(F) January 29, 1999, letter from William Yellowtail, EPA Region VIII Administrator, to the Governor of Utah returning the Salt Lake and Davis County and Utah County  $PM_{10}$  Contingency Measure SIP revisions and the Residential Woodburning in Salt Lake, Davis and Utah Counties  $PM_{10}$  Contingency Measure SIP revision.

[FR Doc. 99–7424 Filed 3–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 207-0074, FRL-6307-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan. This action is an administrative change which revises the definitions in Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 102, Definitions, and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 102, Definition of Terms. The intended effect of approving this action is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised federal and state definitions.

DATES: This rule is effective on May 26, 1999, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by April 26, 1999. If EPA receives such comment, then it will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at Region IX office listed below. Copies of these rules, along with EPA's evaluation report for each rule, are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted requests for rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 Santa Barbara County Air Pollution

Control District, 26 Castilian Drive B– 23, Goleta, California 93117 South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone (415– 744–1189).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Applicability

The rules being approved into the California SIP are: SBCAPCD Rule 102, Definitions, submitted on March 10, 1998 and SCAQMD Rule 102, Definition of Terms, submitted on March 10, 1998, by the California Air Resources Board.

## II. Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 Act or pre-amended Act), that included Santa Barbara County and the South Coast Air Basin, see 43 FR 8964, 40 CFR 81.305. On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the 1977 Act, that the Santa Barbara County APCD and South Coast AQMD portions of the California SIP were inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA's SIP-Call). In response to the SIP call and other requirements, the SBCAPCD and SCAQMD submitted many rules which EPA approved into the SIP.

This document addresses EPA's direct-final action for SBCAPCD Rule 102, Definitions, and SCAQMD Rule 102, Definition of Terms. These rules were adopted by SBCAPCD and SCAQMD on April 17, 1997 and June 13, 1997, respectively, and submitted by the State of California for incorporation into its SIP on March 10, 1998. These rules were found to be complete on May 21, 1998, pursuant to EPA's completeness criteria that are set forth in 40 CFR part 51, Appendix V¹ and is

being finalized for approval into the SIP. These rules were originally adopted as part of SBCAPCD and SCAQMD's efforts to achieve the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone and in response to EPA's SIP-Call and the section 182(a)(2)(A) CAA requirement.

The following is EPA's evaluation and final action for these rules.

#### III. EPA Evaluation and Action

In determining the approvability of a rule, EPA must evaluate the rule for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and EPA regulations, as found in section 110 and part D of the CAA and 40 CFR part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans). The EPA interpretation of these requirements appears in various EPA policy guidance documents.<sup>2</sup>

EPA previously reviewed many rules from the SBCAPCD and SCAQMD agencies and incorporated them into the federally approved SIP pursuant to section 110(k)(3) of the CAA. The following revisions were made in SBCAPCD and SCAQMD definitions rule.

## Santa Barbara County APCD

Rule 102 has been revised to add new and amended definitions which apply to the entire rule book. Among the more significant new definitions are: Actual Emission Reductions, Affected Pollutants, Air Quality Impact Analysis, Air Quality Related Value, Attainment Pollutant, Authority to Construct, Baseline Air Quality, Best Available Control Technology, Best Available Retrofit Control Technology, California Coastal Waters, CFR, Class I Area, Class I Impact Area, Class II Area, Clean Air Act, Construction, Contiguous Property, **Emission Reduction Credit, Emission** Reduction Credit Certificate, Emission Unit, Federally Enforceable, Fugitive Emission, Hazardous Air Pollutant, Large Source, Major Modified Stationary Source, Major Stationary Source, Medium Source, Nonattainment Pollutant, Open Burning in Agricultural Operations, Outer Continental Shelf Source, Pollutant, Portable Internal Combustion Engine, Potential to Emit, Precursor, Quarterly, Reasonable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA adopted the completeness criteria on February 16, 1990 (55 FR 5830) and, pursuant to section (110)(k)(1)(A) of the CAA, revised the criteria on August 26, 1991 (56 FR 42216).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Among other things, the pre-amendment guidance consists of those portions of the proposed post-1987 ozone and carbon monoxide policy that concern RACT, 52 FR 45044 (November 24, 1987); "Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviation, Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 **Federal Register** Notice" (Blue Book) (notice of availability was published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 1988); and the existing control technique guidelines (CTGs).

Further Progress, Reconstructed Source, Secondary Emissions, Small Source, Stationary Source, Installation, "Building, Structure, or Facility", Common Operations, Total Suspended Particulates, and Zones of Santa Barbara County. These definitions are not expected to change substantive requirements.

## South Coast AQMD

Rule 102 has been revised to add tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene), 3,3-dichloro-1,1,1,2,2, pentafluoropropane (HCFC 225ca), 1,3dichloro-1,1,2,2,3-pentafluoropropane (HCFC 225cb), and 1,1,1,2,3,4,4,5,5,5decafluoropentane (HFC-43-10mee) to the "Exempt Compound" definition. Perchloroethylene is being added as a Group II Exempt Compound. The other three compounds are being added to the list of Group I Exempt Compounds. Definitions for "Clean Air Solvent" and "Ozone Depleting Compounds" are being added to Rule 102. The addition of these two definitions is administrative and is not expected to change substantive requirements.

EPA has evaluated the submitted rules and has determined that they are consistent with the CAA, EPA regulations, and EPA policy. Therefore, SBCAPCD Rule 102, Definitions and SCAQMD Rule 102, Definition of Terms, are being approved under section 110(k)(3) of the CAA as meeting the requirements of section 110(a) and part D. Future action by EPA on prohibitory, new source review, or other SBCAPCD rules may require changes to these definitions.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should relevant adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective May 26, 1999 without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse comments by April 26, 1999.

If the EPA received such comments, then EPA will publish a document withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this

rule will be effective on May 26, 1999 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

## IV. Administrative Requirements

## A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review.

#### B. Executive Order 12875

Under Executive Order 12875, Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates. Today's rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

#### C. Executive Order 13045

Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) is determined to be "economically significant" as defined under E.O. 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children, and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency. This rule is

not subject to E.O. 13045 because it does not involve decisions intended to mitigate environmental health or safety risks.

### D. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies by consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

## E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.*, v. *U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 255–66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

## F. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 26, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

**Note:** Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of California was approved by the Director of the **Federal Register** on July 1, 1982.

Dated: February 23, 1999.

#### Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title of 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(254)(i)(C) and (c)(254)(i)(D) to read as follows:

## § 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \*

(254) \* \* \*

(i) \* \* \* \*

- (C) Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.
- (1) Rule 102 amended on April 17, 1998.
- (D) South Coast Air Quality Management District.
- (1) Rule 102 amended on June 13, 1997.

[FR Doc. 99–7422 Filed 3–25–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300820; FRL-6069-5]

RIN 2070-AB78

#### **Quinclorac**; Pesticide Tolerance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of quinclorac, 3,7-dichloro-8-quinoline carboxylic acid in or on wheat and sorghum. BASF Corporation requested this tolerance under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996.

DATES: This regulation is effective March 26, 1999. Objections and requests for hearings must be received by EPA on or before May 26, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300820], must be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Fees accompanying objections and hearing requests shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA **Headquarters Accounting Operations** Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk identified by the docket control number, [OPP-300820], must also be submitted to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch, Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring a copy of objections and hearing requests to Rm. 119, Crystal Mall 2 (CM #2), 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: oppdocket@epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1/6.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket control number [OPP-300820]. No Confidential Business