

## Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposed rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposed rule does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

## Unfunded Mandates

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) [Pub. L. 104-4, 109 Stat. 48] requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of certain regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments, and the private sector. UMRA requires a written statement of economic and regulatory alternatives for rules that contain Federal mandates. A "Federal mandate" is a new or additional enforceable duty imposed on any State, local or tribal government, or the private sector. If any Federal mandate causes those entities to spend, in the aggregate, \$100 million or more in any one year, the UMRA analysis is required. This proposed rule does not impose Federal mandates on any State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector.

## Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this proposal and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposal is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A written Categorical Exclusion Determination is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## Other Executive Orders on the Regulatory Process

In addition to the statutes and Executive Orders already addressed in this preamble, the Coast Guard considered the following executive orders in developing this proposed rule and reached the following conclusions:

E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights. This proposed rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under this Order.

E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership. This proposed rule will not impose, on any State, local, or tribal government, a mandate that is not required by statute and that is not funded by the Federal government.

E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of

this Order to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to safety disproportionately affecting children.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

## Proposed Regulation

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46. Section 165.100 is also issued under authority of Sec. 311, Pub. L. 105-383.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01-030, to read as follows:

#### § 165.T01-030 Safety Zone: Koechlin Wedding Fireworks, Western Long Island Sound, Rye, New York

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of western Long Island Sound within a 360-yard radius of the fireworks barge in approximate position 40°56'33" N 073°41'25" W (NAD 1983), approximately 400 yards East of Milton Point, Rye, New York.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective on Saturday, July 24, 1999, from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. There is no rain date for this event.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the port or the designated on scene patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

Dated: April 27, 1999.

#### R.E. Bennis,

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, New York.*

[FR Doc. 99-11690 Filed 5-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD01-99-041]

RIN 2121-AA98

#### Safety Zone: Hastings-on-Hudson Fireworks, Hudson River, New York

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone in the Hudson River for the Hastings-on-Hudson Fireworks Display. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic in a portion of the Hudson River.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 9, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Waterways Oversight Branch (CGD01-99-041), Coast Guard Activities New York, 212 Coast Guard Drive, Staten Island, New York 10305, or deliver them to room 205 at the same address between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

The Waterways Oversight Branch of Coast Guard Activities New York maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments, and documents as indicated in this preamble, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room 205, Coast Guard Activities New York, between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant J. Lopez, Waterways Oversight Branch, Coast Guard Activities New York (718) 354-4193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD01-99-041) and the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit two copies of all comments and attachments in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of comments

should enclose stamped, self-addressed postcards or envelopes.

The Coast Guard will consider all comments received during the comment period. It may change this proposed rule in view of the comments.

The Coast Guard plans no public hearing. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to the Waterways Oversight Branch at the address under **ADDRESSES**. The request should include the reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If it determines that the opportunity for oral presentations will aid this rulemaking, the Coast Guard will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

### Background and Purpose

Fireworks by Grucci has submitted an Application for Approval of a Marine Event for a fireworks display in the Hudson River. This proposed regulation establishes a temporary safety zone in all waters of the Hudson River within a 360-yard radius of the fireworks barge in approximate position 40°59'44.5"N 073°53'25"W (NAD 1983), approximately 335 yards west of Hastings-on-Hudson, New York, and approximately 500 yards west of City Hall. The proposed safety zone is effective from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. on July 3, 1999. If the event is cancelled due to inclement weather, then this event will be held from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. on July 5, 1999. The proposed safety zone prevents vessels from transiting a portion of the Hudson River and is needed to protect boaters from the hazards associated with fireworks launched from a barge in the area. Marine traffic will still be able to transit through the western 750 yards of the 1,350-yard wide river. The Captain of the Port does not anticipate any negative impact on the vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the event via local notice to mariners, and marine information broadcasts. The Coast Guard is limiting the comment period for this NPRM to 30 days because the proposed safety zone is only for a one and a half hour long local event and it should have negligible impact on vessel transits. There is also insufficient time to publish a Temporary final rule 30 days before the event and provide a 60 day comment period.

### Discussion of Proposed Rule

The proposed safety zone is for the Hastings-on-Hudson Fireworks display held in the Hudson River, New York. This event will be held on Saturday, July 3, 1999. If the event is cancelled due to inclement weather, then the event will be held on July 5, 1999. This

rule is being proposed to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event and to give the marine community the opportunity to comment on this event.

### Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. Although this regulation prevents traffic from transiting a portion of the Hudson River during the event, the effect of this regulation will not be significant for several reasons: the minimal time that vessels will be restricted from the area, that vessels may safely transit to the west of the zone, and advance notifications which will be made to the local maritime community by the Local Notice to Mariners and marine information broadcasts.

### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposed rule, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

For reasons stated in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If, however, you think that your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule will have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment (**ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposed rule will economically affect it.

### Collection of Information

This proposed rule does not provide for a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

### Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposed rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposed rule does not have sufficient implications for federalism to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

### Unfunded Mandates

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) [Pub. L. 104-4, 109 Stat. 48] requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of certain regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments, and the private sector. UMRA requires a written statement of economic and regulatory alternatives for rules that contain Federal mandates. A "Federal mandate" is a new or additional enforceable duty imposed on any State, local, or tribal government, or the private sector. If any Federal mandate causes those entities to spend, in the aggregate, \$100 million or more in any one year, the UMRA analysis is required. This proposed rule does not impose Federal mandates on any State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector.

### Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that under figure 2-1, paragraph 34(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this proposed rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A written Categorical Exclusion Determination is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under **ADDRESSES**.

### Other Executive Orders on the Regulatory Process

In addition to the statutes and Executive Orders already addressed in this preamble, the Coast Guard considered the following executive orders in developing this proposed rule and reached the following conclusions: E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights. This proposed rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under this Order.

E.O. 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership. This proposed rule will not impose, on any State, local, or tribal government, a

mandate that is not required by statute and that is not funded by the Federal government.

E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of this Order to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to safety disproportionately affecting children.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

#### Proposed Regulation

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46. Section 165, 100 is also issued under authority of Sec. 311, Pub. L. 105–383.

2. Add temporary § 165.T01–041 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T01–041 Safety Zone: Hastings-on-Hudson Fireworks, Hudson River, New York.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Hudson River within a 360-yard radius of the fireworks barge in approximate position 40°59'44.5"N 073°53'25"W (NAD 1983), approximately 335 yards west of Hastings-on-Hudson, New York and approximately 500 yards west of City Hall.

(b) *Effective period.* This section is effective from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. on July 3, 1999. If the event is cancelled due to inclement weather, then this section is effective from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. on July 5, 1999.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23 apply.

(2) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or the designated on-scene-patrol personnel. These personnel comprise commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the Coast Guard. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other

means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

Dated: April 27, 1999.

**R.E. Bennis,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, New York.*

[FR Doc. 99–11689 Filed 5–7–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD01–99–037]

RIN 2121–AA98

#### Safety Zone: PricewaterhouseCooper LLP Fireworks, Hudson River, Manhattan, NY

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone on the Hudson River for the PricewaterhouseCooper Fireworks Display. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event. This action is intended to restrict vessel traffic on a portion of the Hudson River.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 9, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Waterways Oversight Branch (CGD01–99–037), Coast Guard Activities New York, 212 Coast Guard Drive, Staten Island, New York 10305, or deliver them to room 205 at the same address between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

The Waterways Oversight Branch of Coast Guard Activities New York maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and documents as indicated in this preamble, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room 205, Coast Guard Activities New York, between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant J. Lopez, Waterways Oversight Branch, Coast Guard Activities New York (718) 354–4193.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Persons submitting

comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD01–99–037) and the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit two copies of all comments and attachments in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of comments should enclose stamped, self-addressed postcards or envelope.

The Coast Guard will consider all comments received during the comment period. It may change this proposed rule in view of the comments.

The Coast Guard plans no public hearing. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to the Waterways Oversight Branch at the address under **ADDRESSES**. The request should include the reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If it determines that the opportunity for oral presentations will aid this rulemaking, the Coast Guard will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### Background and Purpose

Bay Fireworks has submitted an Application for Approval of a Marine Event for a Fireworks display on the Hudson River. This proposed regulation establishes a temporary safety zone in all waters of the Hudson River within a 360-yard radius of the fireworks barge in approximate position 40°44'49"N 074°01'02"W (NAD 1983), approximately 500 yards west of Pier 60, Manhattan, New York. The proposed safety zone would be effective on Friday, June 25, 1999, from 8:30 p.m. until 10 p.m. There is no rain date for this event. The proposed safety zone prevents vessels from transiting a portion of the Hudson River and is needed to protect boaters from the hazards associated with fireworks launched from a barge in the area. The Captain of the Port does not anticipate any negative impact on vessel traffic due to this event. Public notifications will be made prior to the event via local notice to mariners, and information broadcasts. The Coast Guard is limiting the comment period for this NPRM to 30 days because the proposed safety zone is only for one and a half hour long local event and should have negligible impact on vessel transits. There is also insufficient time to publish a Temporary final rule 30 days before the event and provide a 60 day comment period.

#### Discussion of Proposed Rule

The proposed safety zone is for the PricewaterhouseCooper LLP Fireworks