

the Clean Air Act Section 182(b)(1) 15 Percent Rate of Progress Requirement for the Phoenix Metropolitan Area," May 18, 1998.

3. Brief for the Petitioners, *Carolyn Aspegren and David Matusow vs. Carol Browner, Administrator, and U.S. EPA* (No. 98-70824), October 13, 1998.

4. 63 FR 3687-3693 (January 26, 1998); *Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Phoenix Arizona Ozone Nonattainment Area, 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plan and 1990 Base Year Emission Inventory*; Proposed rule.

5. Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, "Draft Addendum to the Technical Support Document for the Notice of Final Rulemaking on the Clean Air Act Section 182(b)(1) 15 Percent Rate of Progress Requirement for the Phoenix Metropolitan Ozone Nonattainment Area," March 18, 1999.

6. 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992). *State Implementation Plans; General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990*. General Preamble for future proposed rulemakings.

7. Letter, David S. Baron, Assistant Director, ACLPI, to Frances Wicher, EPA Region 9, February 24, 1998.

8. *Guidance for Growth Factors, Projections, and Control Strategies for the 15 Percent Rate of Progress Plans*, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. EPA. EPA-452/R-93-002, March 1993.

[FR Doc. 99-7336 Filed 3-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[UT10-1-6700b; UT-001-0014b; UT-001-0015b; FRL-6314-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; Forward and Definitions, Revision to Definition for Sole Source of Heat and Emissions Standards, Nonsubstantive Changes; General Requirements, Open Burning; and Forward and Definitions, Addition of Definition for PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Governor of the State of Utah on July 11, 1994, for the purpose of establishing a modification to the definition for "Sole Source of Heat" in UACR R307-1-1, as well as to make a nonsubstantive change to UACR R307-1-4, Emissions Standards. On February 6, 1996, a SIP revision to UACR R307-1-2 was submitted by the Governor of Utah which contains changes to Utah's open burning requirements to require that the

local county fire marshal has to establish 30-day open burning windows in order for open burning to occur.

Other minor changes are made in this revision to UACR R307-1-2.4, "General Burning" and R307-1-2.5,

"Confidentiality of Information." In addition, on July 9, 1998, SIP revisions were submitted that would add a definition for "PM<sub>10</sub> Nonattainment Area" to UACR R307-1-1. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as a noncontroversial SIP revisions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing on or before April 26, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Copies of the State documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection at the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality, 150 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84114-4820.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cindy Rosenberg, EPA, Region VIII, (303) 312-6436.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 11, 1999.

**William P. Yellowtail,**  
*Regional Administrator, Region VIII.*

[FR Doc. 99-7425 Filed 3-25-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA-207-0074b; FRL-6306-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises various definitions in Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 102, Definitions and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 102, Definition of Terms.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised federal and state definitions. EPA is proposing approval of this revision to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this administrative change as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by April 26, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office [AIR-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9