

Number of respondents annually  (1)	Number of responses per respondent  (2)	Average burden hours per response  (3)	Total annual burden hours  (1)x(2)x(3)
28 .....	1	51.8 hours .....	1,450 hours.

The Commission anticipates an increase in the total burden hours due to changes in the wholesale marketplace. Specifically the number of participants (i.e. power marketers and independent power producers) is expected to increase.

Estimated cost burden to respondents: 1,450 hours/2,080 hours per year x \$109,889 per year = \$76,605. The cost per respondent is \$2,736.

The reporting burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide the information including: (1) reviewing instructions; (2) developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, verifying, processing, maintaining, disclosing and providing information; (3) adjusting the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; (4) training personnel to respond to a collection of information; (5) searching data sources; (6) completing and reviewing the collection of information; and (7) transmitting, or otherwise disclosing the information.

The estimate of cost for respondents is based upon salaries for professional and clerical support, as well as direct and indirect overhead costs. Direct costs include all costs directly attributable to providing this information, such as administrative costs and the cost for information technology. Indirect or overhead costs are cost incurred by an organization in support of its mission. These costs apply to activities which benefit the whole organization rather than any one particular function or activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated,

electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-7636 Filed 3-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP99-260-000]

**ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

March 22, 1999.

Take notice that on March 16, 1999, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP99-260-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to modify the metering facilities at an existing interconnection with Southeastern Michigan Gas Company in St. Clair County, Michigan, under ANR's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-480-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

ANR proposes to construct and operate two additional 12-inch turbine meters at its Greenwood Interconnection. ANR states that upon completion of these reconfigured metering facilities, the total nominal capacity of the Greenwood Interconnection would be increased from approximately 200 MMcf/d to approximately 290 MMcf/d.

ANR states further that the total cost of the modifications would be approximately \$360,000, for which ANR would be fully reimbursed.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the

Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-7546 Filed 3-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP99-261-000]

**El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

March 23, 1999.

Take notice that on March 16, 1999, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), a Delaware corporation, whose mailing address is P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP99-261-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) to certificate and to continue the operation of an existing delivery point located in El Paso County, Texas, installed under Section 311(a) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA), under El Paso's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

The request for authorization states El Paso installed the Southern Union Gas Angel Park Delivery Point (Angel Park Delivery Point) under Section 311(a)

and has exclusively used this delivery point for the transportation and delivery of natural gas under Part 284, Subpart B. El Paso states that the regulatory restriction placed on the operation of a facility installed under Section 311(a) of the NGPA prohibits El Paso's shippers from utilizing this delivery point under any transportation arrangement other than a Subpart B transportation arrangement. In view of this limited service flexibility, El Paso states that certification of the Angel Park Delivery Point pursuant to Section 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations, is necessary and in the public interest.

El Paso states that the continued operation of the existing Angel Park Delivery Point under the NGA is not prohibited by El Paso's existing Volume No. 1-A FERC Gas Tariff. Other than the certification of service under Subpart G, this proposal will not result in any change in the transportation service or the total volumes delivered at the Angel Park Delivery Point. El Paso further states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries of the requested gas volumes without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary*

[FR Doc. 99-7548 Filed 3-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99-255-000]

#### Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

Take notice that on March 15, 1999, Florida Gas Transmission Company

(FGT), 1400 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP99-255-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.212 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216) for authorization to upgrade an existing meter station in order to accommodate deliveries of natural gas to TECO Peoples Gas System, Inc. (TECO), in Duval County, Florida. FGT makes such request under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-553-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission. The filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

It is stated that TECO has requested FGT to upgrade an existing meter station, to allow for delivery of 6,100 Mcf per hour at a pressure of 480 psig under existing transportation service agreements by and between FGT and TECO. FGT avers that the existing capacity is 7,100 Mcf per hour delivered at a pressure of 250 psig. It is indicated that TECO will be upgrading the MAOP of their 18-inch pipeline over to the area of JEA's Northside Power Plant in order to serve new turbines that require 400 psig inlet pressure.

Specifically, FGT proposes to upgrade the meters, and modify the headers and valves in the Jacksonville Border Station to serve TECO. FGT further states that the upgrade to this existing meter station will not impact FGT's peak day or annual deliveries.

FGT states that the proposed upgrade to the Jacksonville Border Station, will be at the end of FGT's 16-inch Jacksonville Lateral near mile post 45.5. The estimated cost for upgrading the facilities is \$273,507.—which FGT states will be reimbursed by TECO. It states that the end-use will be residential, industrial, electric generation and commercial.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 175.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-7632 Filed 3-26-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM99-5-34-000]

#### Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 23, 1999.

Take notice that on March 17, 1999, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, effective April 1, 1999:

Thirty-Third Revised Sheet No. 8A  
Twenty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 8A.01  
Twenty-Fifth Revised Sheet No. 8A.02  
Twenty-Ninth Revised Sheet No. 8B  
Twenty-Second Revised Sheet No. 8B.01

FGT states that in Docket No. TM99-4-34-000 filed on February 25, 1999, FGT filed to establish a Base Fuel Reimbursement Charge Percentage (Base FRCP) of 2.76% to become effective for the six-month Summer Period beginning April 1, 1999. On March 5, 1999 FGT submitted a Substitute Sheet 8A.01 to reflect conforming changes to FGT's underlying FTS-2 rates made in a separate concurrent filing. Both of these filings, with requested effective dates of April 1, 1999, are currently pending Commission approval. In the instant of filing, FGT states that it is filing a flex adjustment of <0.26>% to be effective April 1, 1999, which, when combined with the proposed Base FRCP of 2.76%, results in an Effective Fuel Reimbursement Charge Percentage of 2.50%. This is the same rate which is currently in effect.

FGT states that the tariff sheets listed above are being filed pursuant to Section 27.A.2.b of the General Terms and Conditions of FGT's Tariff, which provides for flex adjustments to the Base FRCP. Pursuant to the terms of Section 27.A.2.b, a flex adjustment shall become effective without prior FERC approval provided that such flex adjustment does not exceed 0.50%, is effective at the beginning of a month, is posted on FGT's EBB at least five working days prior to the nomination deadline, and is filed no more than sixty and at least seven days before the proposed effective date. FGT states that the instant filing