

Center, Mail Code: MM-E, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, telephone (407) 867-6225.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

**Edward A. Frankle,**  
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99-8788 Filed 4-7-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (99-057)]

### Notice of prospective patent license

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

**SUMMARY:** NASA hereby gives notice that Spartan School of Aeronautics, of Tulsa, Oklahoma, has applied for an exclusive license to practice the invention described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,694,939, entitled "Autogenic-Feedback Training Exercise Method and System," which is assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to Ames Research Center.

**DATES:** Responses to this notice must be received by June 7, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patent Counsel, Ames Research Center, Mail Stop 202A-3, Moffett Field, CA 94035; telephone (650) 604-5104.

Dated: March 30, 1999.

**Edward A. Frankle,**  
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 99-8789 Filed 4-7-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7510-01-P

## NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

### Public Hearing

The National Transportation Safety Board will convene a public hearing beginning at 9:00 a.m., local time on Wednesday, April 14, 1999, at the Georgetown Conference Center, 3800 Reservoir Road, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20057 concerning Truck/Bus Safety. For more information, contact Jeanmarie Poole, NTSB Office of Highway Safety, at (202) 314-6448 or Lauren Peduzzi, NTSB Office of Public Affairs at (202) 314-6100.

Dated: April 2, 1999.

**Rhonda Underwood,**  
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-8680 Filed 4-7-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7002]

### Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-2 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Portsmouth, Ohio

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is described below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS). The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for this amendment.

The United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) or any person whose interest may be affected may file

a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice.

A petition for review must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, by the above date.

Since the application for amendment and the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report contain proprietary information, they are not subject to public disclosure per 10 CFR 2.790.

*Date of amendment request:* August 7, 1998, as revised on February 24, 1999.

*Brief description of amendment:* USEC submitted a certificate amendment request for PORTS to reduce the minimum number of measurements that are required to determine the enriched uranium content of UF<sub>6</sub> cylinder receipts from Russian facilities for whom a valid historical database has been established so as to provide 99.9 percent confidence that a statistically significant shift in the mean uranium concentration will be detected. PORTS typically receives, from three blending facilities in Russia, several hundred 2.5-ton UF<sub>6</sub> cylinders per year