

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard considers whether this proposed rule, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdiction with populations of less than 50,000. Therefore, for the reasons discussed in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If, however, you think your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this rule will have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposed rule will economically affect it.

Collection of Information

This proposal contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposed rule in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under Figure 2-1, paragraph 32(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because promulgation of changes to drawbridge regulations have been found not to have a significant effect on the environment. A written "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is not required for this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend part 117 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. From July 15, 1999, to September 15, 2000, a new paragraph (a)(3) is added to § 117.869 to read as follows:

§ 117.869 Columbia River.

(a) * * *

(3) The draws of the dual Interstate 5 Bridges, mile 106.5, between Portland, OR and Vancouver, WA, need not open for the passage of vessels from July 15 to September 15, 1999, and July 15 to September 15, 2000, provided that the river level remains below 6 feet Columbia River Datum. If the river level rises to 6 feet or more, the bridges shall operate as provided in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section.

* * * * *

Dated: March 31, 1999.

Paul M. Blayney,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander,
13th Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 99-8745 Filed 4-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CO-001-0025b; FRL-6319-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Colorado; Removal and Replacement of Transportation Control Measure, Colorado Springs Element, Carbon Monoxide Section of the State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of a revision to the Colorado State Implementation Plan (SIP), carbon monoxide (CO) section, Colorado Springs element. In a June 25, 1996, submission, Colorado requests that emission reductions from oxygenate use in gasoline be substituted for reductions associated with the previously approved (48 FR 55284, December 12, 1983) bus acquisition program because the bus program was not implemented due to the lack of federal funding. This revision satisfies certain requirements of part D and section 110 of the Clean Air

Act (CAA), as amended in 1990. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 10, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be mailed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program (8P-AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, Air and Radiation Program (8P-AR), 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Russ, Air and Radiation Program (8P-AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, Telephone number: (303) 312-6479.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 24, 1999.

William P. Yellowtail,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 99-8631 Filed 4-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P