailto:Tim.Frazier@OHIO.DOE.GOV).

For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585-0119. Telephone: (202) 586-4600 or leave a message on (800) 472-2756.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Mound Site, located in Miamisburg, Ohio, was established in 1946 as part of the Atomic Energy Commission. For the past 35 years, DOE (or its predecessor) has been developing HS/RTGs at the Mound Site and supplying them to user agencies. Until the early 1990s, the Mound Site also manufactured critical nuclear weapons components. The site is currently being environmentally restored under a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liabilities Act (CERCLA) § 120 Agreement. DOE and its site restoration contractor had planned to complete the environmental restoration and exit the site, including the HS/RTG operations, by February 2003. DOE believed that leaving the HS/RTG operations at Mound by itself may not have been feasible for various programmatic reasons or cost effective.

On October 2, 1998, the DOE published in the **Federal Register** a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS in compliance with the NEPA for the proposed transfer of the HS/RTG operations at the Mound Site to an alternative DOE site. Six public scoping meetings were held in November 1998 in the vicinity of the Mound Site and the following alternative locations: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN; Pantex Plant, near Amarillo, TX; Hanford Site, Richland, WA; Nevada Test Site, near Las Vegas, NV; and Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID. The Draft EIS was in the initial stage of preparation.

DOE has decided to withdraw the proposal to transfer the HS/RTG assembly and test operations from the Mound Site. The decision to withdraw the proposal is based on a detailed cost analysis of alternate site proposals and several additional reviews by various departmental elements to determine the reasonableness and acceptability of maintaining the HS/RTG assembly and test operations at the Mound Site. The cost analysis indicated that the Department would not realize cost savings by transferring the HS/RTG assembly and test operations from the Mound Site. The review by various

departmental elements determined that maintaining the program at the Mound Site for the long-term was reasonable and that the Department could continue to ensure high levels of health and safety, materials protection, and other program requirements. These reviews, along with public comments received during the EIS scoping process, have effectively negated the need for the proposed action. Therefore, no EIS is required, and DOE hereby withdraws its notice of intent to prepare an EIS.

Issued in Washington, D.C., this 11th day of May 1999.

**Earl J. Wahlquist,**

*Associate Director for Space and Defense Power Systems, Office of Nuclear Energy, Science, and Technology.*

[FR Doc. 99-12498 Filed 5-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### International Energy Agency Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Industry Advisory Board (IAB) to the International Energy Agency (IEA) will meet May 25, 1999 at the headquarters of the International Energy Agency in Paris, France.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Samuel M. Bradley, Acting Assistant General Counsel for International and Legal Policy, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, 202-586-6738.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with section 252(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6272(c)(1)(A)(i)), the following meeting notice is provided:

A meeting of the Industry Advisory Board (IAB) to the International Energy Agency (IEA) will be held on May 25, 1999, at the headquarters of the IEA, 9, rue de la Fédération, Paris, France, beginning at approximately 3:00 p.m. The purpose of this meeting of the IAB is to permit attendance by representatives of its U.S. company members at a meeting of the Subgroup to Prepare the Oil Disruption Simulation Exercise of the IEA's Standing Group on Emergency Questions (SEQ) that is scheduled to be held at the aforesaid location on the aforesaid date. The Agenda for the meeting is under the control of the SEQ. It is expected that the following Agenda will be followed:

1. Report and discussion on the last meeting in Washington:

- Organization of the exercise;
- Main lines of scenario;

—Task allocation.

2. Schedule of work for September exercise.

As provided in section 252(c)(1)(A)(ii) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6272(c)(1)(A)(ii)), this meeting is open only to representatives of members of the IAB and their counsel, representatives of members of the SEQ, representatives of the Departments of Energy, Justice, and State, the Federal Trade Commission, the General Accounting Office, Committees of the Congress, the IEA, and the European Commission, and invitees of the IAB, the SEQ, or the IEA.

Issued in Washington, D.C., May 12, 1999.

**Mary Anne Sullivan,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 99-12500 Filed 5-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### The City of Anaheim, California Public Utilities Department; Notice of Filing

[Docket No. EL99-63-000]

May 13, 1999.

Take notice that on January 21, 1999, The City of Anaheim Public Utilities Department (Anaheim) filed a request for waiver from submitting FERC Form No. 715, "Annual Transmission Planning and Evaluation Report". Under the Commission's Rules, entities that do not use power flow analyses in their transmission planning can be granted a waiver from filing FERC Form No. 715. Anaheim states that the transmission facilities that they use to deliver its energy are all operated by other entities. For this reason, Anaheim requests a waiver from submitting FERC Form No. 715.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before June 4, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This

filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-12471 Filed 5-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL99-64-000]

#### The City of Riverside, California; Notice of Filing

May 13, 1999.

Take notice that on April 29, 1999, The City of Riverside, California (Riverside) filed a request for waiver from submitting FERC Form No. 715, "Annual Transmission Planning and Evaluation Report". Under the Commission's Rules, entities that do not use power flow analyses in their transmission planning can be granted a waiver from filing FERC Form No. 715. Riverside states that it is in Southern California Edison's (SCE) control area and relies on SCE to perform the transmission planning for the area. The request states that Riverside does not have the means or the data to comply with the FERC's reporting requirements. Therefore, Riverside is requesting waiver of FERC's Form No. 715 for this year and subsequent years, as long as Riverside does not perform transmission planning, or use power flow analyses in its planning.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before June 4, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/>