

maintenance plan for the Stark County (Canton) area. The revision consists of allocating a portion of the Stark County area's safety margins to the transportation conformity mobile source emissions budgets. The mobile source budgets for transportation conformity purposes for the Stark County area are now: 17.34 tons per day of volatile organic compound emissions for the year 2005 and 13.00 tons per day of oxides of nitrogen emissions for the year 2005.

[FR Doc. 99-9866 Filed 4-19-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[KY111-9914a; FRL-6326-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Kentucky

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving the Section 111(d) Plan submitted by the Kentucky Division for Air Quality (DAQ) for the Commonwealth of Kentucky on December 3, 1998, for implementing and enforcing the Emissions Guidelines (EG) applicable to existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is effective on June 21, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives significant, material, and adverse comment by May 20, 1999. If EPA receives adverse comment, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Karla McCorkle, EPA Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Copies of materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 4, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960; and at the Kentucky Division for Air Quality, Department for Environmental Protection, Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, 803 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karla McCorkle at (404) 562-9043 or Scott Davis at (404) 562-9127.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

Under section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act), EPA has established procedures whereby States submit plans to control certain existing sources of "designated pollutants." Designated pollutants are defined as pollutants for which a standard of performance for new sources applies under section 111, but which are not "criteria pollutants" (i.e., pollutants for which National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are set pursuant to sections 108 and 109 of the Act) or hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) regulated under section 112 of the Act. As required by section 111(d) of the Act, EPA established a process at 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, which States must follow in adopting and submitting a section 111(d) plan. Whenever EPA promulgates a new source performance standard (NSPS) that controls a designated pollutant, EPA establishes EG in accordance with 40 CFR 60.22 which contain information pertinent to the control of the designated pollutant from that NSPS source category (i.e., the "designated facility" as defined at 40 CFR 60.21(b)). Thus, a State, local, or tribal agency's section 111(d) plan for a designated facility must comply with the EG for that source category as well as 40 CFR part 60, subpart B.

On March 12, 1996, EPA published EG for existing MSW landfills at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc (40 CFR 60.30c through 60.36c) and NSPS for new MSW Landfills at 40 CFR part 60, subpart WWW (40 CFR 60.750 through 60.759). (See 61 FR 9905-9944.) The pollutants regulated by the NSPS and EG are MSW landfill emissions, which contain a mixture of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), other organic compounds, methane, and HAPs. VOC emissions can contribute to ozone formation which can result in adverse effects to human health and vegetation. The health effects of HAPs include cancer, respiratory irritation, and damage to the nervous system. Methane emissions contribute to global climate change and can result in fires or explosions when they accumulate in structures on or off the landfill site. To determine whether control is required, nonmethane organic compounds (NMOCs) are measured as a surrogate for MSW landfill emissions. Thus, NMOC is considered the designated pollutant. The designated facility which is subject to the EG is each existing

MSW landfill (as defined in 40 CFR 60.32c) for which construction, reconstruction or modification was commenced before May 30, 1991.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 60.23(a), States were required to either: (1) submit a plan for the control of the designated pollutant to which the EG applies; or (2) submit a negative declaration if there were no designated facilities in the State within nine months after publication of the EG (by December 12, 1996).

EPA has been involved in litigation over the requirements of the MSW landfill EG and NSPS since the summer of 1996. On November 13, 1997, EPA issued a notice of proposed settlement in *National Solid Wastes Management Association v. Browner, et al.*, No. 96-1152 (D.C. Cir), in accordance with section 113(g) of the Act. See 62 FR 60898. It is important to note that the proposed settlement does not vacate or void the existing MSW landfill EG or NSPS. Pursuant to the proposed settlement agreement, EPA published a direct final rulemaking on June 16, 1998, in which EPA is amending 40 CFR part 60, subparts Cc and WWW, to add clarifying language, make editorial amendments, and to correct typographical errors. See 63 FR 32743-32753, 32783-32784. EPA regulations at 40 CFR 60.23(a)(2) provide that a State has nine months to adopt and submit any necessary State Plan revisions after publication of a final revised emission guideline document. Thus, States are not yet required to submit State Plan revisions to address the June 16, 1998, direct final amendments to the EG. In addition, as stated in the June 16, 1998, preamble, the changes to 40 CFR part 60, subparts Cc and WWW, do not significantly modify the requirements of those subparts. See 63 FR 32744. Accordingly, the MSW landfill EG published on March 12, 1996, was used as a basis by EPA for review of section 111(d) Plan submittals.

This action approves the section 111(d) Plan submitted by the Kentucky DAQ for the Commonwealth of Kentucky to implement and enforce Subpart Cc.

##### II. Discussion

The Kentucky DAQ submitted to EPA on December 3, 1998, the following in their section 111(d) Plan for implementing and enforcing the emission guidelines for existing MSW landfills in the Commonwealth of Kentucky: Statutory and Legal Authority; Enforceable Mechanisms; MSW Landfill Source and Emissions Inventory; Emission Limitations; Process for Review and Approval of Collection and Control System Design

Plans; Testing, Monitoring, Recordkeeping, and Reporting; Compliance Schedule; Demonstration That the Public Had Adequate Notice and Public Hearing Record; Submittal of Progress Reports to EPA; Quality Assurance; and applicable Commonwealth of Kentucky statutes and Kentucky DAQ rules.

The approval of the Kentucky State Plan is based on finding that: (1) the Kentucky DAQ provided adequate public notice of public hearings for the proposed rulemaking and State Plan which allows the Kentucky DAQ to implement and enforce the EG for MSW landfills; and (2) the Kentucky DAQ also demonstrated legal authority to adopt emission standards and compliance schedules applicable to the designated facilities; enforce applicable laws, regulations, standards and compliance schedules; seek injunctive relief; obtain information necessary to determine compliance; require recordkeeping; conduct inspections and tests; require the use of monitors; require emission reports of owners and operators; and make emission data publicly available.

In the Plan, the Kentucky DAQ cites the following references for the legal authority: Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 224.10-100; KRS 224.20-100; KRS 224.20-110; and KRS 224.20-120. On the basis of these statutes of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, the State Plan is approved as being at least as protective as the Federal requirements for existing MSW landfills.

In the Plan, the Kentucky DAQ cites the enforceable mechanism for implementing the EG for existing MSW landfills. The enforceable mechanisms are the Commonwealth regulations adopted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky in 401 Kentucky Administrative Regulation (KAR) 61:036 "Emission Guidelines and Compliance Times for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills" and 401 KAR 60:750 "Standards of Performance for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills." The State's regulations meet the Federal requirements for an enforceable mechanism and are approved as being at least as protective as the Federal requirements contained in Subpart Cc for existing MSW landfills.

In the Plan, the Kentucky DAQ cites all emission limitations for the major pollutant categories related to the designated sites and facilities. These limitations in 401 KAR 61:036 are approved as being at least as protective as the Federal requirements contained in Subpart Cc for existing MSW landfills.

The Plan describes the process the Kentucky DAQ will utilize for the

review of site-specific design plans for gas collection and control systems. The process outlined in the Plan meets the Federal requirements contained in Subpart Cc for existing MSW landfills.

In the Plan, the Kentucky DAQ cites the compliance schedules adopted in 401 KAR 61:036 for each existing MSW landfill to be in compliance within 30 months of the effective date of their state plan. These compliance times for affected MSW landfills address the required compliance time lines of the EG. This portion of the Plan has been reviewed and approved as being at least as protective as Federal requirements for existing MSW landfills.

In Table 1 and Appendix A of the Plan, the Kentucky DAQ submitted a source and emission inventory of all designated pollutants for each MSW landfill in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. This portion of the Plan has been reviewed and approved as meeting the Federal requirements for existing MSW landfills.

The Plan includes its legal authority to require owners and operators of designated facilities to maintain records and report to their agency the nature and amount of emissions and any other information that may be necessary to enable their agency to judge the compliance status of the facilities. The Kentucky DAQ also cites its legal authority to provide for periodic inspection and testing and provisions for making reports of MSW landfill emissions data, correlated with emission standards that apply, available to the general public. 401 KAR 61:036 and 401 KAR 60:750 support the requirements of monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance assurance. These Kentucky regulations have been reviewed and approved as being at least as protective as Federal requirements for existing MSW landfills.

The Plan outlines how the Kentucky DAQ will provide progress reports of Plan implementation updates to the EPA on an annual basis. These progress reports will include the required items pursuant to 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. This portion of the Plan has been reviewed and approved as meeting the Federal requirement for Plan reporting.

Consequently, EPA finds that the Kentucky State Plan meets all of the requirements applicable to such plans in 40 CFR part 60, subparts B and Cc. The Kentucky DAQ did not, however, submit evidence of authority to regulate existing MSW landfills in Indian Country. Therefore, EPA is not approving this Plan as it relates to those sources.

### III. Final Action

Based on the rationale discussed above, EPA is approving the Commonwealth of Kentucky section 111(d) Plan, as submitted on December 3, 1998, for the control of landfill gas from existing MSW landfills. As provided by 40 CFR 60.28(c), any revisions to the Kentucky State Plan or associated regulations will not be considered part of the applicable plan until submitted by the Kentucky DAQ in accordance with 40 CFR 60.28(a) or (b), as applicable, and until approved by EPA in accordance with 40 CFR part 60, subpart B.

The EPA is publishing this action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in a separate document in this **Federal Register** publication, the EPA is proposing to approve the revision should significant, material, and adverse comments be filed. This action will be effective June 21, 1999 unless by May 20, 1999, adverse or critical comments are received.

If the EPA receives such comments, this action will be withdrawn before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this action serving as a proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this action will be effective June 21, 1999.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting or allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any section 111(d) plan. Each request for revision to the section 111(d) plan shall be considered separately in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

### IV. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from review under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

#### B. Executive Order 12875

Under E.O. 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a state, local, or tribal government,

unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected state, local, and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of state, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's rule does not create a mandate on state, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

#### C. Executive Order 13045

*Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks* (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it does not involve decisions intended to mitigate environmental health or safety risks.

#### D. Executive Order 13084

Under E.O. 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly affects or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments. If EPA complies by consulting, E.O. 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified

section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This action does not involve or impose any requirements that affect Indian Tribes. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

#### E. Regulatory Flexibility

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co., v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

#### F. Disclaimer Language Approving SIP Revisions in Audit Law States

Nothing in this action should be construed as making any determination or expressing any position regarding Kentucky's audit privilege and penalty immunity law, Kentucky KRS 224.01-040 or its impact upon any approved provision in the SIP, including the

revision at issue here. The action taken herein does not express or imply any viewpoint on the question of whether there are legal deficiencies in this or any other Clean Air Act program resulting from the effect of Kentucky's audit privilege and immunity law. A state audit privilege and immunity law can affect only state enforcement and cannot have any impact on federal enforcement authorities. EPA may at any time invoke its authority under the Clean Air Act, including, for example, sections 113, 167, 205, 211 or 213, to enforce the requirements or prohibitions of the state plan, independently of any state enforcement effort. In addition, citizen enforcement under section 304 of the Clean Air Act is likewise unaffected by a state audit privilege or immunity law.

#### H. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

#### I. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other

required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### *J. Petitions for Judicial Review*

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 21, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Municipal waste combustors, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 24, 1999.

#### **A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

40 CFR Part 62 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### **PART 62—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

#### **Subpart S—Kentucky**

2. Section 62.4350 is amended by adding paragraphs (b)(2) and (c)(4) to read as follows:

#### **§ 62.4350 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) Commonwealth of Kentucky's Section 111(d) Plan For Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, submitted on December 3, 1998, by the Kentucky Division for Air Quality.

(c) \* \* \*

(4) Existing municipal solid waste landfills.

3. Subpart S is amended by adding a new § 62.4355 and a new undesignated center heading to read as follows:

#### **Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills**

##### **§ 62.4355 Identification of sources.**

The plan applies to existing municipal solid waste landfills for which construction, reconstruction, or modification was commenced before May 30, 1991, that accepted waste at any time since November 8, 1987, or that have additional capacity available for future waste deposition, as described in 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc.

[FR Doc. 99–9595 Filed 4–19–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### **CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE**

##### **45 CFR Parts 1224 and 2508**

RIN 3045–AA22

#### **Implementation of the Privacy Act of 1974**

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation") has revised its regulations under the Privacy Act. The Corporation redesignated the existing regulations under former ACTION's CFR chapter as updated regulations under the Corporation's CFR chapter. The Corporation expects this rule will promote consistency in its processing of Privacy Act requests by setting forth the basic policies of the Corporation governing the maintenance of its system of records which contains the personal information of its employees.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective May 20, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bill Hudson, Corporation Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Officer, at (202) 606–5000, ext. 265.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Corporation published a notice of proposed rulemaking on March 5, 1999 (64 FR 10872) announcing its intention to redesignate the existing regulations under former ACTION's CFR chapter as updated regulations under the Corporation's CFR chapter. The Corporation did not receive any comments on this proposed rule. The Corporation is a wholly-owned government corporation created by Congress to administer programs established under the national service laws. The Corporation operates under two statutes, the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as

amended, 42 U.S.C. 12501 *et seq.*, and the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4950 *et seq.*

The functions of the ACTION agency were transferred to the Corporation on April 4, 1994. This final rule redesignates ACTION's policy at 45 CFR Chapter XII, part 1224, to be revised as 45 CFR Chapter XXV, part 2508, and governs the Corporation as a whole. The Distribution Table in the Preamble compares the earlier version of CFR part numbers under 45 Chapter XII, part 1224, with the new CFR part numbers assigned under 45 Chapter XXV, part 2508. The subjects listed in 45 CFR Chapter XII, part 1224, are revised and redesignated under 45 CFR Chapter XXV, part 2508, to reflect the new subject listings. The redesignated subpart numbers under 45 CFR Chapter XXV, part 2508, are written in a plain language format as questions/answers to provide for a better understanding of the Corporation's revised Privacy Act regulation.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

I certify that this regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### **Executive Order 12866**

This regulation has been drafted and reviewed in accordance with Executive Order 12866. The Office of Management and Budget has reviewed this rule and has determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866, section 3(f), Regulatory Planning and Review.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

I certify that this regulation does not require additional reporting under the criteria of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995**

This regulation will not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Therefore, no actions are deemed necessary under the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### **Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996**

This rule is not a major rule as defined by § 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of