

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The estimated average burden for renewing the existing notification ICR is 4.25 hours per respondent for initial notifications and 2.10 hours per respondent for subsequent notifications. This estimates for the notification ICR includes all aspects of the information collection including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the form.

The estimated average burden for renewing the existing Part A ICR is approximately 27 hours per respondent for submitting a new Part A permit application and approximately 14 hours for submitting a revised Part A permit application. The burden estimates for the Part A ICR includes time for reading the regulations, preparing and submitting initial and revised Part A permit applications, preparing and submitting justifications for changes and preparing and submitting subpart H compliance demonstrations.

EPA estimates that the number of respondents per year for notifications is 31,125 (16,174 initial notifications and 14,951 subsequent notifications). For Part A permit applications, EPA estimates that the number of respondents per year is 10 for new Part A permit applications and 49 for Part A revisions. For these ICRs, collection occurs one-time per respondent, unless regulations are revised and promulgated. Timing of the submission of the notification and the Part A permit application forms are variable depending on the status of the respondent and the timing of the promulgation of the regulations. The estimated total annual burden on respondents for initial and subsequent notifications is 100,137 hours and the estimated total annual burden on

respondents for new and revised Part A permit applications is 945 hours. These estimates of total annual burden reflect a decrease in burden of 30% for notifications and 31% for Part A permit applications when compared with the previously approved ICR (1996).

The Part A permit application form also reflects a change in reporting of *Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes*, applicable only to the United States, to North America Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes, applicable throughout North America. The NAICS codes have been established as the new Federal standard, superceding the SIC codes. They are being adopted by the U.S. EPA for the purpose of the (1) collection, and (2) promotion of uniformity and comparability in the presentation of statistical and economic information describing the economy. The Agency anticipates no increase or decrease in burden related to the change.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: May 7, 1999.

Elizabeth A. Cotsworth,

Acting Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. 99-12245 Filed 5-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6342-7]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Synopses of Proposed Contract Actions and Market Research Questions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C.

3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Synopses of Proposed Contract Actions and Market Research Questions, EPA ICR Number 1910.01. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: 401 M Street, SW, Attn: 3802R, Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CONTACT: Leigh Pomponio, (202) 564-4364, e-mail: pomponio.leigh@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Affected entities:* Entities potentially affected by this action are firms which seek to provide supplies and services to EPA. Title: Synopses of Proposed Contract Actions and Market Research Questions; EPA ICR Number 1910.01.

Abstract: EPA frequently publicizes anticipated contract actions in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 5, and also conducts market research in accordance with FAR Part 10. In both instances, the public is invited to voluntarily respond to specific questions regarding anticipated procurements or to provide general comments to EPA. Comments received are used by the Agency for acquisition planning purposes. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of the appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.03 hours per response. It is estimated that any individual may respond to synopses or market research questions 5 times per year. EPA anticipates publicizing approximately 260 contract actions per year, and conducting 3790 market research inquiries. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: May 7, 1999.

Lawrence G. Wyborski,

Acting Manager, Policy Service Center.

[FR Doc. 99-12249 Filed 5-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 19, 1999 Through April 23, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 09, 1999 (64 FR 17362).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65207-OR Rating *LO, Young'n Timber Sales, Implementation, Willamette National

Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Middle Fork Ranger District, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65304-OR Rating EC2, Moose Subwatershed Timber Harvest and Other Vegetation Management Actions, Central Cascade Adaptive Management (CCAMA), Willamette National Forest, Sweet Home Ranger District, Linn County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the proposed timber harvest due to entry into roadless area and the potential for impact to water quality and recommended that the Forest Service continue to monitor for water quality impacts.

ERP No. D-COE-J36050-ND Rating EO2, Maple River Dam and Reservoir, Construction and Operation, Flood Control, Cass County Joint Water Resource District, Cass County, ND.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections to the project on the basis of: (1) the lack of adequate provisions to identify and protect aquatic habitats, (2) exceedances of water quality standards, (3) the uncertainty of the mitigation, restoration and conservation efforts, (4) the lack of information on future flood control activities, (5) future growth and development impacts in the lower watershed area, (6) a cumulative impacts analysis that was limited to water chemistry, (7) a substantial need to address the watershed as a unit.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L65255-AK, Control Lake Timber Sale, Implementation, Prince of Wales Island, Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-BLM-L65294-OR, Beaty Butte Allotment Management Plan, Implementation, Lakeview District, Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge, Lake and Harney Counties, OR.

Summary: The Final EIS has addressed the issues EPA raised in the draft EIS.

ERP No. FS-COE-G32054-00, Red River Waterway, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas and Oklahoma and Related Projects, New and Updated Information, Red River Below Denison Dam Levee Rehabilitation, Implementation,

Hempstead, Lafayette and Miller Counties, AR.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the FSEIS.

Dated: May 11, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-12265 Filed 5-13-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6242-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed May 03, 1999 Through May 07, 1999.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990148, Final Supplement, AFS, CO, Lakewood Raw Water Pipeline for Continued Operation, Maintenance, Reconstruction and/or Replacement, Application for Easement, Roosevelt National Forest, Boulder Ranger District, in the City of Boulder, CO, Due: June 07, 1999, Contact: Jean Thomas (970) 498-1267. The above DOA EIS should have appeared in the 05/07/99 **Federal Register**. The 30-day Comment Period is Calculated from 05/07/99.

EIS No. 990149, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Bridger Bowl Ski Area, Permit Renewal and Master Development Plan Update, Implementation, Special Use Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Gallatin National Forest, in the City of Bozeman, MT, Due: June 28, 1999, Contact: Nancy Halstom (406) 587-6920.

EIS No. 990150, Final EIS, NPS, TX, Lyndon B. Johnson National Historical Park, Package 227, General Management Plan, Implementation, Blanco and Gillespie Counties, TX, Due: June 14, 1999, Contact: Leslie Starhart (830) 868-7128.

EIS No. 990151, Final EIS, FHW, MO, IA, US 61, US 218 and IA-394

Highway Improvements, Construction, Funding, US Army COE Section 404 Permit, Lewis and Clark Counties, MO and Lee and Henry Counties, IA, Due: June 14, 1999, Contact: Donald Neumann (573) 636-7104.

EIS No. 990152, Draft EIS, FTA, VA, Norfolk-Virginia Beach Light Rail Transit System East/West Corridor