

Needs and Uses: The collection of information are third party requirements made necessary by Section 18.307 of the Commission Rules governing regulations for radio frequency (RF) lighting devices. The Commission will require that manufacturers of RF lighting devices must provide an advisory statement either on the product packaging or with other user documentation, similar to the following: This product may cause interference to radio equipment and should not be installed near maritime safety communications equipment or other critical navigation or communication equipment operating between 0.45–30 MHz.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–11793 Filed 5–10–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval.

April 29, 1999.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before June 10, 1999. If

you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A804, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at (202) 418–0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0084.

Title: Ownership Report for

Noncommercial Educational Station.

Form Number: FCC 323–E.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 2,368.

Estimate Time Per Response: 30 mins. (0.5 hours).

Frequency of Response:

Recordkeeping; Biennially; On occasion; and Upon renewal reporting requirements.

Total Annual Burden: 1,184 hours.

Total Annual Costs: 1,658,000.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 323–E is filed by a licensee/permittee of noncommercial AM, FM, and TV stations when the original construction permit is granted; on the date the licensee/permittee applies for a station license; in conjunction with the station's renewal application; and every two years thereafter. The data are used by FCC staff to determine if a licensee/permittee is abiding by the FCC's multiple ownership rules.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0405.

Title: Application for Authority to Construct or Make Changes in an FM Translator or FM Booster Station.

Form Number: FCC 349.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimate Time Per Response: 3.0 hours.

Frequency of Response:

Recordkeeping; On occasion reporting requirements; Third party disclosure.

Total Annual Burden: 3,000 hours.

Total Annual Costs: 2,398,000.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 349 is used to apply for authority to construct a new FM transitor or FM booster broadcast station, or to make changes in existing facilities. The data are used by

FCC staff to ensure that applicants meet basic statutory requirements and will not cause interference to other licensed broadcast services.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–11791 Filed 5–10–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

May 3, 1999.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before June 10, 1999. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–A804, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les

Smith at (202) 418-0217 or via the Internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060-0688.

Title: Abbreviated Cost-of-Service

Filing for Cable Network Upgrades.

Form Number: FCC Form 1235.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business and other for-profit entities; State, local or tribal governments.

Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10-20 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirements.

Total Annual Burden: 1,500 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$200.

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 1235 is an abbreviated cost of service filing for significant network upgrades that allows cable operators to justify rate increases related to capital expenditures used to improve rate-regulated cable services. FCC Form 1235 is reviewed by the cable operator's respective local franchise authority.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-11794 Filed 5-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 96-128; DA 99-841]

Comments Requested To Update and Refresh Record for the Inmate Payphone Service Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; comments requested.

SUMMARY: This document seeks comment to update and refresh the record on inmate issues in the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation proceeding.

DATES: Comments are due on or before June 21, 1999, and reply comments are due on or before July 21, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street, SW, TW-325, Washington, DC 20554. One copy of each filing must be sent also to International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800, and one copy to the Chief, Competitive Pricing Division, Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Room 5-A227, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lynne Milne or Renee Terry of the Competitive Pricing Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-1520; TTY (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of a Public Notice that was released on May 6, 1999 (DA 99-841). The full text of the Public Notice is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this Public Notice also may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, ITS, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857-3800. Alternative formats (computer diskette, large print, audio cassette and Braille) are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Martha Contee at (202) 418-0260, TTY (202) 418-2555, or at mcontee@fcc.gov.

1. On January 30, 1998, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit granted the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) motion for voluntary remand of the inmate issues raised on reconsideration of the Commission's decision in the *Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996*. In this Public Notice, the Common Carrier Bureau invites parties to update their comments and refresh the record in order to provide a full and up-to-date record of the inmate issues in this proceeding.

2. We seek comment on state-imposed rate ceilings. The Inmate Calling Service Providers Coalition (Coalition) requests that the Commission preempt each state ceiling, arguing that state-imposed rate ceilings on intrastate inmate calls prevent payphone providers who serve correctional facilities from recovering the costs of doing business in the correctional facility environment in violation of section 276 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act). To ensure that the Commission has before it up-to-date data regarding state-imposed ceilings on payphone providers who serve correctional facilities and information regarding the circumstances under which the ceilings apply, we invite the parties to refresh the record regarding the number of states that currently have established such ceilings, the amount of each state's ceiling, and the states, if any, where incumbent local exchange carriers provide services for correctional institutions. In addition, we seek

information regarding how the ceilings are applied. For example, please explain whether the ceilings apply to the local call, the operator service charge, or a combination thereof. We also seek information on whether the state-mandated intrastate rate ceilings apply only to correctional facility calls or more generally to all calls made from payphones. Because the Commission's decisions in this proceeding involve a review of state-imposed ceilings, we invite comments from the states on this matter.

3. We seek comment on compensation mechanisms for payphone providers who serve correctional facilities. The Coalition argues that the Commission has failed to prescribe fair compensation to payphone providers who serve correctional facilities in violation of section 276 of the 1996 Act. We invite the parties to update their comments on the compensation mechanism that should be applied to payphone providers who serve correctional facilities. For example, we request that commenters specify a proposed compensation mechanism for payphone providers who serve correctional facilities, if the \$0.90 compensation element proposed by the Coalition is not adopted. In addition, we seek comment on whether a national compensation rate for payphone providers who serve correctional facilities should be adopted or whether compensation should be established for federal, state, and local correctional institutions and vary by state; if so, we seek comment on how compensation should be determined.

4. We seek up-to-date information regarding the costs to serve the correctional facilities and the level and nature of bad debt associated with payphone providers who serve correctional facilities. We also seek comment on whether the use of debit cards would mitigate the level of bad debt associated with payphone providers who serve correctional facilities. In particular, we ask what specific factors prohibit the use of debit cards, and if such factors exist in each correctional institution. We also seek comment on whether the incumbent local exchange carriers experience the same type and level of bad debt that the Coalition has suggested in its submissions and we encourage incumbent local exchange carriers to update their comments on this issue.

5. We invite the parties to update their comments to address whether the incumbent local exchange carriers have discontinued all intrastate and interstate subsidies and discrimination with respect to their services for correctional institutions. If not, commenters should